

Attachment #2

**WAIVERS REQUESTED FOR 2014
ATTACHMENT #1**

Attachments	County	Name	Wavier Requested
#1		WAIVERS REQUESTED	
	Keith	Randy Fair	2.2 CLE hours
	Douglas	Katie Bensen	8 CLE
	Nemaha	Dylan L. Handley	4.5 CLE
	Merrick	Aaron Kunz	4 CLE
	Lincoln	Austin Leighty	1 CAC hour
	Nemaha	Louie M. Ligouri	4.5 CLE
	Hooker	George G. Vinton	1 CAC hour
	Pawnee	L. Joe Stehlik	4 CLE
	Polk	Marcia J. Scott	2 CLE
	Adams	David A. Bergin	.75 CAC
#2	Dawson	Michael Johnson	1 from 2013

Attachment #3

2014-15 Office of Violence Prevention Grant

Number	Agency (Program Title)	City	2014-15 Amount Requested	Amount Recommended Staff Review	Amount Recommended Board
14-VP-5000	Banister's Leadership Academy - The Night L.I.F.E. Teen Leadership Academy North and South Omaha	Omaha - Douglas County	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
14-VP-5001	City of Omaha Police Department Gang Unit	Omaha - Douglas County	\$82,017.00	\$51,818.00	\$82,017.00
14-VP-5002	Hall County- Violence Prevention	Grand Island-Hall County	\$13,043.00	\$0.00	\$1,288.00
14-VP-5003	Lancaster County- Operation Tipping Point	Lincoln- Lancaster County	\$67,786.00	\$45,000.00	\$54,736.00
14-VP-5004	NorthStar Foundation-NorthStar Athletic Center	Omaha - Douglas County	\$68,461.00	\$67,390.00	\$67,390.00
14-VP-5005	La Casa del Pueblo	Omaha - Douglas County	\$32,695.00	\$32,695.00	\$32,695.00
14-VP-5006	Black Men United Urban Pre Vocational Training	Omaha - Douglas County	\$200,095.00	\$80,400.00	\$81,179.00
15-VP-5000	The Hope Center for Kids Village	Omaha - Douglas County	\$19,875.00	\$19,875.00	\$19,875.00
15-VP-5001	Basketball Alliance	Omaha - Douglas County	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00
15-VP-5002	SOVIP-Events and Back to school Bash	Omaha - Douglas County	\$15,114.00	\$10,386.00	\$10,386.00
15-VP-5003	Heartland Family Service- Victim Empathy Girls Inc of Omaha- Girls with Futures	Omaha - Douglas County	\$31,207.00	\$27,305.00	\$27,305.00
15-VP-5004	Urban League of Nebraska-Urban Youth Empowerment Series	Omaha - Douglas County	\$102,478.00	\$102,478.00	\$102,478.00
15-VP-5005	African American Empowerment Network	Omaha - Douglas County	\$208,900.00	\$180,000.00	\$181,956.00
15-VP-5006	Banister's Leadership Academy - The Night	Cass County	\$25,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
14-VP-5007	Compassion in Action Inc	Omaha - Douglas County	\$103,055.00	\$30,000.00	\$30,695.00
TOTALS			\$ 1,077,726.00	\$ 655,347.00	\$ 700,000.00
Available			\$ 700,000.00	\$ 700,000.00	\$ 700,000.00
Remaining			\$ (377,726.00)	\$ 44,653.00	\$ -

**Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice
SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET**

Applicant: Banister Leadership Academy

Grant #: 14-VP-5000

Title: The Night Life Teen Leadership Academy N. and S.

Amount Requested: \$100,000.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$0	<p>Application is recommended for denial based on Operating Instruction #10:</p> <p>007.02D: Ability and capacity of the proposed program to make an impact on the identified problem.</p> <p>007.02F: Cost effectiveness of the proposed project.</p> <p>007.02G Amount of funds available</p> <p>Unclear as to how programming has and will reduce gun violence in north and south Omaha. Data collection correlating programming to reduced gang activity and or gun violence would validate programs effectiveness</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Will Serve a Large number of youth
2. Draws on programs that utilizes evidence-based practices
3. Aims to affect youth along with families

Areas for improvement:

1. Sustainability of program not addressed in application
2. Assessment to demonstrate effectiveness would strengthen application (A plan to get the program evaluated would be recommended)
3. No measureable goals or outcomes that could demonstrate desired change in youths.
4. No description of educational/social improvement aspects of program.
5. Overall, not clear how program reduced violence in North and South Omaha.
6. Be specific about how program works. What about the program causes the change in youth?

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha

Grant #:14-VP-5001

Title: OPD Gang Unit-Intel led policing Project

Amount Requested: \$82,017

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$82,017	Sustainability Plan -how will position be maintained after funding year Formal Evaluation - Sub-grantee can opt to revise budget to utilize grant funds to complete a formal evaluation. OVP office shall be included in process

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Innovate concept on how to better strategically use Intel for targeting of violent offenders
2. Evidence based practice modeling bureau of justice
3. Program targeting diverse population
4. Proactive approach to problem

Areas for improvement:

1. Sustainability of program not addressed in application
2. Beyond identifying gang members and community support, more should be included to determine effectiveness i.e. higher arrest rates in targeted areas, reduced crime rates etc.
3. Goals and objectives seem to be vague

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Hall County

Grant #: 14-VP-5002

Title: Hall County Violence Prevention

Amount Requested: \$13,043.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$1,288	<p>007.02D: Ability and capacity of the proposed program to make an impact on the identified problem.</p> <p>007.02F: Cost effectiveness of the proposed project.</p> <p>007.02G Amount of funds available</p> <p>Revised Budget- Funds recommended for conference</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. With limited resources in the proposed location of project the application address the need to expand efforts to deter gang violence in the community
2. The application seeks to encompass families in the solution

Areas for improvement:

1. Unclear as to how proposed project will reduce violence. Narrative says part of their initiative will actually increase gang activity by conducting home site visits.
2. Clarify how visiting potential gang members' houses will reduce violence. Unclear indicators for the objectives as well as how the projected results will occur (pg. 13-14). Application states project will reduce number of gang member, violent crimes and violent crimes committed by gang members. A clearer narrative as to how cyber investigation, home visits and referrals will have their proposed impact.
3. Grant Funds not recommended overtime

**Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice
SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET**

Applicant: Lancaster County

Grant #: 14-VP-5003

Title: Operation Tipping Point

Amount Requested: \$67,786

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$54,736	<p>Sustainability Plan-how will position be maintained after funding year</p> <p>Revised Budget- Funds Recommended for Salary, Conference, and evaluation</p> <p>OVP office shall be included in Evaluation process</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Evidence based practice
2. Program targeting diverse population
3. Proactive approach to problem
4. Application defined problem well and the need of application also addressed previous obstacles from prior grant
5. Great collaboration very useful in impacting the problem

Areas for improvement:

1. Sustainability plan for retention of position
2. Crime Commission members should not be included in letters of support
3. Overtime is not encouraged for grant funds
4. The grant is for surveillance equipment, staff, etc. that targets and identifies gang members with a minimal amount of dollars spent on working with/preventing potential gang members. The application discusses how potentially gang members may be identified and perhaps referred to a number of programs but is not specific about how the money from this grant will be used to reduce violence.

**Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice
SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET**

Applicant: NorthStar Foundation

Grant #: 14-VP-5004

Title: NorthStar Athletic Engagement & Outreach Project

Amount Requested: \$68,461.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$67,390	<p>Sustainability Plan-how will program be maintained after funding year</p> <p>Revised Budget- Fringe Benefits not recommended for part time positions</p> <p>OVP office shall be included in Evaluation process</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Application demonstrates a need for the program.
2. Identified the problem and a starting point for the solution.
3. Clear connection between problem and program activities.
4. Proposal incorporates evidence based approaches and models.
5. The plan for implementation of an evaluation of the effectiveness of the program.
6. The evaluation will directly research the effectiveness of not only the aspects of the program but that which deals with the improvement of the youth in the program.
7. Provides after school and summer activities such as basketball leagues, art, visits from community groups such as Joslyn Art Museum and UNO theater, and lacrosse coupled with educational instruction to improve their school performance.
8. Northstar is in the area of the state in the direst need of services for juvenile in fifth to eighth grade.

Areas for improvement:

1. Sustainability plan for continuation of program would also strengthen application
2. In the objectives, indicators and results section, the projected results were too general but given an evaluator will be hired to clarify these aspects, it is apparent the applicants recognize the need for objective and in-depth evaluation.

**Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice
SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET**

Applicant: La Casa del Pubelo

Grant #: 14-VP-5005

Title: South Omaha Youth Training Project

Amount Requested: \$32,695.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$32,695	<p>Revised Application -Application project coordinator and director must be different individuals -Authorized official listed should be the signature of application Formal Evaluation- Sub-grantee can opt to revise budget to utilize grant funds to complete a formal evaluation. OVP office shall be included in process Please consult with director before beginning project</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Pilot Project in apprenticeships in construction.
2. Uses Attendance Navigators to track student attendance in schools
3. There is a strong "market" for post-high school grads that will not go to college.
4. Good description of how the program will work (pgs. 25-26).

Areas for improvement:

1. Include community data to demonstrate need for this project.
2. No direct link between this program and reduced violence but argument that work/skills reduce delinquency/crime is well-known.
3. There is a reference to past projects such as done in the 1980s and 90s. Perhaps incorporate any data that may support your application.
4. Under "Goals, objectives, results" section, a more substantial indicator such as improved grades, how productive students ("productive hours worked) are compared to regular construction workers, pursuit of construction career after graduation, give grades on projects completed successfully, i.e., "drywall hung correctly and well" etc., would be better measures.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Black Men United

Grant #: 14-VP-5006

Title: Urban Pre-Vocational Training Program

Amount Requested: \$200,095.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$81,179	Sustainability Plan -how will position be maintained after funding year Formal Evaluation - Sub-grantee can opt to revise budget to utilize grant funds to complete a formal evaluation. OVP office shall be included in process 27,000 to fund education materials and tools 54,179 to fund personnel cost

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Large number of participants- 60-80 students
2. Recognizes general link between reduction in violence and work for youths.
3. Uses Attendance Navigators to track student attendance in schools
4. Use of cohort groups and mentors with the students strengthens likelihood of positive outcomes.
5. Clear description of how program works.
6. Pre and post-program assessment will be given to measure education.

Areas for improvement:

1. Letters of support would strengthen application
2. Some request seemed overestimated i.e. field trips

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Hope Center

Grant #: 15-VP-5000

Title: Village Basketball Alliance

Amount Requested: \$19,875.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$19,875	<p>Sustainability Plan-how will position be maintained after funding year</p> <p>Formal Evaluation- Sub-grantee can opt to revise budget to utilize grant funds to complete a formal evaluation. OVP office shall be included in process</p> <p>Provide a breakdown of celebration cost at \$500</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. It is clear from research that sports, school, religion, etc. reduce delinquency. This program falls into that. It provides youth the opportunity to partake in community activities, which also aid in the reduction of violence, that can provide recreation, pride, commitment, skill building, dealing with authority figures, etc... that have historically shown reduction in violence-producing behaviors.

Areas for improvement:

1. Letters of support would strengthen application
2. The applicants noted there is research that supports the idea that sports do reduced violence on an individual and community level. However, the applicants failed to make clear beyond anecdotal statements how their program has aided in the reduction in violence in Omaha. Specificity perhaps gleaned from data from their four years of operation could address this. How does the program reduce violence in the area? If players come back and are happy with the program, how does that translate into a reduction of community violence?
3. The Budget Narrative discusses the need for money for educational purposes (Navigators). This was not mentioned in the program description. What will the surveys cover?

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Father Flanagan's

Grant #: 15-VP-5001

Title: SOVIP
\$8,000.00

Amount Requested:

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$8000	Revised Application- Please contact director Revised Budget- Items should be itemized per item Formal Evaluation- Sub-grantee can opt to revise budget to utilize grant funds to complete a formal evaluation. OVP office shall be included in process

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The goals are simple- to have events whereby backpacks and other information working towards a work ethic are emphasized.
2. Wide variety of partners
3. Community support for project

Areas for improvement:

1. Letters of support would strengthen application
2. Not clear how this will have long-lasting effects or how this will be evaluated.

**Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice
SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET**

Applicant: Heartland Family Service

Grant #: 15-VP-5002

Title: Victim Empathy Program

Amount Requested: \$15,114

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$10,386	<p>Sustainability Plan-how will position be maintained after funding year</p> <p>Formal Evaluation- Sub-grantee can opt to revise budget to utilize grant funds to complete a formal evaluation. OVP office shall be included in process</p> <p>Revised Budget</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Large number of youth served
2. High completion rate of course
3. Good recidivism rates
4. The program has collected several years of data and has analyzed it in a pre and post-test format. This is the best format through which to analyze a program although it is unclear what the reported improvements indicate as the scale used was not described. The application also makes it clear who their clientele are, how they are selected and how they are processed through the program.

Areas for improvement:

1. Letters of support to validate program
2. Clarification as to the 1-10 scale used to assess the effectiveness of the program and how empathy is measured. In other words, what does this improvement mean? Is there any long-term assessment?

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Girls Inc

Grant #: 15-VP-5003

Title: Girls with Futures

Amount Requested: \$31,207.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$27,305	<p>Sustainability Plan-how will position be maintained after funding year</p> <p>Formal Evaluation- Sub-grantee can opt to revise budget to utilize grant funds to complete a formal evaluation. OVP office shall be included in process</p> <p>Revised Budget</p> <p>Justification on how positions are not supplanted i.e. why will annual fund drive, lunch for girls not cover salaries any longer</p> <p>Justification or itemization of \$2000 for health services under contracts (Is this a health care provider providing health services if so how much is the cost per hour)</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Targeting gender specific problems with a creative approach
2. Good Goals and objectives
3. The description of the program and the goals it sets forth for itself are excellent. They provide a valuable service in providing a place for young girls to go during times after school when there is much potential for mischief and delinquency.

Areas for improvement:

1. Letters of support would strengthen application
2. Application vague on how grant funds will enhance existing program
3. Application is not clear on how salaries are not being supplanted- **Non-supplanting of Funds** – Office of Violence Prevention funds cannot be used to supplant (replace) other existing funds. Funds presently appropriated for the project may not be deliberately decreased due to additional state funds made available through the Crime Commission. The application's budget narratives should clearly explain requests to ensure supplanting will not occur by including how position(s) or costs were funded, why funding is no longer available, when support ends or any temporary funding agreements or arrangements.
4. The application would be improved if it could clarify as to how their program directly prevents gang and gun violence. Young males typically are the targets of gang anti-violence programs. How would this money accomplish a reduction in gang and gun violence with the target population? Perhaps tie in the link between their efforts and violence reduction.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Urban League of Nebraska

Grant #: 15-VP-5004

Title: Youthful Offender Re-Entry

Amount Requested: \$102,478.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$102,478	Sustainability Plan -how will position be maintained after funding year Formal Evaluation - Sub-grantee can opt to revise budget to utilize grant funds to complete a formal evaluation. OVP office shall be included in process

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Uses Attendance Navigators to track student attendance in schools
2. Will serve 350 at-risk youths ages 15-21.
3. Will aid youths in completing diversion programs.
4. Very good descriptions of history and programs.
5. Excellent support from the community at large.

Areas for improvement:

1. Greater specificity as to how the program directly reduces violence in the community. The effect is indirect.
2. Letters of support would strengthen application

**Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice
SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET**

Applicant: African American Empowerment Network

Grant #: 15-VP-5005

Title: Omaha 360 Collaborative step up

Amount Requested: \$208,900.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$181,956	<p>Stipend for youth contingent upon consulting with Crime commissions legal department</p> <p>Sustainability Plan-how will position be maintained after funding year</p> <p>Formal Evaluation- Sub-grantee can opt to revise budget to utilize grant funds to complete a formal evaluation. OVP office shall be included in process</p> <p>Revised Budget</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Reduced gun and gang violence in Omaha
2. Approach includes collaboration, prevention, intervention, enforcement, and support services.
3. Over 300 partner agencies.
4. Targets summer employment, educational opportunities and positive activities.
5. Grant will extend summer programs into fall months.
6. A very laudable and well-funded and supported program.

Areas for improvement:

1. Letters of support would strengthen application
2. The goals/evaluation were aimed at the expansion of the program. There was very little in as to how the program would be evaluated to determine how well the program works.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Banister Leadership Academy

Grant #: 15-VP-5006

Title: The Night Life Teen Leadership Academy Cass County **Amount Requested:** \$25,000.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$0	Program funded with Cass County Community Based aid grant Application 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of the proposed project. 007.02G: Amount of funds available.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Wants to expand program

Areas for improvement:

1. Application is being funded with another grant and would be considered supplanting if awarded additional funds for program that is already being funded.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Compassion in Action

Grant #: 14-VP-5007

Title: Raw Dawg Youth Corps

Amount Requested: \$103,055

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$30,695	007.02C: Completeness, clarity, continuity and consistency of the written application. Justification on how positions are not supplanted i.e. what grants funded office manager and youth director Revised Application and budget -Contact OVP director before submitting Sustainability Plan -how will position be maintained after funding year Formal Evaluation - Sub-grantee can opt to revise budget to utilize grant funds to complete a formal evaluation. OVP office shall be included in process

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Launched in 2014. Served 20 kids.
2. Targets kids away from gangs and high risk behaviors.
3. Targets kids early with the ages 5-13.
4. Engaged with schools and agencies will to screen and help.
5. Uses structure, (like boot camp) provides tutors, physical education, point systems for rewards, mentoring, etc...
6. Incorporates families in the process.

Attachment #4

2015 Community-Based Juvenile Services Aid

Total Available \$4,885,784

Amount Not Requested \$299,620

Number	County	Title	Amount Requested	Amount Recommend Staff Review	Amount Recommended NCJJ	Amount Recommended NCC
15-CB-500	Gage County	Juvenile Diversion, Comm Service, Substance Abuse Prevention & Truancy Project	\$ 55,108.00	\$ 55,108.00	\$ 55,108.00	
15-CB-501	Jefferson County	Juvenile Services Aid	\$ 16,584.00	\$ 16,584.00	\$ 16,584.00	
15-CB-502	Merrick County-Lead (Nance & Polk)	Central NE Juvenile Diversion	\$ 46,110.00	\$ 46,110.00	\$ 46,110.00	
15-CB-503	Winnebago Tribe of NE	Juvenile Rehabilitation & Recentry Pilot Project	\$ 28,057.00	\$ 28,057.00	\$ 28,057.00	
15-CB-504	Santee Sioux Nation of NE	Santee Dakota Juvenile Services Project	\$ 9,298.00	\$ 9,298.00	\$ 9,298.00	
15-CB-505	Dawson County	Juvenile Services	\$ 71,520.00	\$ 71,520.00	\$ 71,520.00	
15-CB-506	Lancaster County	Community Aid	\$ 658,387.00	\$ 658,387.00	\$ 658,387.00	
15-CB-507	Douglas County	Community-Based Juvenile Services Aid	\$ 1,337,871.00	\$ 1,337,871.00	\$ 1,337,871.00	
15-CB-508	Hamilton County	Juvenile Services	\$ 28,394.00	\$ 28,394.00	\$ 28,394.00	
15-CB-509	Colfax County	Juvenile Services	\$ 28,519.00	\$ 28,519.00	\$ 28,519.00	
15-CB-510	Cheyenne County-Lead (Deuel)	Community Youth Services & Diversion	\$ 29,767.00	\$ 29,767.00	\$ 29,767.00	
15-CB-511	Madison County-Lead (Madison, Pierce, Stanton, Antelope, Boone, Knox, Burt & Cuming)	Juvenile Services	\$ 232,204.00	\$ 232,204.00	\$ 232,204.00	
15-CB-512	Clay County-Lead (Adams, Clay, Nuckolls, Webster, Fillmore, Phelps, Franklin, Kearney, & Harlan)	South Central Partnership	\$ 204,226.00	\$ 197,569.00	\$ 197,569.00	
15-CB-513	Scotts Bluff County-Lead (Banner)	Early Intervention Programs	\$ 100,019.00	\$ 100,019.00	\$ 100,019.00	
15-CB-514	York County	Juvenile Comprehensive Services	\$ 35,108.00	\$ 35,108.00	\$ 35,108.00	
15-CB-515	Dawes County	School Social Work Program	\$ 23,870.00	\$ 23,870.00	\$ 23,870.00	
15-CB-516	Morrill County	Youth Services-Diversion	\$ 12,968.00	\$ 12,968.00	\$ 12,968.00	
15-CB-517	Seward County-Lead (Butler)	Community Planning & County Aid	\$ 70,713.00	\$ 70,713.00	\$ 70,713.00	
15-CB-518	Platte County	Platte Valley Diversion Program/Juvenile Services	\$ 90,805.00	\$ 90,805.00	\$ 90,805.00	
15-CB-519	Kimball County	Youth Services-Diversion	\$ 9,325.00	\$ 9,325.00	\$ 9,325.00	
15-CB-520	Garfield County-Lead (Garfield, Loop & Wheeler)	GI,W Youth	\$ 15,628.00	\$ 15,628.00	\$ 15,628.00	
15-CB-521	Sarpy County	Juvenile Services	\$ 441,761.00	\$ 441,761.00	\$ 441,761.00	
15-CB-522	Custer County-Lead (Blaine, Greeley, Loop & Valley)	Diversion	\$ 55,402.00	\$ 55,402.00	\$ 55,402.00	
15-CB-523	Box Butte County	School Resource Officer & Alternative School Job Coach	\$ 30,259.00	\$ 30,259.00	\$ 30,259.00	
15-CB-524	Hall County	Juvenile Services & Juvenile Diversion	\$ 158,745.00	\$ 158,745.00	\$ 158,745.00	
15-CB-525	Sheridan County	School Resource Officer	\$ 13,648.00	\$ 13,648.00	\$ 13,648.00	
15-CB-526	Red Willow County-Lead (Chase, Dundy, Furnas, Hayes, Hitchcock)	The Six-County Juvenile Diversion Program	\$ 69,161.00	\$ 69,161.00	\$ 69,161.00	
15-CB-527	Howard County	Community Prevention Project	\$ 17,508.00	\$ 17,508.00	\$ 17,508.00	
15-CB-528	Saunders County	ARRIVE Truancy Program Pre-adjudication Services	\$ 59,322.00	\$ 59,322.00	\$ 59,322.00	
15-CB-529	Holt County-Lead (Boyd)	Holt-Boyd Truancy Prevention Program	\$ 33,436.00	\$ 33,436.00	\$ 33,436.00	
15-CB-530	Buffalo County	Juvenile Justice Coordination Program	\$ 117,529.00	\$ 117,529.00	\$ 117,529.00	
15-CB-531	Richardson County-Lead (Nemaha, Johnson, & Pawnee)	Four County Juvenile Services Program	\$ 58,153.00	\$ 58,153.00	\$ 58,153.00	
15-CB-532	Dakota County	Juvenile Diversion & Alternative Detention	\$ 64,760.00	\$ 64,760.00	\$ 64,760.00	
15-CB-533	Cass County	Juvenile Justice Collaborative	\$ 71,121.00	\$ 71,121.00	\$ 71,121.00	
15-CB-534	Lincoln County	Community Based Juvenile Service Aid	\$ 93,931.00	\$ 93,931.00	\$ 93,931.00	
15-CB-535	Washington County	Juvenile Diversion Coordinator & Detention Alternatives	\$ 59,305.00	\$ 59,305.00	\$ 59,305.00	
15-CB-536	Dodge County	Community Youth Coordinator, Prevention, Diversion & Aftercare Services & Detention Alternatives	\$ 92,898.00	\$ 92,898.00	\$ 92,898.00	
15-CB-537	Otoe County	Juvenile Services Program	\$ 46,460.00	\$ 46,460.00	\$ 46,460.00	
15-CB-538	Garden County	Juvenile Justice Services	\$ 4,941.00	\$ 4,941.00	\$ 4,941.00	
TOTALS	Available		\$ 4,885,784.00	\$ 4,885,784.00	\$ 4,885,784.00	\$ 4,885,784.00
	Recommend		\$ 4,592,821.00	\$ 4,586,164.00	\$ 4,586,164.00	\$ -
	Remaining		\$ 292,963.00	\$ 299,620.00	\$ 299,620.00	\$ 4,885,784.00

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Gage County
Title: Juvenile Diversion, Comm Service, Substance Abuse Prevention & Truancy Project

Grant #: 15-CB-500
Amount Requested: \$55,108.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$55,108	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: Total for Gage County is \$55,108. On Page 4, Budget Narrative, the priorities are divided into 1/3 to equal \$55,801. 2. The funding requested to support the Coalition in facilitation and monitoring of the program must be further specified. What is the breakdown of funding for this purpose? Submit documentation that accounts for the total requested. 3. Submit additional documentation regarding the request for 1/3 of the salary for "Organizational Priority #1" and 1/3 of the salary for "Issue Based Priority #1". What is the hourly rate? How many hours are estimated on these projects? Does this position receive benefits? If so, are they being requested through this grant? Please provide us enough documentation that will produce sufficient justification when audited. 4. Contact Amy Hoffman, Diversion Chief, to discuss evidence-based practice options. After talking with Amy, submit documentation that shows efforts are being made to implement effective principles in the diversion program. What principles are used in the classes for youth and parents? What is the target population? 5. Provide documentation that this funding source will be utilized for youth ages 12 – 18 only.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Gage County is requesting funds for three priorities which include diversion, substance abuse and mentoring. These priorities are recognized in Nebraska's Three Year Juvenile Services Plan and are imperative for preventing youth from entering the juvenile justice system.
2. Excellent reference and use of data to support the funding request.
3. Various stakeholders are represented at the community planning meetings.
4. Community planning meetings appear to be frequent, informative, relevant and preventative.
5. It is commended that funding decisions for the 2015 grant application were discussed in October of 2014 which gives the community ample time to create a sufficient grant application.
6. Excellent collaboration with county attorneys and the court to create diversion programming.
7. Overall collaboration in the community is appreciated.

Areas for improvement:

1. To strengthen the Gage County grant application, details and budget breakdowns should be used when requesting funds for salary positions. Auditing requirements at the Nebraska Crime Commission have created communities and projects to track expenses more thoroughly. The first step toward compliance is a detailed budget breakdown in the grant application. If plans or expenses change, a subgrant adjustment request can be coordinated with the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program Chief at the Nebraska Crime Commission.
2. The grant application does not thoroughly explain the process in which youth are referred, assessed, and tracked through the diversion program. Reviewers need a better understanding to gauge the effectiveness of the diversion program in Gage County.
3. The priority and strategy tables on pages 15 – 18 indicate that no program or initiative in Gage County is evidence-based or striving to use effective principles or implementation of programming. Legislation requires that all programming funded through the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program be moving toward using principles and practices that have been found to be effective or display through data that changes have been made through local programming.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Jefferson County

Grant #: 15-CB-501

Title: Juvenile Services Aid

Amount Requested: \$16,584.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$16,584	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Submit the contract between Jefferson County and the AmeriCorps member.2. Provide job duties as it pertains to this grant for the AmeriCorps member.3. Submit a detailed program operation for the diversion program. Include the two positions funded through this grant.4. Submit local population data for ages 12 – 18 and outcome data from the program’s previous year of funding. Please clarify the target population.5. Contact Cindy Kennedy to schedule Grant Writing Training and Grant Management Training.6. Resubmit the activity timeline for the strategy and priority table on pages 8 & 9. The table must include a checkmark next to evidence-based practice. If not evidence-based, then provide a description that explains how the programming is effective or research-based. Elaborate on the activity that is occurring for this timeline.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Expanding the diversion program is an excellent use of funding for Jefferson County.
2. The supporting data is relevant in understanding the importance of diversion programming overall, however, the Nebraska Crime Commission is seeking for local data to understand the need within the community for Jefferson County.

Areas for improvement:

1. Jefferson County continues to expand services and collaborate effectively as a community. In grant applications, it is challenging for reviewers to understand the process within the community because the application lacks significant detail.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Merrick County-Lead (Nance & Polk)

Grant #: 15-CB-502

Title: Central NE Juvenile Diversion

Amount Requested: \$46,110.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$46,110.00	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="532 611 1372 743">1. Revised Budget: Match is calculated incorrectly. Match is 10% of the total project, not the allocation amount. Match for Merrick, Nance & Polk is \$5,124.00<li data-bbox="532 722 1390 814">2. Project Coordinator and Project Director must be different individuals.<li data-bbox="532 793 1372 886">3. Provide community team information. List representation from all counties.<li data-bbox="532 865 1047 926">4. Submit MOU's for Nance & Polk.<li data-bbox="532 905 1390 1037">5. Provide additional information regarding the contract with the Merrick County Sheriff. Contact Cindy Kennedy regarding this contingency.<li data-bbox="532 1016 1219 1077">6. Breakdown of educational materials at \$2,000.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Personnel description is thorough and well organized. It is interesting to see the priorities being targeted through the Diversion Coordinator.
2. Supporting data demonstrates an appropriate need for continued services for the community.
3. The grant application is legible, practical and thorough.
4. The collaborative efforts are noted through initiatives set forth in the community.
5. Excellent usage of evidence-based practices and principles in coordination of the diversion program.
6. Wide array of pre-adjudication services provided.

Areas for improvement:

1. In future applications, provide a more detailed explanation of the agenda items that occur frequently during meetings. For example, list the agenda from the last few meetings. Additionally, keep the grant application in its original format.
2. Continue exploring possible opportunities for growth on the community team. The Nebraska Crime Commission and Community Planning Advisory Subcommittee are available in helping your community attain that goal.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Winnebago Tribe of NE

Grant #: 15-CB-503

Title: Juvenile Rehabilitation & Reentry Pilot Project

Amount Requested: \$28,057.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$28,057.00	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="537 611 1382 779">1. Provide documentation that evidence-based principles will be utilized for the requested position. Best practices should be used for screening, assessment, case management, data collection, etc.<li data-bbox="537 758 1398 894">2. Contact Cindy Kennedy regarding evidence-based practices and principles. Additional documentation is needed regarding outcome data.<li data-bbox="537 873 1406 968">3. Provide documentation that funds will only serve youth ages 12 – 18.<li data-bbox="537 947 1300 1041">4. Provide clarification on the target population; tribal jurisdiction vs. state jurisdiction.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Excellent description provided of the importance for the Rehabilitation & Reentry Project Coordinator.
2. Collaboration amongst community team members has continually increased and is commended.
3. Well written grant application; thorough and detailed.
4. The breakdown of personnel costs is appreciated and well organized. This will equip the Crime Commission for future auditing purposes.
5. The local data on page 7 of the grant application clearly demonstrates a need for the community to reconsider spending habits and move forward with community programming. The Nebraska Crime Commission supports the efforts of the Winnebago Tribe in changing the culture of juvenile services from detention to rehabilitation within the community.
6. The community team is active and intensely involved in the process of enhancing community services. This is well demonstrated in the frequency of meetings, member attendance and agenda items discussed.
7. The Grant Review Subcommittee of the NCJJ believes the potential of the Juvenile Rehabilitation Specialist should be shared among tribal organizations.

Areas for improvement:

1. Continue to evaluate the community planning process and consider community-based services and initiatives that are preventative in nature.
2. Be sure to include evidence-based practices or principles that are being utilized in the tables that begin on page 15.
3. In these grant applications, we are looking for specific documentation that demonstrates a position or program is evidence-informed, evidence-based or utilizing curriculum and educational materials that are deemed effective. Each year, it is imperative that applicants support their claim of implementing evidence-based practices and principles with documentation. The Nebraska Crime Commission is adamant in supporting programs and projects that are an effective use of funding, and if local programs are struggling to meet these requirements, the Nebraska Crime Commission is here to assist you with meeting those needs. Services are needed in every area of the state; we are looking to receive documentation that programs and positions are being utilized effectively, and in turn we provide this information to the legislature who appropriates these aid dollars.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Santee Sioux Nation of NE

Grant #: 15-CB-504

Title: Santee Dakota Juvenile Services Project

Amount Requested: \$9,298.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$9,298.00	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Submit breakdown of expenses for \$2,000 and \$1,307.80. Before approval, the Nebraska Crime Commission must be sure of the events that are being funded through this program.2. Provide a detailed summary of the program operation and the plan to implement these activities.3. Submit clarification on the usage of the prenatal billboard. Who is the target population? Funding contingent upon the documented response.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The collaboration with Lancaster County for the Truancy Diversion Project is commended. Continue building a timeline and strengthening your program with the assistance of Lancaster County.
2. Incorporating cultural programming and activities for youth is appreciated. Documentation should be provided to the Nebraska Crime Commission to ensure that activities are effective and utilizing evidence-based or evidence-informed principles and practices.
3. Supporting local data identifies the need for services to address truant youth and excessive absenteeism.
4. The study on "The Survey of Nez Perce Culture" is very interesting and illustrates the importance of culture for Native Americans. Embracing culture is important for many families and youth across all different cultures.
5. There is a wide variety of agency stakeholders attending the community team meetings.

Areas for improvement:

1. The Nebraska Crime Commission is excited to be in its 3rd year of funding Indian tribes with the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program. Funding sources have a tendency to differentiate in their reporting methods, requirements, and program oversight. Grant management training is crucial in understanding the expectations of the Nebraska Crime Commission and the utilization of state aid dollars.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Dawson County

Grant #: 15-CB-505

Title: Juvenile Services

Amount Requested: \$71,520.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$71,520	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: CASA is unallowable – please see explanation on the memorandum. 2. Probation post-adjudication services are unallowable. Please see explanation on the memorandum. 3. Submit a percentage breakdown for the Juvenile Facilitator and Juvenile Coordinator. Nebraska Crime Commission must report back to the Legislature on primary functions of each position. Contact Cindy Kennedy regarding this contingency. 4. Contact Amy Hoffman regarding the interest in diversion programming, stated on page 10 of the application. 5. Contact Cindy Kennedy regarding the Comprehensive Juvenile Services Community Plan and community team. 6. Provide documentation that all services provided will be for pre-adjudicated youth.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The supporting data provided for the Juvenile Facilitator position is relevant and demonstrates a need for this position. The following statement is appreciated, “Dawson County Juvenile Services is **not** operating an evidence-based program, however, we are utilizing evidence-based practices”. The honesty and transparency in this process is appreciated. Programs and services will not change immediately, and working toward using practices and principles that are proven effective, are steps in the right direction.
2. The activity timeline for the priority and strategy table is thorough and detailed. This is especially helpful in considering next steps and the progress that the county is making and has made already.

Areas for improvement:

1. Thank you for sharing the barriers that prevented regular community meetings. It is crucial, especially with the proposed rules and regulations that community planning teams meet frequently. Please keep the Nebraska Crime Commission updated on the community planning progress.
2. Ensure that funds are not being spent on the following:

Unallowable Operating Expenses
Office Equipment: includes purchase and rent of all office equipment and furniture, office furnishings, desks, chairs, bookcases, copying and faxing machines, etc.
Office Space: includes purchase and rent of space for office, warehousing, permanent parking facilities (state cars only) and storage.
Office Supplies: costs of office supplies, such as stationery, forms, paper, ink, unexposed film, desk mat, calendars, stapler, floor mats, pens, pencils, pictures, inkjet/toner cartridges, ribbons, bookends, key, batteries, books, etc. These include expenses incurred in publishing reports and legal notices, advertising, duplication and copying services, book binding, picture framing, film processing, photographic services, etc.
Indirect Organizational Costs: charges to a grant or contract for indirect costs which include costs of an organization that are not readily assignable to a particular project, but are necessary to the operation of the organization and the performance of the project. Examples of costs usually treated as indirect include those incurred for facility operation and maintenance, depreciation, and administrative salaries.
Construction of Facilities: construction of secure detention facilities, secure youth treatment facilities, secure youth confinement facilities, capital construction of facilities, capital expenditures, and the lease or acquisition of such facilities.
Food and/or beverage costs are unallowable under any grant, cooperative agreement, and/or contract. Therefore, food and/or beverages cannot be purchased for any meeting, conference, training or other event. All events must be approved by the Crime Commission before any contracts are signed or arrangements are finalized. This restriction does not impact direct payment of per diem amounts to individuals attending a meeting or conference, as long as they fall within the guidelines. Additionally, this restriction does not impact costs for youth in programs or receiving services.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County

Grant #: 15-CB-506

Title: Community Aid

Amount Requested: \$658,387.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$658,387.00	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit the official contract between Lancaster County and Cedars Youth Services for the Day and Evening Reporting Services. Please include a job description for all employees funded with these monies. Additionally, provide a breakdown of the gas, insurance, and maintenance for the vehicle. Provide documentation that food is being spent only on the youth who are utilizing these services. 2. Submit all contractual services. Figures were miscalculated in the Golden Warriors contractual section. Please provide any clarification needed. 3. Contact Cindy Kennedy regarding possible Revised Budget. Each expense was individually calculated and there is a \$1,800 discrepancy. 4. Provide job description for the Pre-Adjudicated Community Services Coordinator and Assessment Coordinator.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Excellent alignment with community planning priorities and county funded positions.
2. Supporting data demonstrates a clear need for services to assist in system coordination among service providers.
3. In the Diversion Personnel Contract, the breakdown of funds requested for each priority is appreciated. This demonstrates the different scopes within the diversion program which meets a variety of needs for individual youth.
4. The culturally specific program, Golden Warriors, is commended in addressing the ethnic and racial disparity for the Latino/Hispanic need for services within the Lancaster County community.
5. The grant overall requests funding for a variety of different services and it is evident that a positive impact has been made through the community planning process to identify various needs in the community.
6. It is helpful for the Crime Commission to know the barriers identified in implementing strategies and priorities in the community plan. The action steps outlined and the transparency is appreciated in moving forward to address these barriers.

7. Excellent usage of evidence-based practices and principles throughout Lancaster County. It is appreciated that strides are being made in evaluating programs that are not yet coined evidence-based practice. Continue growing and pursuing effective programming.

Areas for improvement:

1. Continue to search for reasonable ways in which the 75% success rate of making contact with the families can increase.
2. Provide a total requested amount at the end of each contract and be sure to document an amount for each priority per funding request.
3. The Nebraska Crime Commission is aware that an evaluation has been completed for the Truancy Diversion Program. It may be helpful to provide that evaluation to the Crime Commission or include outcome data in future applications.
4. Please track the data of pre-adjudicated youth services.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Douglas County

Grant #: 15-CB-507

Title: Community-Based Juvenile Services Aid

Amount Requested: \$1,337,871.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$1,337,871	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: Requested Amount Categories are miscalculated for the Budget Summary on Page 2. 2. Submit a breakdown of the \$6,000 in consultant fees for the clinical Psychiatrist. Consultant and Contract fees may not exceed the maximum rate of \$81.25/hour or \$650/day. 3. Submit a breakdown of the \$60,000 contract for the Cultural Ambassador – Refugee Advocate Program. Consultant and Contract fees may not exceed the maximum rate of \$81.25/hour or \$650/day 4. Provide the contract between Douglas County and the Midlands Mentoring Partnership in the amount of \$60,000. A breakdown of this lump sum is needed to ensure documentation for future auditing purposes. Include local data for this program and provide an explanation of the referral process. 5. Submit a breakdown of the \$20,000 contract for diversion services. 6. Revise Category D – Supplies and Operating Expenses. The \$4,500 in Office Supplies should be categorized in the Operating Expenses Table below that specifies Postage, Communication, Utilities, Conference Registration, Educational Materials and Auditing. The narrative does not need to be revised for this section. Combine the communication expenses for the JAC and H.O.M.E. Program. 7. Submit timeline and expected services through the \$222,532 in wrap-around services. 8. Provide explanation for additional personnel for the JAC. 9. Provide justification for \$60,000 in mentoring services for 6 youth. 10. Submit plan for utilizing the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid funds for direct services.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Excellent description and justification for the Deputy County Attorney position. The detailed summary allows reviewers to obtain the full picture of this position which excludes the prosecution of truant youth.
2. Personnel requests reflect the collaboration and interfacing that is continually being established in Douglas County.
3. Supporting data presented throughout the funding request is relevant and meaningful. Local data is appreciated throughout the grant application to ensure the need for services on an annual basis.
4. Grant application is organized well according to priority utilization. Grant application is also thorough and detailed.
5. The work of JJPF is progressive and imperative to the success of Douglas County wraparound services. The increased collaboration each year is successful.
6. The "Reentry" priority has been challenging regarding the implementation of services to assist in that process. It is commendable that Douglas County plans to address these barriers and challenges in the upcoming community plan. Please keep the Crime Commission updated through this process.
7. The priority tables are incredibly helpful in assisting reviewers to understand the level of strategy that is involved for each priority in Douglas County. Evidence-based practice references are noted for the work being accomplished in Douglas County.

Areas for improvement:

1. There are discrepancies in JAC personnel salaries. A justification of large salary differences may assist reviewers in further understanding the need for each position.
2. Continue tracking the youth that did not satisfactorily complete diversion. If an inappropriate intervention match has occurred, what are the steps to resolve the issue?
3. Reviewers would like to see additional culturally-specific programming in community-based juvenile services aid applications. Disproportionate minority contact is a state priority and programming specific to this cause is imperative in providing wholesome services.
4. For future applications, please provide as much contractual information as possible. The Crime Commission understands that Douglas County releases a request for proposal, but a breakdown of services is imperative in gauging the allowability of grant application requests.
5. Reference Section III: Community Planning Team Information. Consider the possibility of stakeholders meeting before December to determine the funding requests that will be presented to the Crime Commission. This may expedite the process of identifying community-based services and detention alternatives that are requested through contractual services.
6. Review teams are concerned regarding the lack of direct services. The current funding request provides mostly for salaries, infrastructure, and system reform.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Hamilton County

Grant #: 15-CB-508

Title: Juvenile Services

Amount Requested: \$28,394.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$28,394	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="526 579 1386 758">1. Submit a breakdown of costs for each contractual request. For example, \$11,000 is being utilized for Diversion Services to include supplies, fees, coordinator salary, etc. There are specific operating supplies that are unallowable. A breakdown of all requests is mandatory.<li data-bbox="526 764 1300 825">2. Submit an agreement between Hamilton and Merrick counties for diversion services.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Diversion Coordinator in Hamilton County is an excellent use of funding and aligns with the priorities in the community plan.
2. The Youth Center appears to be providing useful services to youth for after-school programming.
3. The supporting data for the consultants and contracts demonstrates the need for youth services in your area. The information provided was cohesive and allowed reviewers to understand the overarching theme in the Hamilton County area.
4. Although the community planning process has been a struggle, it is apparent that strides have been made and there has been progress from the previous year.
5. Excellent mention of using the community-based juvenile services aid funding to leverage for additional funding.

Areas for improvement:

1. On page 13 of 24, referencing the Budget Breakdown of Priority Areas, please describe each priority rather than just listing the priorities as #1, #2, #3 and #4. It is more helpful for reviewers who read several grants to have the priority listed out in front of them.
2. Overall, grant was written well. However, there needs to be more detail included in the actual breakdown of costs. With recent audit requirements at the Crime Commission; thorough explanations are necessary.
3. Excellent plan to hire personnel to champion the community planning team and grant application. Be sure to stay afloat this goal as it's imperative to the success of community planning and utilizing resources efficiently.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Colfax County

Grant #: 15-CB-509

Title: Juvenile Services

Amount Requested: \$28,519.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$28,519	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Request for funding aligns well with the community plan for Colfax County.
2. Grant application is thorough, concise and legible; overall well-written.
3. Excellent goals suggested to be accomplished for the community plan.
4. Local supporting data is appreciated in understanding the need for youth services in your community in comparison to the state of Nebraska.
5. Excellent representation of various stakeholders at the community planning meetings. The frequency in which meetings occur is crucial for increased development.
6. The explanation of evidence-based practices being incorporated into the Truancy Program is appreciated. If locally, we are unable to find an evidence-based curriculum for truancy, reaching out to the OJJDP Administrator for Nebraska may be an option.

Areas for improvement:

1. Operating Expenses totaled \$1,971; however, in the budget summary the amount requested for operating expenses is \$1,970. A Revised Budget is not recommended in this situation.
2. It is suggested that individual stories not be used in grant applications.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Cheyenne County-Lead (Deuel)

Grant #: 15-CB-510

Title: Community Youth Services & Diversion

Amount Requested: \$29,767.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$29,767	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: Provide a detailed explanation to account for the effectiveness of Rocktoberfest and submit breakdown of \$5,000. Consultant fees may not exceed the maximum rate of \$81.25/hour or \$650/day. Provide data that displays the reduction in alcohol and tobacco usage. Office supplies and office equipment are not allowable. See the unallowable/allowable chart below. 2. Cool Kids Club / No Limits Program: provide a thorough description regarding the \$80 scholarship and what it ultimately pays for in the grant application. Provide a thorough description of the process from start to finish. 3. Submit a description of activities that take place in the Cool Kids Club / No Limits Program, Rocktoberfest and Cheyenne County Community Center. What populations of youth are served? Explain how the request aligns with the priorities outlined in Nebraska Revised Statute 43-2404.02, which details that programming and services are to be evidence-based or informed and deter youth from entering the juvenile justice system. 4. Submit contract between Cheyenne and Deuel counties and Kids Plus. 5. Provide documentation that the Diversion Program has been approved by Cheyenne and Deuel counties. 6. Contact Cindy Kennedy to schedule a conference call with her and Joan Frances.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Cheyenne and Deuel have received an excellent opportunity to participate in the Georgetown Capstone Project to support the need of youth services and diverting youth from entering the criminal justice system.
2. The partnership with Kids Plus and the Cheyenne Community Center is appreciated.
3. Collaboration in the Panhandle Partnership contains a variety of agency stakeholders who are incredibly dedicated to the community and its needs.

Areas for improvement:

1. In Section II: Budget Summary, please do not separate the costs for Cheyenne and Deuel. You may report and request expenditures combined.
2. To strengthen the Cheyenne County grant application, details and budget breakdown should be used when requesting funds for salary positions and consultants/contracts. Auditing requirements at the Nebraska Crime Commission require communities and projects to track expenses more thoroughly. The first step toward compliance is a detailed budget breakdown in the grant application. If plans or expenses change, a subgrant adjustment request can be coordinated with the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program Chief at the Nebraska Crime Commission.
3. In these grant applications, we are looking for specific documentation that demonstrates a position or program is evidence-informed, evidence-based or utilizing curriculum and educational materials that are deemed effective. Each year, it is imperative that applicants support their claim of implementing evidence-based practices and principles with documentation. The Nebraska Crime Commission is adamant in supporting programs and projects that are an effective use of funding, and if local programs are struggling to meet these requirements, the Nebraska Crime Commission is here to assist you with meeting those needs. Services are needed in every area of the state; we are looking to receive documentation that programs and positions are being utilized effectively, and in turn we provide this information to the legislature who appropriates these aid dollars.

Unallowable Operating Expenses

Office Equipment: includes purchase and rent of all office equipment and furniture, office furnishings, desks, chairs, bookcases, copying and faxing machines, etc.

Office Space: includes purchase and rent of space for office, warehousing, permanent parking facilities (state cars only) and storage.

Office Supplies: costs of office supplies, such as stationery, forms, paper, ink, unexposed film, desk mat, calendars, stapler, floor mats, pens, pencils, pictures, inkjet/toner cartridges, ribbons, bookends, key, batteries, books, etc. These include expenses incurred in publishing reports and legal notices, advertising, duplication and copying services, book binding, picture framing, film processing, photographic services, etc.

Indirect Organizational Costs: charges to a grant or contract for indirect costs which include costs of an organization that are not readily assignable to a particular project, but are necessary to the operation of the organization and the performance of the project. Examples of costs usually treated as indirect include those incurred for facility operation and maintenance, depreciation, and administrative salaries.

Construction of Facilities: construction of secure detention facilities, secure youth treatment facilities, secure youth confinement facilities, capital construction of facilities, capital expenditures, and the lease or acquisition of such facilities.

Food and/or beverage costs are unallowable under any grant, cooperative agreement, and/or contract. Therefore, food and/or beverages cannot be purchased for any meeting, conference, training or other event. All events must be approved by the Crime Commission before any contracts are signed or arrangements are finalized. This restriction does not impact direct payment of per diem amounts to individuals attending a meeting or conference, as long as they fall within the guidelines. Additionally, this restriction does not impact costs for youth in programs or receiving services.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Madison County-Lead (Madison, Pierce, Stanton, Antelope, Boone, Knox, Burt & Cuming)
Grant #: 15-CB-511

Amount Requested: \$232,204.00

Title: Juvenile Services

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$232,204	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="532 562 1382 632">1. Revised Budget: Match share is \$25,800. Printing costs are unallowable.<li data-bbox="532 632 1365 701">2. Boone County will need to provide documentation of their activity timeline for the \$3,900.<li data-bbox="532 701 1393 814">3. Submit a breakdown for the \$5,200 in educational speakers. The request for these funds should be approved before the event occurs.<li data-bbox="532 814 1344 884">4. Submit a Memorandum of Understanding with Cuming County.<li data-bbox="532 884 1354 1031">5. The activity timeline for the priority and strategy table marked evidence-based practice on all services and cited www.ojjdp.gov. Please provide the program, principles, materials or services that are being used from OJJDP.<li data-bbox="532 1031 1419 1100">6. Contact Amy Hoffman regarding truancy data and diversion in Stanton County.<li data-bbox="532 1100 1419 1178">7. Probation post-adjudication services are unallowable. Please see explanation on the memorandum.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The percentage breakdown for the Truancy JAO position is incredibly useful, and allows reviewers to understand the job position.
2. The personnel positions requested through the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program are instrumental in providing intervention services to youth. Great job explaining how each position fits into the community planning priorities.
3. Local data for the smaller counties in regards to diversion programming illustrates a clear challenge that is taking place in referring youth to diversion. The Nebraska Crime Commission is available as a resource to assist counties with diversion programming.
4. Mental health services were deemed a top priority in Nebraska's updated comprehensive juvenile services plan submitted to OJJDP. Excellent usage of funding and the supporting data clearly demonstrates a need for mental health services.
5. Budget breakdown for priority areas table is really helpful, especially the way it was broken down within each priority.

6. Excellent representation of community stakeholders at the community team meetings; possibly consider community members or youth involvement to diversify the team.

Areas for improvement:

1. Memorandums of understanding are signed by county attorneys; consider using county board officials.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Clay County-Lead (Adams, Clay, Nuckolls, Webster, Fillmore, Phelps, Franklin, Kearney, & Harlan)

Grant #: 15-CB-512 **Title:** South Central Partnership **Amount Requested:** \$204,226.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$197,569	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: include the 2015 allocation amount of \$197,569 in requested aid and \$21,952 in match share. 2. Contractual agreements between services and the county will need to be provided to the Crime Commission. Contract and Consultant fees may not exceed the maximum rate of \$81.25/hour or \$650/day. Contact Cindy Kennedy in creating this document. 3. It is stated on page 4, that the Save a Life Tour is popular and effective. Please provide information that demonstrates its effectiveness. Please refer to Area Improvement #1. If the effectiveness data is currently available, please provide this to the Crime Commission. If not, submit a statement documenting your intent to provide this information to the Crime Commission after the tours occur. 4. On page 24, evidence-based practice is checked for Horizon Lifeskills. Please provide documentation that illustrates this program is effective and/or uses evidence-based principles. If the program is in the process of being evaluated, please provide documentation that demonstrates the credibility of evaluation tools and outcomes being utilized.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Supporting data for Big Brothers Big Sisters is helpful in demonstrating the effectiveness of the mentoring program. It is difficult to determine the effectiveness of a preventative program, therefore utilizing programs that have demonstrated effectiveness through years of evaluation is best practice.
2. The priority and strategy timeline is helpful after each individual funding request to gain a clear picture of the steps taken to meet the proposed goals.
3. Detailed description of the Daniel Memorial Independent Living Assessment is helpful in understanding the practical application of the assessment position.
4. RENEW training appears to align well with the community planning priorities. The Nebraska Crime Commission will appreciate seeing the results and feedback from this training.

5. Utilizing evidence-based practices and principles throughout the services provided is imperative for the effectiveness and success the youth will experience.
6. It is appreciated that the videoconferencing consultant will continue to work with partnering agencies and service providers to collect surveys, based from established performance metrics, to better understand clientele.
7. Excellent representation from agency stakeholders for the community planning meetings. The frequency in which they occur is imperative to keeping the community active in addressing the priorities and implementing the strategies.
8. Well written grant application overall; thorough, legible and well organized.

Areas for improvement:

1. If Save a Life Tour has not yet been evaluated, please provide the pre-test and post-test data to the Nebraska Crime Commission after the tours to demonstrate effectiveness. It is important to seek feedback from entities or individuals whose expertise is research and evaluation outcomes to ensure the pre-test and post-test are valid measures.
2. A couple small figures were miscalculated. Continue to double check calculations to ensure correct totals at the end of each contract.
3. Contact the Crime Commission for examples of expense reimbursement documentation. All expenses to include mileage, per diem, lodging, airfare, must be documented in detail and all records shall be filed.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Scotts Bluff County-Lead (Banner)

Grant #: 15-CB-513

Title: Early Intervention Programs

Amount Requested: \$100,019.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$100,019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: Probation post-adjudication services are unallowable. Please see explanation on the memorandum. 2. Submit a projected breakdown for the \$4,000 in mental health services and evaluations. Documentation is needed to ensure contractual and consultant fees do not exceed the maximum rate of \$81.25/hour or \$650/day. Pre-filing evaluation costs are allowable; however, post-filing evaluation costs are the responsibility of Probation. 3. List the qualifications of youth receiving mental health services. 4. Provide the difference in utilizing the evaluations versus the treatment scholarships. 5. Provide clarification on service-learning projects. 6. In-kind match is not allowable per RFP for 2015 Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program. Provide documentation that ensures match is not in-kind. Call Cindy Kennedy with questions. 7. Provide documentation that all services provided will be for pre-adjudicated youth.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Excellent usage of evidence-based practices and materials in proposed request.
2. Wide array of services and agencies represented in the movement of the Juvenile Assessment Center.
3. Key areas identified for the Juvenile Assessment Center is an excellent example for the intent behind the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program.
4. Partnership between the JAC Committee and Panhandle Partnership is crucial in the development of the Juvenile Assessment Center and its success.
5. Supporting data demonstrates a clear need to address the priorities outlined in the community plan.
6. Level of collaboration amongst agency stakeholders is commendable.
7. Excellent planning process in establishing the JAC idea since May of 2014.

Areas for improvement:

1. Fringe benefits will need to be itemized and reconciled in a subgrant adjustment request if indeed costs were altered with the new positions.
2. In-kind match is unallowable. Cash match are contributions to the project, for example: cash contributed by your organization, equipment to be purchased by your organization for project activities, personnel to be hired by the organization to help with project, project brochure and/or its dissemination, etc.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: York County

Grant #: 15-CB-514

Title: Juvenile Comprehensive Services

Amount Requested: \$35,108.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$35,108	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Contact Cindy Kennedy to submit an activity timeline for the community planning team.2. Project Coordinator must be a different individual than the Project Director.3. Contact Amy Hoffman to discuss next steps for developing the final diversion plan.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The Juvenile Services Coordinator is an effective use of funding.
2. Supporting data demonstrates an upcoming need for an individual managing a diversion caseload.
3. Position request aligns with community planning priorities and strategies.
4. Family support services and electronic monitoring are appropriate uses of funding to provide alternatives to detention.
5. Grant application was thorough, legible and requested effective programming.
6. The usage of evidence-based practices and materials is important.

Areas for improvement:

1. It is important to stay afloat the process to ensure funding is utilized within its fiscal year.
2. If WhyTry training is utilized outside of Omaha, please be sure to provide a subgrant adjustment to reflect those changes.
3. Supporting data can be enhanced by utilizing past diversion data and additional local data to support the priority needs.
4. Comprehensive juvenile services community plans are due June 30, 2015 for the timeframe July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2018. It is imperative that a community planning team and the creation of a community plan be a priority for York County.
5. There is concern that the job duties are beyond the scope of the hours.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Dawes County

Grant #: 15-CB-515

Title: School Social Work Program

Amount Requested: \$23,870.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$23,870	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Submit the job description, duties, hourly wages, breakdown of fringe benefits, and responsibilities of the school social worker.2. Submit the contract between Dawes County and the School Social Worker position.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The alignment between the community planning priorities and the funding request is appreciated.
2. Supporting data provides a clear need for the services requested in Dawes County.
3. Excellent example and model for community planning in an area that covers a large geographic region. The level of commitment and dedication toward this process is commendable.

Areas for improvement:

1. The Nebraska Crime Commission requires a detailed explanation of services requested to include hourly rates, salary compensation, FICA, health care benefits, etc.
2. Grant application has room to improve its consistency and alignment with the community plan. The Project Director has taken steps to actively pursue this in becoming part of the Community Planning Advisory Subcommittee which reviews grants.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Morrill County

Grant #: 15-CB-516

Title: Youth Services-Diversion

Amount Requested: \$12,968.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$12,968	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Revised Budget: Consultants and Contracts equal \$10,008 rather than the requested \$9,908. Reallocate as the county deems necessary to equal the total allocation of \$12,968.2. Submit job description for Diversion Coordinator.3. Provide documentation that Diversion Program has been approved by Morrill County.4. Project Director and Project Coordinator must be different individuals.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. It is commended that Morrill County is collaborating with the Georgetown Capstone Project to begin diversion programming. The Nebraska Crime Commission understands this is a work in progress and is available as a resource and to provide support.
2. Supporting data demonstrates a clear need for services in this area and supports the need for the funding request.
3. Grant application is overall well written, organized and concise.
4. Collaboration in the Panhandle continues improvement annually and the level of commitment and dedication to prevention services is commendable.
5. The planning process demonstrates that limited rural resources require that level of intensity.
6. Excellent description of the evidence-based practices being utilized and the upcoming evaluation process.

Areas for improvement:

1. Double check calculations to avoid submitting a revised budget in the future.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Seward County-Lead (Butler)

Grant #: 15-CB-517

Title: Community Planning & County Aid

Amount Requested: \$70,713.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$70,713	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: CASA is unallowable – please see explanation on the memorandum. 2. Provide a listing of the schools that the truancy caseworker is working with in Seward and Butler counties. Provide truancy definition. 3. Specify the referral process for the parent classes. 4. Contact Cindy Kennedy, Program Administrator regarding this contingency. Community-based Juvenile Services Aid funds are specifically for youth ages 12 – 18. The description for Family nights stated that this program serves youth ages 0 – 10. Either submit a Revised Budget reallocating funds or a description that outlines these monies are only serving youth and their families ages 12 – 18. 5. Provide documentation that Teen Night and Wildlife Encounters are promoting evidence-based practices. How are these activities preventing youth from entering the juvenile justice system? 6. Submit clarification on allocation of diversion fees. 7. Submit documentation that provides a detailed breakdown of the \$8,000 toward Butler County’s Interlocal agreement. The Nebraska Crime Commission will determine the allowability of this request once documentation is submitted.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Excellent inclusion and collaboration from a variety of individuals for the truancy program.
2. Thorough personnel costs and description are appreciated.
3. Supporting data demonstrates a clear need for services in the area of truancy reduction.
4. The concept of collective impact and a backbone organization is imperative to the success of comprehensive community planning within communities. It is appreciated that Seward County is dedicating some of their resources to this initiative.
5. There is a wide array of stakeholders involved in the community planning process.

Areas for improvement:

1. The match requirement for Seward (Butler) is only \$7,857. It is appreciated that a higher match is provided, however, do not feel obligated to provide a higher amount of match because then we are accountable for tracking the entire amount.
2. Although Seward County Bridges is not required to have an A-133 Audit, Seward County is required to have this audit and is responsible for the fiscal accountability of Seward County Bridges through contractual agreements.
3. Parenting classes are crucial to the success of family intervention. In the application, consider including the curriculum and level of expertise being utilized in teaching these classes to ensure effectiveness.
4. Consider discussing the Nebraska Crime Commission funding request earlier than December. It is understood that Seward experienced turnover, but this may be helpful in future requests.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Platte County

Grant #: 15-CB-518

Title: Platte Valley Diversion Program/Juvenile Services

Amount Requested: \$90,805.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$90,805	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Submit a thorough description of how the funding request was determined at the community planning meeting.2. Submit breakdown of diversion fees and how many youth the scholarships will serve.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Excellent job description provided for the Program Administrator; thorough and detailed.
2. The work that has occurred since the conception of the program in 2001 is incredible. The synopsis of the supporting data section for the personnel request is practical and relatable to reviewers.
3. The cost analysis between diversion program costs and court costs is exceptional; this illustration is useful in understanding the importance of deferring youth from the juvenile justice system both financially and ethically.
4. Re-Offense Chart on page 11 is helpful in showing the effectiveness of diversion in Platte County.
5. The efforts toward reducing Hispanic recidivism are commendable and acknowledged by statewide stakeholders.
6. Addressing DMC with the newly created position of the Platte Valley Diversion Program Bilingual Assistant/Time for Change Director is an excellent use of community-based aid to continue addressing ethnic disparity.
7. Supporting data for an assessment specialist is imperative to continue moving forward with this initiative. Continue with the search for a qualified individual for this position.
8. The collaboration between PVD and Connect Columbus in the development and completion of the community planning process is instrumental in orchestrating collective impact.
9. The grant application is incredibly well written, detailed, thorough, concise and legible. The supporting data is highly reflective of the funding request and community need in addressing the priorities for Platte County.
10. The evidence-based implantation of programs is necessary to effectively utilize funding for community-based services.
11. Excellent community representation at the quarterly community team meetings.

Areas for improvement:

1. Referencing Table *CY 2013: Outcomes for Juvenile Diversion (page 10)* – consider in future applications explaining the reason for discharge. An explanation of the reason behind PVD denying 4 admissions may be interesting for the review team to consider.
2. Consider pinpointing specific areas and identifying them as priorities in the upcoming community plan. For example, Priority #4 for Platte County is “the high number of youth entering the juvenile justice system in Platte County is a pressing issue in our community”. It might be helpful to articulate a priority that addresses that specific concern.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Kimball County

Grant #: 15-CB-519

Title: Youth Services-Diversion

Amount Requested: \$9,325.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$9,325	1. Provide documentation that Diversion Program has been approved by Kimball County.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. It is commended that Kimball County is collaborating with the Georgetown Capstone Project to begin diversion programming. The Nebraska Crime Commission understands this is a work in progress and is available as a resource and to provide support.
2. Supporting data demonstrates a clear need for services in this area and supports the need for the funding request.
3. Grant application is overall well written, organized and concise.
4. Collaboration in the Panhandle continues improvement annually and the level of commitment and dedication to prevention services is commendable.
5. The planning process demonstrates that limited rural resources require that level of intensity.
6. Excellent description of the evidence-based practices being utilized and the upcoming evaluation process.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Garfield County-Lead (Garfield, Loup & Wheeler)

Grant #: 15-CB-520

Amount Requested: \$15,628.00

Title: GLW Youth

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$15,628	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Total Amount of Match calculated is incorrect. - In-kind match is unallowable; volunteer hours. Hard match (cash) contributions are allowable such as direct project-related costs. 2. Provide all contractual agreements to include staff positions and Kamp Kaleo. Ensure that consultants are not to exceed the maximum rate of 81.25/day or \$650/day. 3. Submit a breakdown of Kamp Kaleo expenses for the total amount of \$2,385. 4. Submit job descriptions for GLWCC Director, Coordinator and Fiscal Officer and the percentage of time that will be dedicated to projects funded by this grant. 5. Provide a description of how the community team identified and prioritized the requests for funding in this application. 6. Contact Cindy Kennedy for assistance with the above contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The description of programming within your community is very helpful for reviewers to understand the dynamics within your community.
2. Thorough and detailed description of the programs and their impact is incredibly useful when discussing funding request with reviewers.

Areas for improvement:

1. Match is calculated as a percentage of the total project cost. Therefore, if your total project cost is \$17,365, then 10% of that is \$1,737. In turn, 90% of your total project cost of \$17,365 is \$15,628. Please be sure to double-check calculations on the last few pages of the Request for Proposal.
2. The grant application that was submitted is outdated. It still has the supplies and operating expenses category. Please be sure to remain updated annually on the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program. Emails are sent out with detailed instructions for expectations and requirements, which include links to the website where forms are found and attachments.

3. The issue-based strategy table on page 13 indicates that retreats, t-shirt day, and sportsmanship summit are **not** evidence-based or striving to use effective principles or implementation of programming. Legislation requires that all programming funded through the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program be moving toward the utilization of principles and practices that have been deemed effective. Often times, smaller programming is unable to fund evidence-based programs. However, many rural areas utilize evidence-based tools or principles that have been proven effective or they present results that display effectiveness through data.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Grant #: 15-CB-521

Applicant: Sarpy County

Amount Requested: \$441,761.00

Title: Juvenile Services

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$441,761	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: A World of Difference Class Facilitator is calculated at the rate of \$82.50/hour and the maximum allowable rate is \$81.25/hour. You may readjust the hours to keep the total contractual agreement at \$2,640. 2. CASA is unallowable – please see explanation on the memorandum. 3. Probation post-adjudication services are unallowable. Please see explanation on the memorandum. 4. Overtime and uniforms are unallowable. 5. Submit the contract or agreement for each consultants/contracts request. 6. Provide length of session for treatment/counseling services. Consultant maximum allowable rate is \$81.25/hour and the session is being requested at \$105. 7. Contact Cindy Kennedy regarding mileage reimbursement. Regardless of the company being utilized for transportation services, the state of Nebraska reimburses mileage at 57.5 cents. 8. Provide an estimate of the requested \$70,000 in pre-adjudicated services. The Nebraska Crime Commission is responsible for reporting on specific services for each fiscal year and an estimated breakdown of the funding amount toward each of the services listed in the grant application will be helpful. 9. Submit a thorough and detailed breakdown of the projected Family Crisis Mediation Team in the amount of \$74,800. Who is Sarpy contracting with and what is the rate in which people are being compensated? More details are needed to gather the cost effectiveness of this project. 10. For each service requested: target population, referral population, outcome data for each service, and JDAI data. Explain how the different services are being utilized. 11. Provide a listing of the current community team members. 12. Provide clarification of target population served in drug court. 13. Provide clarification on JSO positions. Ensure that the funding utilized for JSO positions only serve pre-adjudicated youth.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Excellent description of the personnel costs and how they relate to the system flow of the community.
2. Evidence-based program rating is appreciated for each personnel and contractual funding request.
3. Victim Impact Panel appears to be effective and touching for those youth who struggle with substance abuse.
4. Congratulations on receiving notification from DOJ for being nominated for the OJJDP Model Program Guide. That's an excellent accomplishment! Although that was 2008, Sarpy County continues to strengthen community planning and juvenile alternatives with each year.
5. Pre-adjudicated services listed are excellent alternatives to detention and uniquely crafted to meet the needs of services in your community.
6. Thorough description of the community planning team process which demonstrates the collaboration amongst agency stakeholders.
7. The detailed process regarding the decisions for funding request is comprehensive and commendable.
8. Including the job descriptions for county personnel is helpful in understanding the role of each position.

Areas for improvement:

1. There is a lack of data in this grant application for the justification of each funding request. Relevant data is needed to understand the need for services and the process for Sarpy County.
2. Clarification on staff-secure positions and transportation costs will be helpful in understanding the services being provided.
3. Projected breakdown of costs for lump sum requests is necessary in understanding the full picture of services being implemented in Sarpy County.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Custer County-Lead (Blaine, Greeley, Loup & Valley) Grant #: 15-CB-522

Amount Requested: \$55,402.00

Title: Diversion

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$55,402	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Submit MOU for Loup County.2. Provide clarification for the \$354.50 in educational purposes.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The Custer County grant application is always a pleasure to read. The funding request is concise, legible, and informative for reviewers to follow. Well written applications are very much appreciated.
2. Rate of pay appears equitable and reasonable.
3. Excellent collaboration amongst various stakeholders in the community. Although distance is a challenge in your area, it appears that efforts are being made to consistently keep stakeholders informed of the process and its progress.
4. Excellent approach in collaborating with *Through the Eyes of the Child Initiative* to best utilize the time of community stakeholders.
5. It is commended that an agency with limited resources is utilizing evidence-informed practices and implementing proactive strategies to effectively produce positive outcomes.

Areas for improvement:

1. The recognition of the gaps in data analysis and accuracy are important. Continue utilizing resources through the Nebraska Crime Commission and the Juvenile Justice Institute to assist through this process.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Grant #: 15-CB-523

Applicant: Box Butte County

Title: School Resource Officer & Alternative School Job Coach Amount Requested: \$30,259.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$30,259	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Match is calculated incorrectly; submit <u>Revised Budget</u> to include match at \$3,362.2. Submit job description for the SRO.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Grant application is thorough, concise and legible; overall written very well. Significant improvement over the past several years!
2. Continuing education for the school resource officer is appreciated. It is imperative that the SRO is gathering information from programs nationwide that are effective.
3. Separating the evidence-based reasoning and the need for the school resource officer is especially helpful in organizing and understanding the justification for services in your area.
4. Excellent supporting data narrative – it shows a clear picture of the need for services in your area of the state.
5. Collaboration and communication are apparent in the Panhandle, and these themes are illustrated well throughout the grant application.

Areas for improvement:

1. The implementation barriers shared in the grant application are appreciated. The efforts on behalf of Box Butte County and the Panhandle Partnership are evident.
2. Please contact Amy Hoffman regarding data entry for the informal diversion program.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Hall County

Title: Juvenile Services & Juvenile Diversion

Grant #: 15-CB-524

Amount Requested: \$158,745.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$158,745	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: Unicameral Bill Tracking subscription at \$100 is not recommended. You may track 15 bills at no cost through the Unicameral. 2. Submit the contract for the SANKOFA program. Provide a breakdown of services for the \$12,000 being utilized for this program. 3. Submit clarification regarding truancy program eligibility. Contact Amy Hoffman regarding truancy data entry.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Thorough and detailed description of the Diversion Office and Office Manager positions is appreciated in understanding their roles in Hall County.
2. Supporting data demonstrates an evident need for diversion services in the Hall County community.
3. The overall funding request is aligned with the community plan for Hall County.
4. The variety of stakeholder representation at the community planning meetings is commendable. It is apparent that Hall County is collaboratively pursuing the best interest of community needs. The 12-18 yrs. Subcommittee is an excellent idea to connect and focus on juvenile justice funding. Excellent description of the community planning team.
5. The activity timeline illustrated for the priority and strategy timetable is incredibly helpful in understanding the work occurring on the ground in Hall County.

Areas for improvement:

1. It will be helpful to separate educational materials (teaching aids, books, manuals, etc.) and recreational expenses (daily passes, sporting equipment, rewards, and incentives).
2. Providing a further breakdown of expenses for contracts will assist the Crime Commission in determining which programs are utilizing specific resources. With the growing expectation of utilizing evidence-based practices and principles, it will be imperative that reviewers understand what resources and tools are being utilized in programs.
3. Continue searching for efficient and effective programming through evidence-based practices in all areas of the community.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Sheridan County
Title: School Resource Officer

Grant #: 15-CB-525
Amount Requested: \$13,648.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$13,648	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On page 15 of the application, SRO is checked as evidence-based. Provide documentation that ensures the SRO is utilizing evidence-based practices or research-based principles. Provide the job description. 2. Must utilize 2014 funding before 2015 is awarded. 3. Contact Cindy Kennedy regarding the above contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The Panhandle Partnership is a collaborative effort in western Nebraska that is commended for their dedication and loyalty to community services.
2. The Interlocal agreement provided is incredibly helpful in understanding the role of the School Resource Officer position.

Areas for improvement:

1. Please include the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for the School Resource Officer at the end of the grant application for future applications.
2. An old application was submitted for the 2015 Community-based Juvenile Services Aid application for Sheridan County. Please ensure that all current forms are uploaded from the Crime Commission website when applying for grant funds. This information is included in emails sent out by Cindy Kennedy and outlined in the Request for Proposal (RFP).
3. In these grant applications, we are looking for specific documentation that demonstrates a position is evidence-informed, evidence-based or utilizing curriculum and educational materials that are deemed effective. Each year, it is imperative that applicants support their claim of implementing evidence-based practices and principles with documentation. The Nebraska Crime Commission is adamant in supporting programs and projects that are an effective use of funding, and if local programs are struggling to meet these requirements, the Nebraska Crime Commission is here to assist you with meeting those needs. Services are needed in every area of the state; we need documentation that programs and positions are being utilized effectively, and in turn we provide information to the legislature who appropriates these aid dollars.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Grant #: 15-CB-526

Applicant: Red Willow County-Lead
(Chase, Dundy, Furnas, Hayes, Hitchcock)
Title: The Six-County Juvenile Diversion Program

Amount Requested: \$69,161.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$69,161	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: page 4 – copy costs are unallowable at \$300. 2. Submit job descriptions for the Program Specialist, Diversion Specialist and Regional Director. Additionally, submit a narrative that indicates how each position contributes to this grant application. 3. Submit attendance and truancy data for the 6-county area in comparison to the statewide data cited by Voices for Children. 4. Provide the contract between Red Willow County and Lutheran Family Services. 5. Contact Cindy Kennedy for grant management training and grant writing training.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Supporting data is relevant and useful in demonstrating the need to focus on absenteeism and truancy.
2. The utilization of pre-test and post-test scores is helpful in determining decision-making skills.
3. Diversion programming is a well-supported initiative statewide and resources are available to you through Amy Hoffman, Diversion Chief at the Nebraska Crime Commission.
4. The grant application is aligned with the community planning priorities and strategies.

Areas for improvement:

1. It is most helpful when local data justifies the need for youth services in your community. Truancy and school data specific to the 6-county area this grant is serving is crucial to understanding the need in southwest Nebraska.
2. For the grant reviewers, it is most helpful when applicants provide a cohesive narrative outlining program operation. It is unclear from the application how each position being requested for funding in the grant, actually contributes to the diversion program.
3. It has been proposed in the rules and regulations for the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program that communities are required to collaborate on the funding request with the community planning team. It is highly encouraged that each community team meets prior to the application due date and majority vote on the requests occurring in that area. Future funding will be contingent upon a majority vote of the community planning team.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Grant #: 15-CB-527

Applicant: Howard County

Amount Requested: \$17,508.00

Title: Community Prevention Project

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$17,508	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: Office equipment in the amount of \$1,037 is unallowable. Please see the description below. 2. Contact Amy Hoffman and Cindy Kennedy regarding the Diversion Officer / School Resource Officer position. Submit job description. 3. Submit the contract with Youth Frontiers for the Kindness Retreat. Provide breakdown of the \$3,000 costs. Consultants are not to exceed the maximum rate of \$81.25/hour or \$650/day. Detailed breakdowns of those expenses are needed.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Substance abuse prevention aligns with the community planning priorities for Howard County.
2. It is apparent that the community is reacting to an incredibly unfortunate situation. Please feel free to contact the Nebraska Crime Commission for any resources or support during this process in the field of bullying prevention.
3. Supporting local data from the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factor Student Survey demonstrates a clear need for substance abuse prevention and intervention in your community.
4. The frequency of community planning meetings is commendable; it illustrates the investment from stakeholders within the community.

Areas for improvement:

1. Please continue to make progress in the forward direction considering the usage of this funding. The need for youth services is evident and should be prioritized especially considering there is an active grant for this position.
2. Consider including additional classes to the Kindness Retreat in addition to the 5th graders.
3. An old application was used for the 2015 Howard County grant application. The 2015 application was updated and detailed instructions outlined allowable and unallowable expenses. Please view the 2015 Community-Based Juvenile Services Aid application and Request for Proposal (RFP) on our website to view the changes from the legislative session last year.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Saunders County

Grant #: 15-CB-528

Title: ARRIVE Truancy Program Pre-adjudication Services

Amount Requested: \$59,322.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$59,322	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The requested funding for the ARRIVE Coordinator aligns well with the community planning priorities for Saunders County.
2. Supporting data and statistics demonstrate a need for addressing truancy and excessive absenteeism within the community.
3. Supporting local data is appreciated on all contractual requests.
4. Grant application is overall exceptional. All numbers are calculated correctly, concise approach, solid supporting data, etc.
5. There is a wide array of agency and community stakeholders present at the community planning meetings. It is commended that parents and community members are encouraged to attend and provide insight.
6. The frequency of community planning meetings is crucial to the growth in Saunders County surrounding community planning for juvenile justice and beyond.
7. Excellent work on seeking evidence-based programming and principles. The goal is for each program to be positively impacting youth and their families.

Areas for improvement:

1. Continue working toward the goal of researching an existing after-school program for each community. The Nebraska Crime Commission and Community Planning Advisory Committee are available as a resource for Saunders. Many programs and counties throughout the state have after-school programs and all are very different and serve the needs for their specific area.
2. Please contact Amy Hoffman regarding truancy data.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Holt County-Lead (Boyd)

Grant #: 15-CB-529

Title: Holt-Boyd Truancy Prevention Program

Amount Requested: \$33,435.60

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$33,436	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: round up/down to utilize whole numbers. Match must be \$3,715 which is 10% of the total project cost rather than the requested amount. This is outlined in the 2015 Request for Proposal. 2. Submit any evidence-based practices, principles or assessments that will be utilized by the truancy officer. 3. Provide supporting data that justifies a need for the Truancy Officer, Juvenile Service Program Director, and Community Service Coordinator. This may include information such as absenteeism, truant youth, arrest data, probation data, community hours, etc. Youth served must be ages 12 – 18. 4. Provide a listing of schools that will partake in the Truancy Diversion Program. 5. Submit an MOU (Memorandum of Understanding) between Boyd and Holt counties. 6. Submit Addendum for Community Plan to include truancy as a priority. 7. Contact Cindy Kennedy for assistance in completing these contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The proposed truancy request is an excellent use of funding and aligns with the community plan.
2. There is a wide array of stakeholders present at the community planning meetings and the frequency of meetings is commendable.
3. Overall collaboration and communication has increased and is noted by the Nebraska Crime Commission.
4. Excellent use of local data in Section IV: Update of Community Comprehensive Juvenile Services Plan.

Areas for improvement:

1. Excellent funding request; overall there is room for improvement in providing sufficient information for the grant application. Cindy Kennedy will work with you to enhance grant writing skills and identify areas that need improvement. Staff and grant reviewers will provide feedback and Cindy Kennedy will relay that information to Holt and Boyd counties.
2. Consider adding school and county attorney representation on the community planning team.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Buffalo County

Grant #: 15-CB-530

Title: Juvenile Justice Coordination Program

Amount Requested: \$117,529.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$117,529	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit a <u>Revised Budget</u> for Category E – Other Costs which excludes the usage of unallowable expenses listed below. In Category E – Other Costs: pens, pencils, and advertising were included. Per LB464, these costs are unallowable. Please see chart below under areas for improvement, which was also included in the 2015 Request for Proposal. 2. Submit contract between Buffalo County and Aaron Davis; ensure that the consultant rate does not exceed the maximum rate of \$81.25/hour or \$650/day. 3. Submit the activity timeline table for the other priorities being funded in this grant application. Missing priorities are #2 and #3. 4. Contact Amy Hoffman and Cindy Kennedy regarding truancy data reporting and additional information for the Juvenile Facilitator Position. Documentation is needed such as target population, placement referrals, and program statistics. 5. Clarify referral process for the reporting center. Provide documentation that services are being utilized for pre-adjudicated youth.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Job Description for the Buffalo County Juvenile Justice Coordinator is helpful in understanding the role this position plays in implementing juvenile and youth services.
2. Local supporting data is appreciated to better understand the geography and population density of the community.
3. Excellent use of evidence-based curriculum for the Buffalo County Juvenile Facilitator position. The life-skills courses are comprehensive and appear effective.
4. The number of youth interested in participating in the Elm Creek Schools TeamMates mentoring program is commendable.
5. Collaboration is demonstrated through the wide array of stakeholders in attendance at community planning meetings.

Areas for improvement:

Unallowable Operating Expenses

Office Equipment: includes purchase and rent of all office equipment and furniture, office furnishings, desks, chairs, bookcases, copying and faxing machines, etc.

Office Space: includes purchase and rent of space for office, warehousing, permanent parking facilities (state cars only) and storage.

Office Supplies: costs of office supplies, such as stationery, forms, paper, ink, unexposed film, desk mat, calendars, stapler, floor mats, pens, pencils, pictures, inkjet/toner cartridges, ribbons, bookends, key, batteries, books, etc. These include expenses incurred in publishing reports and legal notices, advertising, duplication and copying services, book binding, picture framing, film processing, photographic services, etc.

Indirect Organizational Costs: charges to a grant or contract for indirect costs which include costs of an organization that are not readily assignable to a particular project, but are necessary to the operation of the organization and the performance of the project. Examples of costs usually treated as indirect include those incurred for facility operation and maintenance, depreciation, and administrative salaries.

Construction of Facilities: construction of secure detention facilities, secure youth treatment facilities, secure youth confinement facilities, capital construction of facilities, capital expenditures, and the lease or acquisition of such facilities.

Food and/or beverage costs are unallowable under any grant, cooperative agreement, and/or contract. Therefore, food and/or beverages cannot be purchased for any meeting, conference, training or other event. All events must be approved by the Crime Commission before any contracts are signed or arrangements are finalized. This restriction does not impact direct payment of per diem amounts to individuals attending a meeting or conference, as long as they fall within the guidelines. Additionally, this restriction does not impact costs for youth in programs or receiving services.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Richardson County-Lead (Nemaha, Johnson, & Pawnee)

Grant #: 15-CB-531

Title: Four County Juvenile Services Program

Amount Requested: \$58,153.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$58,153	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: CASA is unallowable – please see explanation on the memorandum. 2. Submit job descriptions for the Project Director and Diversion Instructor. 3. Submit contracts between Richardson County and Project Director and Diversion Instructor. 4. Resubmit operating expenses and consultants/contracts narrative to explain where the requested funds will be utilized. 5. Contact Cindy Kennedy for assistance in completing these contingencies and to discuss timeline of conference; cannot use grant funds in a different project period.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Supporting local data for the diversion program demonstrates a need for youth services in your community.
2. Grant application correlates and aligns with the community planning priorities and strategies.
3. Excellent representation at the community planning meetings. The frequency in which the community planning meetings occur is commendable and imperative for growth.
4. The description and status of identified priority areas is appreciated.

Areas for improvement:

1. For future applications, please fill out a separate consultants and contracts table for each contract; Project Director, Diversion Instructor and electronic monitoring / tracker services.
2. Overall, grant application has tremendously improved. However, there are some areas that need enhancement for reviewers to better understand the operating process and flow within your community. Please contact Cindy Kennedy to assist with enhancing the grant application for the Nebraska Crime Commission.
3. In the travel expenses narrative, please provide only relevant information to the travels that are being proposed. Additionally, it is best to know which trainings you are requesting funding for in advance.

4. The narrative is repeated several times throughout the grant for each funding priority. It is imperative that for each funding request, only pertinent and direct information is being submitted to better understand the cohesiveness of the request.
5. DARE is ineffective programming and should be reconsidered within your community.
6. Always round up or down to utilize whole numbers throughout grant application.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Dakota County

Grant #: 15-CB-532

Title: Juvenile Diversion & Alternative Detention

Amount Requested: \$64,760.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$64,760	1. Submit contract between Dakota County and Siouxland CARES. A further breakdown of services is needed.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Excellent narrative and explanation of the operation for the diversion program.
2. Implementation of evidence-based practices and principles are crucial to the success and development of community-based programming.
3. The detailed and thorough description of each program is incredibly helpful in understanding the cohesiveness of the grant application and requested funding for each priority.
4. Overall, grant application is legible, thorough and concise.
5. Representation includes a wide array of agency stakeholders at the community planning team meetings. Consider including families and community members for additional insight.

Areas for improvement:

1. In future applications, you may delete the example pages.
2. Continue seeking services for gang-related behavior. Contact Chris Harris, Director of the Office of Violence Prevention, for any resources needed.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Cass County

Grant #: 15-CB-533

Title: Juvenile Justice Collaborative

Amount Requested: \$71,121.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$71,121	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit the contract between Cass County and Banister's Leadership Academy. Submit data that justifies the need for this program. 2. Provide documentation that outlines how referrals occur for the LIFE Teen Academy. Who is Cass county referring to this program and what is the duration for each youth? Submit program operation. More details are needed. Please contact Cindy Kennedy. 3. Provide a detailed description of the Family Crisis Mediation Team. Submit a contract between Cass County and provider. How will this process be implemented? Provide the same information for the Intensive Family Preservation services. Clarify if these services are intervention vs. certified mediation.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Partnership between Cass County and Banister's Leadership Academy is commendable.
2. Grant Program Director is an excellent use of funding for a newly created position. A backbone organization is imperative in establishing the framework for a community to effectively and efficiently utilize all resources available. Ensure that the focus of this position is to build a strong community team and create collective impact within the community.
3. Supporting local data in each section demonstrates a clear need for youth services in your community. Excellent job using local data to support the funding request.
4. Referencing the Budget Breakdown for Priority Areas Table on page 34 of your application, excellent job individualizing the services within **each** priority. This was incredibly helpful to see the full picture of the Cass county application.
5. Thorough and detailed grant application; overall has improved from the previous year. Great job!

Areas for improvement:

1. It is not necessary to round the projected figures when calculating $\$36/\text{hour} (527 \text{ hours}) = \$19,000$. In future applications, $\$36/\text{hour} * 527 \text{ hours} = \$18,972$. Considering figures are likely to be altered, a subgrant adjustment will need to be submitted for fiscal reconciliation.
2. Consider using additional data to support the funding request. For example, the YLS/CMI Risk Domain Areas (Probation) was utilized in several areas for supporting data. While this is important information, it may not apply to the majority of requests. When providing supporting data for NJJA, consider giving some feedback and skillset training that has occurred in previous years.
3. Referencing the regular stakeholders in attendance at the community team meetings listed on page 36; consider inviting additional agency stakeholders such as parents, community members, mental health and substance abuse providers, diversion, mentoring, county attorneys, public defenders, Judges, counselors and any nonprofit organizations in the community that may be of assistance. Also consider increasing the frequency in which meetings are held – this may possibly be a goal of the new Program Director position.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lincoln County

Grant #: 15-CB-534

Title: Community Based Juvenile Service Aid

Amount Requested: \$93,931.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$93,931	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Contact Cindy Kennedy for assistance in submitting detailed figures for contractual costs; to include wages, diversion and mentoring.2. Contact Amy Hoffman regarding diversion program data.3. Provide documentation of the \$17,600 for a Juvenile Facilitator and document that all services provided by this position will be for pre-adjudicated youth. Probation post-adjudication services are unallowable. Please see explanation on the memorandum. Submit <u>Revised Budget</u> if services are post-adjudication.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Utilizing the YLS assessment for diversion services is appreciated. The use of evidence-based practices is crucial in implementing effective programs.
2. Excellent use of local supporting data to demonstrate a need for services in the community.
3. The thorough description of the operation of diversion programming is incredibly helpful in understanding the process for this community.
4. Community Connections is doing some great prevention work; keep up the great work and continue searching for ways to implement best practices.
5. The increasing collaboration amongst community stakeholders is commendable. It's been rewarding watching the process in Lincoln County strengthen each year.
6. Excellent description of how the community team came together to identify and prioritize the requests for funding in this application.
7. The thorough detail included in the activity timeline for priority and strategy implementation is helpful in assessing the progress in your community.

Areas for improvement:

1. Contractual operating expenses should be separated into the categories provided; postage, communication, utilities, conference registration, education materials and auditing. Staffing should be placed into the consultant fees section of the contract. Contact Cindy Kennedy for assistance in completing the categorized contractual portion of the grant application.
2. In future applications, when requesting partial funding for positions, be sure to include the other funding sources that are combined to provide a FT position.
3. Diversion assessment materials are requested at \$2,500 on page 4; however, on page 7 when illustrating the breakdown of services, assessments were requested at \$5,000. Given the total budget breakdown of requests, it is assumed that assessments are being requested at \$2,500. If this is inaccurate, please provide a subgrant adjustment to alter these projections.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Washington County

Grant #: 15-CB-535

Title: Juvenile Diversion Coordinator & Detention Alternatives

Amount Requested: \$59,305.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$59,305	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contact Amy Hoffman regarding next steps for the Diversion program. 2. Contact Cindy Kennedy regarding next steps for the community planning team. 3. Provide documentation that all services provided will be for pre-adjudicated youth for electronic monitoring and tracking services.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The Nebraska Crime Commission is pleased to receive an application from Washington County. Diversion programming is supported nationally and especially statewide, and there are numerous resources available to assist you through this process.
2. The Project Director is a member of the Georgetown Capstone Training Team and has several resources and connections to assist in implementation of this program.
3. Access to the Jefferson House, operated by Heartland Family Services, is an excellent source for emergency shelter care services.
4. Evidence-based practices are crucial in the development of all programs, especially diversion.
5. Great job submitting an application to the Nebraska Crime Commission. Alternatives to detention and diversion programming are statewide priorities.

Areas for improvement:

1. Referencing the Personnel Table on page 4 of 25; please individualize the amount requested for salary and the requested fringe. The amount requested for salary would be \$37,440 and the requested fringe would be \$8,865.
2. It is imperative that Washington County begin the community planning process. This may seem like a daunting task, however, there are numerous resources available to you through the Community Planning Advisory Subcommittee of the Nebraska Coalition for Juvenile Justice that Cindy Kennedy facilitates.
3. Please use the Community Planning Manual listed on the Nebraska Crime Commission's website to begin the community planning process:

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Grant #: 15-CB-536

Applicant: Dodge County

Title: Community Youth Coordinator, Prevention, Diversion & Aftercare Services & Detention Alternatives

Amount Requested: \$92,898.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$92,898	<p>1. Submit documentation that shows consultants/contracts are adhering to guidelines and not exceeding the maximum rate of \$81.25/hour or \$650/day. In the consultation services, it is unclear if counseling is \$88/hour or if a session is longer than 1 hour. Contact Cindy Kennedy to discuss options on this request.</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Widening the scope of the Truancy Diversion position into the Community Youth Coordinator is an excellent use of funding. Utilizing the funding to reach a broader range of youth and services is commendable.
2. Excellent collaboration amongst agencies for this position to connect families to resources and services.
3. The development of the Community Youth Coordinator evolved from Dodge County's participation in Georgetown's Crossover Youth Practice Model is an excellent pilot program.
4. Supporting data is relevant and demonstrates a clear need for youth services in your community.
5. There is a wide array of services being requested for prevention, diversion and aftercare services and they will allow a large number of needs to be met.
6. Access to the Jefferson House, operated by Heartland Family Services, is an excellent source for emergency shelter care services.
7. Grant application is legible, concise, thorough and cohesive; overall grant application is very well written and has enhanced from the previous year. Excellent work!
8. Documentation of evidence-based practices being utilized aligns well with the community planning priorities.
9. Partnership with Fremont Area United Way and Fremont Family Coalition is imperative in obtaining and maintaining a backbone organization and increasing community collective impact.

Areas for improvement:

1. Referencing the Personnel Table on page 3 of 25; please individualize the amount requested for salary and requested fringe. The amount requested for salary would be \$37,440 and the requested fringe would be \$8,865.
2. Ensure that electronic monitoring and tracker services are not being duplicated between the prevention, diversion and aftercare contract and the detention alternatives contract.
3. Supporting local data is useful and helpful; be sure to utilize only the necessary supporting data for each respective funding request.
4. Excellent existing collaboration; consider inviting families, community members, faith-based organizations, non-profit organizations, etc.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Grant #: 15-CB-537

Applicant: Otoe County

Amount Requested: \$46,460.00

Title: Juvenile Services Program

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$46,460	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: CASA is unallowable – please see explanation on the memorandum. 2. Provide documentation that services requested in the grant application are for pre-adjudicated youth.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. An individual dedicated to grant management and community planning is essential for the project's success and organization.
2. The utilization of the asset curriculum is important for the Rockets After School Program Coordinator.
3. Collaboration amongst various community stakeholders in the community planning process is commendable.
4. Efficient use of time in collaborating with the Through the Eyes of the Child Initiative.
5. Evidence-based practices are crucial in the success of programming and it is noted that the Otoe County Diversion Program is utilizing these resources.
6. The contract with People United for Families and the Memorandum of Understanding at the end of the grant application is very useful for reviewers.

Areas for improvement:

1. It is helpful to receive local data related to the supervision of programs and progress of the community plan.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Garden County

Grant #: 15-CB-538

Title: Juvenile Justice Services

Amount Requested: \$4,941.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$4,941	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised Budget: Match Share must be \$549. Please see description below. 2. Submit documentation that salary for the School Resource Officer is not supplanting. 3. Provide documentation that Diversion Program has been approved by Garden County. 4. Contact Cindy Kennedy to submit additional documentation in fulfilling the grant application requirements.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. The Nebraska Crime Commission is excited to receive the opportunity to work with another western county which collaborates with the Panhandle Partnership.
2. Diversion programming is highly supported statewide and the Georgetown Capstone Project looks forward to collaborating with the Panhandle to pilot this effort.

Areas for improvement:

1. Match is calculated as a percentage of the total project cost. Therefore, you take \$4,941 (amount requested) divided by 9. The amount requested \$4,941 divided by 9 equals \$549. The amount requested of \$4,941 + the match share of \$549 equals \$5,490 (total project cost). Therefore, 10% of \$5,490 (total project cost) equals \$549 (match share amount). Additionally, 90% of \$5,490 (total project cost) equals \$4,941 (amount requested).

Requested Amount	Match Share	Total Project Cost
\$4,941	\$549	\$5,490

2. As mentioned, we appreciate the submission of the 2015 Garden County Application. However, much of the grant was left blank. Key components are missing: Personnel Supporting Data for all requests, updated activity timeline for the priority and strategy table, program operation description, breakdown of costs for the recreational activities, etc. We look forward to assisting you with strengthening your application.
3. Per statute, a youth may not be denied diversion due to inability to pay for services.
4. Utilize resources and technical assistance from the Panhandle Partnership in submitting documentation and grant applications.

Attachment #5

2015 Community-Based Enhancement Grants

Available \$299,620

Number	County	Title	Amount Requested	Amount Recommended Staff Review	Amount Recommended NCJJ	Amount Recommended NCC
15-EB-0600	Jefferson County	Juvenile Services Aid	\$ 43,264.00	\$ -	\$ -	
15-EB-0601	Winnnebago Tribe of NE	Truancy Prevention	\$ 18,996.00	\$ 18,996.00	\$ 18,996.00	
15-EB-0602	Lancaster County	Lancaster Co Enhancement	\$ 199,822.00	\$ 144,355.00	\$ 172,050.00	
15-EB-0603	Madison County-Lead (Stanton, Antelope, Pierce, Cuming, Burt & Knox)	Juvenile Services	\$ 28,143.00	\$ 14,870.00	\$ 14,870.00	
15-EB-0604	Clay County-Lead (Adams, Clay, Nuckolls, Webster, Fillmore, Phelps, Franklin, Kearney & Harlan)	South Central Partnership	\$ 10,816.00	\$ 10,816.00	\$ -	
15-EB-0605	Seward County	Seward Co Aid Enhancement	\$ 9,821.00	\$-	\$ -	
15-EB-0606	Platte County	Platte Valley Diversion Program	\$ 10,596.00	\$ 10,596.00	\$ 10,596.00	
15-EB-0607	Box Butte County	Motivational Reinforcement & Hemingford Youth Center	\$ 14,300.00	\$ 14,300.00	\$ 14,300.00	
15-EB-0608	Red Willow County-Lead (Chase, Dundy, Furnas, Hayes, Hitchcock)	The Six-County Juvenile Truancy Prevention Program	\$ 2,650.00	\$ 2,650.00	\$ 2,650.00	
15-EB-0609	Buffalo County	Buffalo Co CASA & Friends Mentoring Program Enhancements	\$ 24,002.00	\$ 21,244.00	\$ 14,538.00	
15-EB-0610	Holt County-Lead (Boyd)	Truancy Prevention Program	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	
15-EB-0611	Lincoln County	Lincoln Co Community Based JS Aid Enhancement	\$ 66,220.00	\$ 42,120.00	\$ 42,120.00	
15-EB-0612	Otoe County	Otoe Co JS Program Enhancements	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 7,500.00	
15-EB-0613	Garfield County-Lead (Garfield, Loup & Wheeler)	Enhancing the education of our youth	\$ 1,953.00	\$-	\$ -	
15-EB-0614	Gage County	School Resource Officer	\$ 16,188.00	\$-	\$ -	
	Available		\$ 299,620.00	\$ 299,620.00	\$ 299,620.00	\$ 299,620.00
	Recommend		\$ 456,271.00	\$ 289,447.00	\$ 299,620.00	\$ -
	Remaining		\$ (156,651.00)	\$ 10,173.00	\$ -	\$ 299,620.00

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Jefferson County

Grant #: 15-EB-600

Title: Juvenile Services Aid

Amount Requested: \$43,264.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$0	<p>Application denied based upon Operating Instruction #10 per Nebraska Crime Commission:</p> <p>007.02C: Completeness, clarity, continuity and consistency of the written application. The written application shall include all sections and information as outlined in the grant application instructions.</p> <p>007.02G: Amount of funds available.</p> <p>007.02H: If previously funded, the performance and ability of the applicant to manage a grant program, including the timely submission of required reports to the Crime Commission.</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- The level of collaboration amongst community partners is commendable.
- The utilization of evidence-based practices and principles is crucial to appropriately address the need for youth services.
- The letter of support is appreciated in demonstrating support for this position.

Areas for improvement:

- On page 3, please place the request for \$43,264 at the top of the page where consultant fees are individualized by preparation fees, presentation fees and travel time fees.
- Please list the priorities in the Budget Breakdown for Priority Areas Table on page 5.
- It is mentioned in the consultant and contract supporting data section that the establishment of a baseline and solid measurement system was needed and that would give Jefferson a "starting point". Please provide this information to the Nebraska Crime Commission when it comes available.
- Growing by leaps and bounds in a very measurable way is challenging to understand when data is not presented.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Winnebago Tribe of NE

Grant #: 15-EB-601

Title: Truancy Prevention

Amount Requested: \$18,996.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$18,996	➤ Submit the contractual agreement between Winnebago Tribe and Mr. Al Pooley for the \$900 in guest speaking fees. The consultant fees are not to exceed the maximum rate of \$81.25/hour or \$650/day.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- The prosocial activities written into this grant application are creative and meaningful.
- The proposed conference *Intergovernmental Reentry Workshop, Planning for Reentry: From Federal, State and Tribal Correctional Facilities to Communities in Indian Country*, is applicable to the position requested through the 2015 Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Application.
- The need for operating expenses for the new Reentry Position is understandable in beginning a new program. It is important to understand that funding may not always be available for operating expenses in the future; therefore, sustainability planning and tribal investment is important.

Areas for improvement:

- The use of evidence-based practices is crucial in establishing a framework for youth services in your community. The Community-based Juvenile Services Aid grant funding is primarily used to fund evidence-based practices or principles. A plan should be established to evaluate the effectiveness of any program funded through the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program.
- Mileage rates are reimbursable at 57.5 cents per mile.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Grant #: 15-EB-602

Applicant: Lancaster County

Amount Requested: \$199,822.00

Title: Lancaster Co Enhancement

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$172,050	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Revised Budget at \$172,050. ➤ Shield Fine Arts Academy proposal at \$17,750 is unallowable. ➤ National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges Training at \$8,266 is unallowable. ➤ Drug testing at \$21,180 is unallowable. ➤ Transportation at \$9,897 is unallowable. ➤ Sudanese Program is allowable; liability insurance and travel to the Creating Lasting Family Solutions training is unallowable. ➤ Submit 3 informal bids for the translator fees. ➤ Provide clarification regarding wraparound services at \$9,000. ➤ Submit clarification for \$10,000 in assessment fees; breakdown of assessment costs. ➤ Funding at \$35,000 for evaluations is allowable contingent upon the results of the Truancy Diversion Project being shared with the Community Planning Advisory Subcommittee. Overhead costs are unallowable. ➤ \$60,000 will be utilized in collaboration with the Nebraska Crime Commission to provide statewide evaluation or statewide training. If request is unmanageable, submit revised budget to reflect the change. ➤ Contact Cindy Kennedy regarding contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Implicit Bias Training aligns well with Lancaster county community planning priorities and the current comprehensive juvenile services state plan submitted to OJJDP. It is encouraged that the Implicit Bias Training is evaluated and the momentum continues after the initial set of trainings.
- Excellent use of funding to contract with Child Guidance to provide Pretreatment Assessments to youth who are not Medicaid eligible and who do not have private insurance.

- Culturally specific programs are commendable. The goal is that each program demonstrates effectiveness for that specific population. It is important to allow equal access for all youth populations being served in the Lancaster county community.
- Excellent use of evidence-based programming for the enhancement application.

Areas for improvement:

- More information is needed for the Sudanese Grandmother, Mother, Daughter Circles Program.
- Inquire if Child Guidance Center will contract at the Medicaid rate.
- Training for the Creating Lasting Family Solutions is not recommended as it was shown that this program is not culturally specific to the Sudanese population.
- Shield Fine Arts Academy is not recommended because there is not an identified need or correlation to a reduction in juvenile delinquency.
- National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges training is not recommended. Insufficient justification stated for warranting out-of-state travel when local options exist within the state. The staff and grant review subcommittees discussed the possibility of bringing trainers to Nebraska for this purpose and opening the opportunity to Judges statewide.
- Drug testing is not recommended because services are for youth on Probation.
- Transportation is not recommended because services are for youth on Probation.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Madison County-Lead (Stanton, Antelope, Pierce, Cuming, Burt & Knox) Grant #: 15-EB-603

Title: Juvenile Services

Amount Requested: \$28,143.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$14,870	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ <u>Revised Budget</u>➤ Contact Cindy Kennedy regarding Revised Budget.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Funding a position to coordinate the community planning process is an efficient use of funding. The grant states that hours have increased due to additional responsibilities. This position is supported; however, consider seeking out additional individuals to assist with this process to avoid burnout.
- Supporting data is interesting and provides support for this specific service.

Areas for improvement:

- To enhance future applications and avoid contingency questioning, consider explaining each program in detail to ensure that reviewers understand the full intent of each program and services provided. It is difficult to understand the operation of Jim Jone's program Oasis Counseling when reviewers are not familiar with that service.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Clay County-Lead (Adams, Clay, Nuckolls, Webster, Fillmore, Phelps, Franklin, Kearney & Harlan) **Grant #:** 15-EB-604

Amount Requested: \$10,816.00

Title: South Central Partnership

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$0	Application denied based on Operation Instruction #10 per Nebraska Crime Commission: 007.02B: Adherence to federal and/or state requirements and guidelines.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- The proposed increase in youth served through CASA demonstrates the effectiveness of the CASA program and its importance in serving youth.

Areas for improvement:

- CASA is unallowable – please see explanation on the memorandum.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Seward County

Grant #: 15-EB-605

Title: Seward Co Aid Enhancement

Amount Requested: \$9,821.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$0	<p>Application denied based on Operation Instruction #10 per Nebraska Crime Commission:</p> <p>007.02E: Other resources available to address the problem including an explanation of how the grant applicant's proposal will work with and/or coordinate with existing resources.</p> <p>007.02F: Cost effectiveness of the proposed project.</p> <p>007.02G: Amount of funds available.</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Identifying a backbone organization is essential in the community planning process.
- After-school programs are helpful to provide safety for youth.

Areas for improvement:

- The Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program is primarily utilized for evidence-based practices or research-based principles that correlate with effectiveness of preventing youth from entering the formal justice system or intervening and reducing recidivism. The Nebraska Crime Commission is charged with funding programs and initiatives that are displaying effectiveness. Please make that evident in future applications.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Platte County

Grant #: 15-EB-606

Title: Platte Valley Diversion Program

Amount Requested: \$10,596.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$10,596	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Submit documentation that ensures consultants and contracts will not exceed the maximum rate of \$81.25/hour or \$650/day.➤ Provide documentation that funds are being used for youth ages 12 – 18.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- The Time for Change Anti-Gang Coalition is doing some incredible work throughout the community. The importance of focusing on culturally-specific programs and preventing gang activity is commended.
- Mental health continues to be a necessary priority seen across the state of Nebraska. Providing services to those youth and families who are unable to afford services at-cost is an excellent use of funding.
- Local supporting data demonstrates a clear need for the requested funding services.
- Evidence-based practices and principles within the T4C Coalition are imperative to its effectiveness. Continue evaluating the program to ensure its alignment with the needs of Platte County.
- Cost effective program for the number of youth projected to be served.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Box Butte County

Grant #: 15-EB-607

Title: Motivational Reinforcement & Hemingford Youth Center **Amount Requested:** \$14,300.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$14,300	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Submit breakdown of \$5,000 in rewards/incentives and \$8,000 in personnel costs for the after-school program. ➤ Provide documentation that youth served through this funding source are ages 12 – 18, per statute 43-2404.02. ➤ Submit local data from the after-school program; number of youth being served, evidence-based or promising practices; curriculum utilized; and other local data that supports the need. ➤ Contact Cindy Kennedy regarding contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- The local supporting data illustrates a clear need for after-school services in your community. The Hemingford Youth Center appears to be a safe and positive environment for youth to receive supervision during after-school hours.
- Great explanation for the seven principles of motivational incentives; ensure those steps are followed with fidelity to produce results and effective outcomes.

Areas for improvement:

- The after-school program and incentives are supported. However, in grant applications to the Nebraska Crime Commission, please include a breakdown of costs. Reviewers are unable to make an informed decision about funding requests when costs are not detailed. Consultant rates are not to exceed the maximum of \$81.25/hour or \$650/day.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Red Willow County-Lead (Chase, Dundy, Furnas, Hayes, Hitchcock) **Grant #:** 15-EB-608

Title: The Six-County Juvenile Truancy Prevention Program

Amount Requested: \$2,650.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$2,650	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Submit breakdown of \$1,500 for educational materials.➤ Provide local data to support this funding request.➤ Contact Cindy Kennedy and Amy Hoffman to discuss diversion specialist workload.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Truancy and chronic absenteeism is an issue and top priority for many counties and tribes across the state of Nebraska. It's important to have a workable system in place when addressing these concerns.

Areas for improvement:

- The Diversion Specialist is an incredibly important position for the six-county partnership. Duties and demands continue to increase for everyone with the requirements and mandates of the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program. Ensure that increased duties for the Diversion Specialist will not create burnout and lessen work productivity. As funding streams increase, and needs increase, consider funding another position to assist with activities in the six-county area.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Buffalo County

Grant #: 15-EB-609

Title: Buffalo Co CASA & Friends Mentoring Program Enhancements

Amount Requested: \$24,002.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$14,538	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Revised Budget at \$14,538. ➤ CASA at \$9,464 is unallowable – please see explanation on the memorandum. ➤ Funding for Friends Mentoring is contingent upon documentation of evidence-based programming and targeted population of youth ages 12 – 18. Further clarify the screening process, program operation, and effectiveness.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Overall, grant application is thorough, concise and includes supporting data to illustrate the need for services in your community.
- CASA and Friends Mentoring Program are both great programs for youth in the community. Evidence-based practices and principles are imperative in addressing the needs for youth services.

Areas for improvement:

- CASA is unallowable – please see explanation on the memorandum.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Holt County-Lead (Boyd)

Grant #: 15-EB-610

Title: Truancy Prevention Program

Amount Requested: \$2,000.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$2,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Revised Budget: allowances for Nebraska are \$41/day for meals, \$100/night for lodging and .575 per mile: http://www.gsa.gov/portal/category/100120.➤ Revised Budget to reflect the \$2,000 request. The requests throughout the grant application totaled \$2,400 but the actual grant application recommended \$2,000 for funding.➤ Submit a detailed breakdown of costs for the incentives at \$1,500 and educational materials at \$500. Printing costs are unallowable.➤ Provide documentation that funds being utilized through this grant source will serve youth ages 12 – 18.➤ Contact Cindy Kennedy.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Truancy and excessive absenteeism is an ongoing issue throughout our state and this request aligns well with the community planning priorities.

Areas for improvement:

- Overall grant application lacked a significant amount of detail. Although the request is fairly small, please include more detail and budget breakdowns for future applications. It aids reviewers in making decisions, especially considering rules and regulations are set for approval this year.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lincoln County

Grant #: 15-EB-611

Title: Lincoln Co Community Based JS Aid Enhancement

Amount Requested: \$66,220.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$42,120	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Revised Budget at \$42,120 for new staff contingent upon clear identification of need and separation of youth populations based on risk. ➤ Submit breakdown of costs to include: hourly rate of staff, projected hours worked, fringe benefits requested, projected number of assessments and individual costs, incentives utilized, new materials breakdown, and training costs. ➤ Provide documentation that the Changing Behavior Alternative Program is promising practice. ➤ Provide justification that requested proposal is a diversion program. Contact Amy Hoffman regarding guidelines and data entry.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- The *Changing Behavior Alternative Program* appears to be an excellent use of funding and aligns well with the community planning priorities. There are several benefits of after-school programming, especially when curriculums and practices being utilized are evidence-based and implemented with fidelity.
- The local supporting data illustrates a clear need for services aiding at-risk youth in your community. Local data provides a picture of the dynamics of the community and services provided.

Areas for improvement:

- The funding request is excellent as a vision. However, in future applications, please be sure to breakdown costs. With the increased requirements each year for the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program, reviewers need the full picture when determining if a program should receive funding. Furthermore, providing a sufficient amount of information will aid the review team in providing resources and assistance through this process. When a new program is implemented, support, resources and assistance are often needed.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Otoe County

Grant #: 15-EB-612

Title: Otoe Co JS Program Enhancements

Amount Requested: \$7,500.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$7,500	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Revised Budget Narrative: journals are only to be utilized for Diversion youth.➤ Probation post-adjudication services are unallowable. Please see explanation on the memorandum.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Interpreter and translator services are imperative in providing equal access to families of all races and ethnicities.
- Funding request aligns with the community planning priorities.
- Excellent usage of evidence-based practices and principles during the after-school program.
- It is important for students across all socio-economic lines to have a chance to receive diversion services.
- Overall, grant application provides sufficient information to justify funding request. Grant application is thorough, concise and relevant.

Areas for improvement:

- It is allowable to use the current state rate for mileage at 57.5 cents per mile. However, this will require a subgrant adjustment when the time comes and reallocation of funding. Possibly consider matching the difference for mileage.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Garfield County-Lead (Garfield, Loup & Wheeler)

Grant #: 15-EB-613

Title: Enhancing the education of our youth

Amount Requested: \$1,953.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$0	<p>Application denied based on Operation Instruction #10 per Nebraska Crime Commission (see unallowable expense chart below):</p> <p>007.02B: Adherence to federal and/or state requirements and guidelines.</p> <p>007.02F: Cost effectiveness of the proposed project.</p>

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Unallowable Operating Expenses
<p>Office Equipment: includes purchase and rent of all office equipment and furniture, office furnishings, desks, chairs, bookcases, copying and faxing machines, etc.</p>
<p>Office Space: includes purchase and rent of space for office, warehousing, permanent parking facilities (state cars only) and storage.</p>
<p>Office Supplies: costs of office supplies, such as stationery, forms, paper, ink, unexposed film, desk mat, calendars, stapler, floor mats, pens, pencils, pictures, inkjet/toner cartridges, ribbons, bookends, key, batteries, books, etc. These include expenses incurred in publishing reports and legal notices, advertising, duplication and copying services, book binding, picture framing, film processing, photographic services, etc.</p>
<p>Indirect Organizational Costs: charges to a grant or contract for indirect costs which include costs of an organization that are not readily assignable to a particular project, but are necessary to the operation of the organization and the performance of the project. Examples of costs usually treated as indirect include those incurred for facility operation and maintenance, depreciation, and administrative salaries.</p>
<p>Construction of Facilities: construction of secure detention facilities, secure youth treatment facilities, secure youth confinement facilities, capital construction of facilities, capital expenditures, and the lease or acquisition of such facilities.</p>
<p>Food and/or beverage costs are unallowable under any grant, cooperative agreement, and/or contract. Therefore, food and/or beverages cannot be purchased for any meeting, conference, training or other event. All events must be approved by the Crime Commission before any contracts are signed or arrangements are finalized. This restriction does not impact direct payment of per diem amounts to individuals attending a meeting or conference, as long as they fall within the guidelines. Additionally, this restriction does not impact costs for youth in programs or receiving services.</p>

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Gage County

Grant #: 15-EB-614

Title: School Resource Officer

Amount Requested: \$16,188.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$0	Funding is denied based upon Operation Instruction #10 from the Nebraska Crime Commission: 007.02B: Adherence to federal and/or state requirements and guidelines. 007.02E: Other resources available to address the problem including an explanation of how the grant applicant's proposal will work with and/or coordinate with existing resources.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Areas for improvement:

- The Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program is moving toward only funding programming and principles that are evidence-based, evidence-informed or research-based. In Section III: Proposed Project, there is no information provided to support the effectiveness of a school resource officer. It is understood that not all services identified through this funding stream will be model programs, however, there should be documentation that shows the effectiveness of the program, position or curriculum that is being requested. If there is any information on school resource officers having a positive outcome on youth, then it should be demonstrated in this section.
- There is training for School Resource Officers in the panhandle for this summer.

NEBRASKA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

May 8, 2015

The Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justices will meet May 8, 2015 at 9:30 AM in Lower Level Conference Room A of the Nebraska State Office Building, 301 Centennial Mall South, Lincoln, Nebraska.

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER**
- II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**
- III. OLD BUSINESS**
- IV. NEW BUSINESS**
 - A. REVOCATION SHANNON FRIES (LR-108-14)**
 - B. REVIEW OF CHARGES AGAINST CARTER (LR-107-14)**
 - C. EXECUTIVE SESSION**
 - D. BUDGET REPORT**
(Attachment #1)
 - E. COUNTY ATTORNEY'S CLE COMPLIANCE**
 - 1. Recommended Extensions**
(Attachment #2)
 - 2. Johnson – 1 CLE from 2013**
(Attachment #3)
 - F. COMMUNITY-BASED JUVENILE SERVICES AID**
(Analysis of funds prepared by the Juvenile Justice Institute)
 - G. JUVENILE SERVICES COMPREHENSIVE COMMUNITY PLANNING USER MANUAL**
 - H. APPROVAL OF FY 15/16 CB JUVENILE SERVICES AID IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,885,784**
(Attachment #4)

- I. APPROVAL OF FY 15/16 EB JUVENILE SERVICES AID IN THE AMOUNT OF \$299,620
(Attachment #5)**
- J. APPROVAL OF FY 2014 JOHN R JUSTICE FEDERAL FUNDS #2014-RJ-BX-0001 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$29,645
(Attachment #6)**
- K. APPROVAL OF FY 2014 VAWA S.T.O.P FEDERAL FUNDS #2014-WF-AX-0049 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,050,701
(Attachment #7)**
- L. APPROVAL OF FUNDS FOR OVP GRANTS
(Handout)**
- V. OTHER BUSINESS**
- VI. PUBLIC COMMENT**
- VII. ADJOURNMENT**

Attachment #6

Attachment #7

**STOP Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) 2014 Formula Grants \$1,109,244 Available (PREA \$58,543)
Over in Requests \$419,494.00**

Number	Agency—City	Program Title	Amount Received in 2013	Amount Requested for 2014	Amount Recomm Staff Rvw. 2/20/15	Amount Recomm Grnt. Rvw. 3/26/15	Amount Recomm Crime Comm. 5/8/15
14-VW-700	Crisis Center for DA/SA - The Bridge	Coordinate Response: Intervention Advocacy	\$ 47,393.00	\$ 48,343.00	\$ 15,798.00	\$ 15,798.00	
14-VW-701	Sac & Fox Nation of Missouri in KS & NE	Sac & Fox Nation STOP Project	\$ -	\$ 20,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	
14-VW-702	NE Atty General's Office	Atty General's Prosecutorial Response to VAWA Related Incidents	\$ 75,688.00	\$ 74,386.00	\$ 74,386.00	\$ 74,386.00	
14-VW-703	Heartland Family Service	Sarpy County CRT	\$ 83,291.00	\$ 90,630.00	\$ 67,748.00	\$ 67,748.00	
14-VW-704	Lancaster County	A Coordinated Response to Reducing Violence Against Women in Lancaster Co.	\$ 176,156.00	\$ 209,047.00	\$ 181,420.00	\$ 181,420.00	
14-VW-705	NE State Patrol	NE Domestic Violence Sexual Assault Program	\$ 72,946.00	\$ 76,309.00	\$ 76,309.00	\$ 76,309.00	
14-VW-706	Sandhills Crisis Intervention Program	Enhanced Advocacy/Keith Co. CRT	\$ 15,505.00	\$ 33,469.00	\$ 20,121.00	\$ 20,121.00	
14-VW-707 (PASS THROUGH)	NE DV/SA Coalition	Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)	\$ -	\$ 58,543.00	\$ 58,543.00	\$ 58,543.00	
14-VW-708	The S.A.F.E. Center	Five County Coordinated Response Team	\$ 68,285.00	\$ 143,121.00	\$ 114,473.00	\$ 114,473.00	
14-VW-709	Banister's Leadership Academy	Sexual Assault Trauma-Informed Peer Support Project	\$ -	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	
14-VW-710	Community Domestic Violence Intervention Program	Community DV Intervention Program	\$ 52,136.00	\$ 84,004.00	\$ 66,197.00	\$ 66,197.00	
14-VW-711	Domestic Violence Council	Douglas County Community Response Team	\$ 208,122.00	\$ 280,697.00	\$ 211,050.00	\$ 211,050.00	
14-VW-712	Bright Horizons	Bright Horizons Enhanced Advocacy & Coordinated Response Team Project	\$ 26,286.00	\$ 33,115.00	\$ 24,474.00	\$ 24,474.00	
14-VW-713	Center for SA/DV Survivors	Enhanced Coordinated Response to DV/SA & Stalking	\$ 57,343.00	\$ 95,649.00	\$ 43,588.00	\$ 43,588.00	
14-VW-714 (PASS THROUGH)	Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation	DV/SA Initiative	\$ 46,327.00	\$ 55,462.00	\$ 55,462.00	\$ 55,462.00	
14-VW-715	Haven House Family Service Center	Enhancement of Dakota Co. Community Response	\$ 55,644.00	\$ 58,006.00	\$ 55,644.00	\$ 55,644.00	
14-VW-716	The Spouse Abuse Sexual Assault Crisis Center	The CRT Revitalization Project	\$ 29,668.00	\$ 30,304.00	\$ 30,304.00	\$ 30,304.00	
14-VW-717	Crisis Center, Inc.	Coordinated/Sexual Assault Response Team	\$ 13,727.00	\$ 29,110.00	\$13,727.00	\$13,727.00	

TOTAL	\$ 1,028,517.00	\$ 1,470,195.00	\$1,109,244.00	\$ 1,109,244.00	\$ -
Available	\$ 1,028,517.00	\$ 1,050,701.00			
Remaining	\$ -	\$ (419,494.00)			

(6)

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Crisis Center for DA/SA - The Bridge

Grant #: 14-VW-700

Title: Coordinate Response: Intervention Advocacy

Amount Requested: \$48,343.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:	
\$15,798 (4 months)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Due to limited funds project funded at last year's level for 4 months with a project period of 3/1/16 - 6/30/16. ➤ Submit revised budget to include an explanation for the increase in health insurance. ➤ Submit revised Goal #1 (see below). ➤ Submit name of a 4th person assigned to the grant as the Coordinator or the Director. 	
	Allocation Category	Total Amount
	Victim Services	\$15,253
	Discretionary for CRT Coordination and BIP	\$545

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Correlation noted between "crossover" youth project and BIP.
- CRT and project efforts to attain accurate data.
- Increased numbers of volunteers utilized. Average of 167 hours per volunteer is outstanding.
- Good to see project is working with ER to review their sexual assault response procedures.
- Progress made by the County Attorney regarding the increasing numbers of cases in which charges are filed and the low numbers of dismissed cases.
- Doing creative work. For example, the Healthy Relations group and its connection to Drug Court participants.
- Informative Continuation section. Well stated accomplishments.

Areas for Improvement:

- Revise Goal #1 to indicate outcomes of increase safety for victims as a result of receiving advocacy within 24 hours, criminal justice support and crisis line services.
- Letters of Support should be specific to the STOP project.
- Strengthen application by addressing a proposed solution to the level of LEP individuals served and by identifying and discussing the involvement of other law enforcement agencies.
- Please address prior request to remove any reference to the "Consortium" noted on page 5.
- Advocate position at \$12.50 an hour seems low for the area served.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Sac & Fox Nation of Missouri in KS & NE

Grant #: 14-VW-701

Title: Sac & Fox Nation STOP Project

Amount Requested: \$20,000.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Reasons for Denial:
\$0	<p align="center">Request denied at this time based on Operating Instruction #10:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of the proposed project. ➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Need is clearly outlined.
- Population to be impacted.
- Legal resource is in place to facilitate the process needed to develop and adopt provisions for Tribal Codes and Probation.
- Useful examples provided in the description of the problem.
- Information presented in a clear and concise manner.

Areas for Improvement:

- Community description for making services available to "Native Americans" does not meet the VAWA requirement to service all Tribal members.
- The proposed Tribal code changes do not reference how these codes will align with the VAWA requirements.
- Federal funds cannot be used as match for federal funds.
- Number of victims served (5) is low considering total project cost.
- Unclear if victims in Richardson County have to go to KS for assistance.
- To enhance future requests it is recommended that applicant seek support from the local domestic violence/sexual assault program in Richardson County, Project Response.
- Suggest that applicant pursue national technical assistance providers such as Southwest Center for Law and Policy for creating codes that are victim centered and that align with the VAWA Act. It is possible that this type of TA would be free of charge.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: NE Atty General's Office

Grant #: 14-VW-702

Title: Atty General's Prosecutorial Response to VAWA Related Incidents

Amount Requested: \$74,386.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:	
\$74,386	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Project funded at request amount with 100% of funding contingent on approval of Federal extensions to State grant. ➤ Submit revised Objective #2 to report on the numbers of law enforcement personnel trained and feedback on the skill and knowledge gained. 	
Allocation Category	Total Amount	Amount Designated Sexual Assault Services
Prosecution	\$66,957	\$30,126
Law Enforcement Activities	\$7,429	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Project's efforts to create a consistent approach within the criminal justice system to the crimes addressed by the VAWA Act.
- Identified need to target counties with higher culturally diverse populations.
- Project's results have been consistently positive.
- Involvement in STOP supported activities including CRT and planning efforts.
- Strong application as it pertains to the VAWA prosecutor.

Areas for Improvement:

- As of January, 2015 project is operating on 2012 funds.
- Strengthen application as it pertains to the Litigation Specialist.
- Outline the training pieces throughout the application including the budget sections.
- Since funding provided is specific to training officers objective #2 must be revised to include number of law enforcement officers trained.
- Include on the Activity/Timeline participation on the Statewide CRT, STOP statewide planning efforts, etc.
- Table A needs to reflect the total numbers of prosecutors and the numbers of those funded with STOP. This is also the case for the litigation specialist.
- Support best practices and economic security by reporting on major points such as number of cases in which evidenced based prosecution is used and the number of cases that restitution was requested and granted.
- Supplemental funding chart should at a minimum include federal funds and match.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Grant #: 14-VW-703

Applicant: Heartland Family Service

Amount Requested: \$90,630.00

Title: Sarpy County CRT

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended		Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:	
\$67,748		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Due to limited funds project is funded at reduced amount. ➤ Submit revised budget. ➤ Submit revised Activity/Timeline and Objectives with any prevention and education activities removed. 	
Allocation Category		Total Amount	Amount Designated Sexual Assault Services
Victim Services		\$24,170	\$3,255
Prosecution		\$30,757	
Discretionary for CRT Coordination		\$12,821	\$2,136

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Taxes and benefits chart was great addition.
- CRT representation and the accomplishments achieved over the last year. Continuation Section provided excellent example of successful CRT coordination.
- Glad to see Offutt resource included on Table A.
- Helpful notes provided for fluctuations in data.
- Use of best practices and providing outcomes which will show impact to victims served.
- Addressed challenges and provided a solution to law enforcement breakdown.
- The inclusion of victim-oriented goals especially the, "Approach every case as if preventing a homicide."

Areas for Improvement:

- Rate of pay for prosecutor was confusing. Narrative states that it is an increase but it is same rate as last year.
- While assurance is provided, applicant must explain how increased funding request is not supplanting.
- Sexual assault victims are not considered an underserved population. Please refer to the VAWA Act definition of underserved populations when completing Table C.
- Expand problem statement to address all crimes identified for the STOP grant program.
- Please explain how HFS is applying the use of Motivational Interviewing with victims of crime.
- Activity/Timeline and Objectives list items that are more education and prevention activities than awareness activities to reach victims to inform them about available service. Since no funds are for prevention and education activities please clarify to avoid any confusion about conducting allowable activities.
- Support best practices and economic security by reporting on major points such as number of cases in which evidenced based prosecution is used and the number of cases that restitution was requested and granted.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Grant #: 14-VW-704

Applicant: Lancaster County

Title: Coord. Resp. to Reducing Violence Against Women in Lancaster Co.

Amount Requested: \$209,047.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended		Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:		
\$181,420		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Due to limited funds project is funded at reduced amount. ➤ Submit revised budget and provide explanation for 5% increase in LPD Domestic Violence Specialist and outline details for all benefits for positions supported with federal funds or match. 		
Allocation Category	Total Amount	Amt. Designated Sexual Assault Service	Amt. Designated Prevention & Ed.	
Law Enforcement	\$83,874	\$21,283		
Prosecution	\$45,618			
Victim Services	\$26,684	\$18,941	\$6,622	
VS/Culturally Specific	\$13,244			
Discretionary for CRT	\$12,000	\$3,000	\$1,000	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required. Strengths of the

Application:

- Problem offers a range of issues impacting Lancaster County. Applicant makes many valid points.
- Steps taken towards a 4th audit to deal with Protection Orders.
- Development of the Threat Advisory Team.
- Improved tracking efforts that have ensured victim confidentiality.
- Good discussion regarding culturally specific features of the project.
- Highly effective CRT that continues to challenge its members to achievement better outcomes for victims. Low rates of dual arrests are provided as evidence.
- Applicant's focus on Intimate Partner Sexual Assault.

Areas for Improvement:

- Community description is missing response to #1 and Table C is missing the estimated numbers to be served. Please refer to the VAWA Act definition of underserved populations when completing Table C as Intimate Partner Sexual Violence is not considered an underserved population.
- What, if any are the correlations between Lancaster County statistics and statewide data?
- Support best practices and economic security by reporting on major points such as number of cases in which evidenced based prosecution is used and the number of cases that restitution was requested and granted.
- Discussion or additional data is warranted to address the significant discrepancies in the number of related calls to law enforcement in comparison to arrests and the numbers of victims referred by law enforcement and provided face-to-face advocacy.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: NE State Patrol

Grant #: 14-VW-705

Title: NE Domestic Violence Sexual Assault Program

Amount Requested: \$76,309.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:	
\$76,309	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Project funded at requested amount with funds beyond 6/30/16 contingent on federal approval of a State extension. ➤ Submit corrected budget addressing bullets #1 and #2 under Areas for Improvement. ➤ Submit revised Objective #2 to include measures of satisfaction and skills and knowledge learned from surveys completed by training participants. 	
Allocation Category	Total Amount	Amount Designated for Sexual Assault Services
Law Enforcement	\$63,446	\$20,031
Discretionary for CRT	\$12,863	\$8,585

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant.
- Informative sustainability section.
- Excellent documentation of the problem.
- Comprehensive identification of underserved populations.
- Scope of project clearly defined.
- Solution statement discusses officer involved domestic violence with recruits and their families.
- Work with the tribes.
- Completion of CRT section was a nice addition and very useful for reviewers.
- Graphical representation was well done.
- Given the position vacancy the training numbers are really good.

Areas for Improvement:

- Budget: a) match for law enforcement request is required to be at 25% of total project (\$25,436); b) please move \$2 from Supplies/Operating Expenses to Personnel; c) mileage can be adjusted to \$.575; d) remove \$5 incidentals from travel; e) and correct travel for presenters (it is in the budget twice).
- Dedicating time from this grant project to managing the NARIP grant even though these two grants have similar purposes is not allowable. Please make any necessary adjustments to allocations of project personnel.
- Report on progress made by NSP in regard to STOP relevant issues and how they will continue to be improved and enhanced. This could be accomplished by adding a discussion about what the NSP does on a daily basis for victims.
- Table C is missing estimated numbers to be served and sexual assault victims are not considered an underserved population. Please refer to the VAWA Act definition of underserved populations.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Sandhills Crisis Intervention Program

Grant #: 14-VW-706

Title: Enhanced Advocacy/Keith Co. CRT

Amount Requested: \$33,469.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended		Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:		
\$20,121		Due to limited funds project is funded at a reduce amount. ➤ Submit revised budget.		
Allocation Category	Total Amount	Amt. Designated Sexual Assault Services	Amt. Designated Prevention & Ed.	
Law Enforcement	\$766		\$766	
Victims Services	\$13,285	\$4,698	\$1,808	
Discretionary for CRT	\$6,070	\$5,098	\$500	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant.
- Efforts securing local funding. Good to see SCIP working to create a 6-month reserve.
- Interesting problem statement; especially the link to increased drug issues as a result of Colorado's new laws.
- Appreciated the recognition of ever changing cultural dynamics of the service area due to travelers.
- Development of the Board of Directors and strategic planning efforts conducted.
- Applicant did nice job stating the problem and providing documented evidence.
- Project provides outcome based measures of effectiveness.
- CRT participation and trainings provided to members. Nice work.
- Nice letters of support provided. Several mentioned specific cases involving SCIP.

Areas for Improvement:

- Mileage can be adjusted to \$.575.
- Address significant decrease in sworn deputy from last year's application.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: NE DV/SA Coalition

Grant #: 14-VW-707 (PASS THROUGH)

Title: Prison Rape Elimination Act

Amount Requested: \$58,543.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$58,543 100% Victim Services/ Sexual Assault Services	➤ Submit revised budget to ensure GSA amounts are included for travel. ➤ Submit additional outcome measure(s) to show impact of project.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Project is addressing state requirements for PREA compliance.
- Plan to discuss evidence based and best practices.

Areas for Improvement:

- Rework the per diem amount to match GSA amounts (i.e., hotel and meal rates) and remove \$5 for incidentals.
- Survey those trained to determine new knowledge and skills gained and survey victims to determine if services are helpful, would they return for services, etc.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Grant #: 14-VW-708

Applicant: The S.A.F.E. Center

Amount Requested: \$143,121.00

Title: Five County Coordinated Response Team

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:			
Amount Recommended			
\$114,473	Due to limited funds project is funded at a reduced amount. ➤ Submit revised budget addressing bullets 1 and 2 under Areas for Improvement.		
Allocation Category	Total Amount	Amt. Designated Sexual Assault Services	Amount Designated Prevention & Ed.
Law Enforcement	\$11,952	\$2,988	
Prosecution	\$66,326		\$12,680
Victim Services	\$27,368	\$2,188	\$2,207
Discretionary for CRT	\$8,827	\$2,207	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Application shows much improvement and progress from last year.
- Good to see cross training on a community level as a sustainability effort.
- Partnering between the Enhanced Advocate and the Victim/Witness Unit and efforts to improve communication with the Prosecutor.
- Combining the work of the CRT and the SART.
- Prosecutors making revisions to processes around the sharing of case information to improved outcomes.
- Recognition of male victims and LBGT needs.
- Measuring impact of service by checking in with 195 victims from the time of their first contact with S.A.F.E. Center services. Baseline of 70% with positive results established. Good work.
- Increased participation by law enforcement in CRT meetings. Improvements to CRT collaborations are very encouraging. Keep up the good work.

Areas for Improvement:

- Category B. the budget sheet indicates match of \$32,500 in salary fees however; since the narrative is unclear it is difficult to determine the match. Match must be detailed and outlined in order to determine whether it is allowable.
- The hourly rate for the Prosecutor is confusing as the budget sheet indicates \$40 an hour but the narrative breaks it down to \$31.50 an hour. Please clarify.
- Support best practices and economic security by reporting on major points such as number of cases in which evidenced based prosecution is used and the number of cases that restitution was requested and granted.
- State law requires that the investigating law enforcement agency pay for the costs of forensic medical exams and as such, requests for STOP funds for this purpose should be included in a request for funds by law enforcement.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Banister's Leadership Academy

Grant #: 14-VW-709

Title: Sexual Assault Trauma-Informed Peer Support Project

Amount Requested: \$50,000.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Reasons for Denial:
\$0	<p>Request denied based on Operating Instruction #10:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 007.02F: Cost Effectiveness of the proposed project. ➤ 007.02G: amount of funds available.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Applicant is located in an area with an active and highly effectively CRT.
- Research provided on women of color and sexual assault.
- Use of trauma informed services.

Areas for improvement:

- Application is incomplete. Budget lacks details necessary to help determine allowable activities. Job descriptions are not provided, sources of match are not identified, and fringe benefits and supplies are not detailed.
- Based on grants and applications for funds from this agency to the Crime Commission it appears that the positions in the Personnel request are existing and not new. This raises concerns of supplanting.
- Request appears to be for \$10,000 in direct service with \$40,000 in administrative (oversight) costs. Unclear items: 1) how were the 286 hours in presentation fees (budget) calculated as they don't match the hours referenced in the Project Operations section; 2) what will the contracted facilitators do and how are they different then the guest speakers listed on the Activity/Timeline; 3) will groups be gender specific; and 4) what are the purpose of the survey results provided at the end of the grant.
- Sustainability Section is missing. Reviewers unable to determine agency's capacity to effectively support proposed project.
- Supplemental Funding Chart indicates that the agency has no resources to support proposed project. Per instructions agencies that are private, non-profits should outline their entire agency budget.
- Avoid the use of charts only as the data alone cannot provide a complete picture.
- Suggest applicant join efforts with the Douglas County CRT through the Domestic Violence Council which is in the process of developing a SART.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Community Domestic Violence Intervention Program

Grant #: 14-VW-710

Title: Community DV Intervention Program

Amount Requested: \$84,004.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:	
\$66,197	Due to limited funds project is funded at a reduced amount. ➤ Submit revised budget with corrections in travel and match (see below). ➤ Submit revised Performance Indicators.	
Allocation Category		Total Amount
Law Enforcement (CRT)		\$6,000
Prosecution (CRT)		\$5,643
Discretionary (CRT)		\$54,554

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Experienced and trained staff as evidenced by the numerous BIP facilitators who have been with the project for extended periods of time.
- Project has taken time to collect and review recidivism data.
- Additional descriptors of the problem provided.
- Nice list of accomplishments over the last 12 months.
- 12-hour mandatory holds, 98% of all arrests are prosecuted and other best practices utilized in service delivery and the CRT response. These responses closely align to VAWA supported approaches to victim safety and offender accountability.
- Community's well defined roles for CRT agencies/members.
- Scope of project, the services provided and the CRT functions are all clearly described.
- Great addition of the Minority Health Outreach Project to the CRT.
- Good to see community's commitment to the One Door One Stop project and plans for 2015 to improve response to sexual assault cases.
- Diversity among board members.
- Well-developed application.

Areas for improvement:

- Correction need in travel budget.
- Match is confusing. Clarify whether the \$3,455 in Personnel is match or funds requested?
- Request such as \$10,000 in awareness expenses must be detailed (i.e., billboards, ads, printing, etc.)
- Does the Employer Training Project promote economic security for survivors? If so, explain.
- Include numbers from other counties served in the community description, the statistic, etc.
- Impact of project is not fully reflected in the Performance Indicators. Please revise.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Grant #: 14-VW-711

Applicant: Domestic Violence Council

Amount Requested: \$280,697.00

Title: Douglas County Community Response Team

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:		
\$211,050	Due to limited funds project funded at a reduced amount. ➤ Submit revised budget addressing Budget items identified below. ➤ Submit revised objectives addressing Objectives identified below. ➤ Submit name of a 4 th person assigned to the grant as the Coordinator or the Director. ➤ Submit completed Disclosure of Pending Applications form.		
Allocation Category	Total Amount	Amt. Designated Sexual Assault Services	Amt. Designated Prevention & Ed.
Law Enforcement	\$81,494	\$2,445	\$4,075
Prosecution	\$62,010	\$9,832	\$4356
Victim Services	*\$13,154	\$6,233	\$3,983
VS/ Culturally Specific	**\$39,392		
Discretionary for CRT	\$15,000		

*WCA Attorney \$13,104

**Bilingual Advocate \$15,442, JFON \$15,000, Ponca Tribe \$9,000

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Plans to implement recommendation from the Praxis International audit process.
- DVIS unit providing training to new OPD recruits.
- Good to see that the Prosecutor for misdemeanors is using evidence based prosecution.
- Nice to see elderly and hard of hearing being recognized as a part of the community as well as other specific groups.
- Continued increase in cases seen by JFON-NE.
- Commentary on the data table was most useful.
- Comprehensive application with all sections providing evidence that this project is doing great systems work. The Solution and Continuation Information with examples of work taking place really stood out.
- Updated flow chart was appreciated.
- Great to see CRT members helping in the training of new recruits for law enforcement.
- Efforts towards data drive results and integration of outcome based measures.

Areas for Improvement:

- Budget: (call for technical assistance if needed)
 1. Ponca Tribe - STOP funds can be used for those items outlined in an approved budget. These can include payment for only the 1st month of rent or utilities on a leased apartment or house and only then when it is

for the safety of the victim. Voucher programs (i.e., gas cards, phone cards) are discouraged as they require extensive tracking and monitoring protocols and practices on the part of the subrecipient to ensure proper usage. For example, the project will need to ensure each gas card is used by the victim for the intended purpose (purchase of gas) and only on allowable activities (travel to support groups, etc.). With that said, it is recommended that a revised request be submitted to activities such as personnel costs, rent and utilities (based on stated guidelines).

2. Prior to supporting funds for the WCA family law attorney the supplanting of funds must be addressed explaining how this position was previously funded and what happened to those funds. Please include whether this attorney is able to assist victims of stalking.
 3. Clarify the pay rate of \$21.15 per hour on the J-FON request. Please make corrections to either the rate or hours of service.
- Objectives:
1. Revise #2 to include outcome measures for CRT agency staff trained (i.e., survey for effectiveness).
 2. Adjust the J-FON outcomes to reflect increase funding as the current outcomes are static.
- Support best practices and economic security by reporting on major points such as number of cases in which evidenced based prosecution is used and the number of cases that restitution was requested and granted.
- Please provide an explanation for the “victims of sexual violence other than rape on men.” What does this mean for Douglas County?
- Provide further explanation for the significant discrepancy in the number of calls to law enforcement and the number of related arrests.
- With the push toward services that do not discriminate the applicant will need to clearly explain how the WCA is providing comparable victims services to males.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Bright Horizons

Grant #: 14-VW-712

Title: Enhanced Advocacy & Coordinated Response Team Project

Amount Requested: \$33,115.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:			
Amount Recommended			
\$24,474	Due to limited funds project funded at a reduced amount. ➤ Submit revised budget.		
Allocation Category	Total Amount	Amt. Designated Sexual Assault Services	Amt. Designated Prevention & Ed.
Victim Services	\$22,802	\$1,572	\$2,987
Discretionary for CRT	\$1,672		

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Nicely done budget using effective pro-rated strategy for expenses.
- Great activities listed for last year regarding sustainability.
- Plans to expand CRT to new service area.
- Applicant is serving 10 rural areas, offers culturally specific services targeting Spanish speaking populations, and maintains an active partnership with the Ponca Tribe.
- Comprehensive list of underserved populations identified with LGBTQ victims identified.
- Good to see implementation of a SART in Madison County.
- Outcome based measures provided to indicate impact to victims served.

Areas for Improvement:

- Strengthen application by including additional information about victims of stalking and the services and interventions they are provided by Bright Horizons.
- Please explain fluctuations in data. For example, the significant decrease in the number of Prosecution cases charged from 257 in 2012 to 139 in 2014.
- Problem statement is well written however, it lacks evidence to substantiate the problems indicated. For example, "most survivors do not have insurance or funds to pay for services." Is this tracked by the project or is there supporting research to cite?
- Why is Madison County Victim Witness Unit data missing on the chart on page 22?
- Applicant includes training on sexual assault and domestic violence on the Activity Timeline. This was also indicated in last year's application but there is no mention of these training in the Continuation section's accomplishments.
- Sad to read of decrease in volunteer hours. Applicant may want to more clearly identify the increased service area as this will impact the statistical information provided over the next few years.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Center for SA/DV Survivors

Grant #: 14-VW-713

Title: Enhanced Coordinated Response to DV/SA & Stalking

Amount Requested: \$95,649.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended		Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:		
\$43,588		Due to limited funds project funded at a reduced amount. ➤ Submit revised budget addressing the Budget issues identified under Areas for Improvements. ➤ Submit revised Objectives to reflect LEP population identify in problem statement.		
Allocation Category	Total Amount	Amt. Designated Sexual Assault Services	Amt. Designated Prevention & Ed.	
Victim Services	\$18,877	\$18,881	\$7,773	
VS/ Culturally Specific	\$7,777			
Discretionary: CRT - \$12,463, BIP - \$4,471	\$16,934	\$2,991	\$1,246	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well stated project summary.
- Agency is to be commended for being recognized for its efforts on a national level.
- Sustainability and fund raising including the involvement of an Endowment Board.
- Team of bi-lingual staff providing culturally specific services.
- Population figures used to project numbers of possible victims. Powerful statement.
- Platte County is initiating CRT planning activities.
- Agency is providing services specifically targeted at helping victims build economic security.
- Identification of meaningful sexual assault services.
- Good to see the use of VINE.

Areas for Improvement:

- Budget: (call for technical assistance if needed)
 1. FICA request for personnel must be pro-rated based on federal dollars and not federal dollars plus match.
 2. Clarify information on Fiscal Manager Position as it states that applicant is requesting that 25% of position be funded and then it goes on to indicate it is 15% STOP funds.
 3. Workers must be assigned as contracted positions or agency employee as they cannot be both.
 4. Travel budget sheet does not match travel narrative. Need to follow GSA travel guideline for BIP travel.
 5. Under Operating Expenses STOP funds are only funding portions of the 5 staff. Amounts need to be recalculated to determine correct pro-rated amount to charge to the project.
 6. Explain the need for two staff to attend court hearings and travel together. Is this the most efficient use of resources?

- Is it accurate that 100% of cases reviewed by prosecution are charged?
- Explain the significant decrease from last year in law enforcement officers.
- Recommend project focus on LEP verses Spanish speaking only population.
- On the Statistical Documentation of the Problem Chart the numbers are confusing. For example, the number of cases charged is more than 4-times the number of arrest made. Please explain.
- Applicant indicates that the bilingual advocate job duties include facilitation of a Spanish speaking women's domestic violence support group. With the push toward non-discrimination applicant will need to clearly explain how the project is also providing comparable services to male domestic violence victims and other LEP individuals.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation

Grant #: 14-VW-714 (Pass Through)

Title: DV/SA Initiative

Amount Requested: \$55,462.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:	
\$55,462	➤ None	
Allocation Category	Amt. Designated Sexual Assault Services	Amt. Designated Prevention & Education
Courts	\$13,865	\$825

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Recognition of changing laws and impact on system.
- Ongoing judicial branch training.
- Project is concerned with achieving consistent system-wide criminal justice system responses to victim safety and offender accountability.
- Project has integrated outcome based measures to show impact.
- Inclusion of judges and court staff in the Objectives section.

Areas for Improvement:

- Please correct the time devoted to the Unit Victim Specialist as it does not equate to 52%.
- Reframe from the use of employee names in grant applications.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Haven House Family Service Center

Grant #: 14-VW-715

Title: Enhancement of Dakota Co. Community Response

Amount Requested: \$58,006.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:		
\$55,644	Due to limited funds project funded at a reduced amount. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Submit revised budget. ➤ Submit revised objectives that indicate numbers for Project Results and include outcomes that show impact to victims served. ➤ Submit list of Board of Directors. ➤ Submit list of meaningful sexual assault services to be provided. 		
Allocation Category	Total Amount	Amt. Designated Sexual Assault Services	Amt. Designated Prevention & Ed.
Law Enforcement	\$22,350	\$2,235	\$1,000
Victim Services	\$33,294	\$2,652	\$1,000

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Excellent sustainability efforts. Good diversity within the Board of Directors.
- Great historical perspective of the problem and need for CRT.
- Numbers show great impact of outreach efforts to underserved populations.
- Detailed and complete budget.
- Agency has done a good job of continuing to build its assets.
- CRT response is clearly outlined.
- Applicant provides culturally specific services.
- Appreciate the interaction between this project and the local tribes.
- Continued efforts to support BIP.

Areas for Improvement:

- Please refer to the VAWA Act definition of underserved populations when completing Table C as Sexual Assault is not considered an underserved population.
- The description of the problem refers to the “dynamics of these crimes” however; no dynamics are identified or explained.
- Applicant requested funds designated for sexual assault services however; it is unclear of the listed services which ones are specifically geared to the needs of sexual assault victims.
- Application does not identify any promising or evidence based practices utilized.
- Objectives list items that have Projected Results as zeros. Include with Objective #1 input from victims regarding safety planning and increased knowledge of community resources.
- Please provide a list of board members to verify the vice-chair who signed off on a certification form.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: The Spouse Abuse Sexual Assault Crisis Center

Grant #: 14-VW-716

Title: The CRT Revitalization Project

Amount Requested: \$30,304.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:	
\$30,304	Project funded at requested level. ➤ Submit corrected budget addressing Budget items under Areas of Improvement.	
Allocation Category	Total Amount	Amt. Designated Sexual Assault Services
Victims Services	\$30,304	\$10,606

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Project is to be commended for its numerous achievements over the last 2 years.
- Project is to be commended for providing the community leadership necessary to develop an effective and active CRT as evidenced by improved law enforcement response, increased support of prosecution and involvement of health care providers.
- Reaching out to other populations in addition to the Hispanic population.
- Agency is successfully redefining itself and addressing sustainability by implementing goal oriented approaches throughout the agency.
- Use of creativity. Pseudo doctor appointments to achieve confidentiality.
- Numerous collaborative efforts and partnerships developed. Good to see the agency has joined the Multi-Cultural Alliance and has entered into an MOU with WAC to address state PREA compliance issues.
- Executive Director's work with the Family Violence Council and DHHSS.
- Applicant's comprehensive list of meaningful sexual assault services.

Areas for Improvement:

- Budget:
 1. Ensure budget narrative calculations are correct and that all expenses are allowable. The \$840 in fringe benefits is not allowable and will need to be removed.
 2. Provide assurance that the match from CRT members is not supported with any federal dollars.
 3. Volunteer hours used for match must total at least 30 hours.
- Appears that last year's Table C was used as the estimated numbers to be served are missing. Please refer to the VAWA Act definition of underserved populations since Sexual Assault Victims are not considered an underserved population.
- Please explain why the 2014 Victim/Witness Unit and Prosecution numbers are not reported.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Crisis Center, Inc.

Grant #: 14-VW-717

Title: Coordinated/Sexual Assault Response Team

Amount Requested: \$29,110.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:	
\$13,727	Due to limited funds project funded at a reduced amount. ➤ Submit revised budget. ➤ Submit completed Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications form.	
Allocation Category	Total Amount	Amt. Designated Sexual Assault Services
Victim Services (Advocates)	\$7,727	\$5,582
Discretionary for BIP	\$6,000	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. Unless otherwise indicated no follow up action is required.

Strengths of the Application:

- Detailed and complete budget.
- Useful breakdown of BIP expenses.
- Project is to be commended for the current efforts of the C/SART. Very helpful bulleted points.
- Project has been relentless in ensuring sexual assault examinations are made available to victims.

Areas for improvement:

- State law requires that the investigating law enforcement agency pay for the costs of forensic medical exams and as such, requests for STOP funds for this purpose should be included in a request for funds by law enforcement.
- Since the service area includes Hall, Hamilton, Howard and Merrick Counties these counties need to be addressed throughout the application. Currently, this is inconsistent as Table A and Outcomes only address Hall County.
- Expand list of underserved populations.
- Focus Contingency Plan on how the project will operate and provide the service in other ways.
- Some explanations for data were provided however, additional explanations for fluctuations are needed. For example, the significant decreases in victims referred by law enforcement and the numbers of POs granted.
- While Project Operations provides an overview of the Crisis Center’s daily operations this section is intended for the applicant to also provide an overview of the community criminal justice system response beginning with the victim’s initial contact with law enforcement explaining the process that occurs throughout the case (i.e., prosecution, probation and victim services). Applicant is also expected to provide a flow chart of this process. Please ask for technical assistance if need.
- Applicant indicates that female survivors are invited to attend support group. With the push towards non-discrimination applicant will need to clearly explain how comparable services are provided to male victims.

Attachment #8

**2014 Title II
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Act Formula Grants
\$333,667 Available**

Number	Agency—City (Program Title)	2013 Award	Amount Requested	Amount Recommended Staff Review	Amount Recommended NCJJ	Amount Recommended NCC
14-JJ-01	Lancaster Co.-The Four Directions Youth Program	\$ 41,000.00	\$ 41,000.00	\$ 30,750.00	\$ 30,750.00	
14-JJ-02	Lancaster Co.-Reentry Transition Specialists	\$ -	\$ 114,840.00	\$ -	\$ -	
14-JJ-03	Lancaster Co.-School Engagement	\$ 66,413.00	\$ 76,913.00	\$ 66,413.00	\$ 66,413.00	
14-JJ-04	Urban League of NE, Inc.	\$ 64,097.00	\$ 67,596.00	\$ 48,073.00	\$ 48,073.00	
14-JJ-05	Sarpy Co.-Truancy Program	\$ 33,553.00	\$ 26,766.00	\$ 12,743.00	\$ 12,743.00	
14-JJ-06	Sarpy Co.-JDAI	\$ 18,750.00	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 12,500.00	
14-JJ-07	City of Omaha, Office of the Mayor-CATS Program	\$ -	\$ 26,465.00	\$ -	\$ -	
14-JJ-08	City of Omaha, Office of the Mayor-School Outreach Specialist	\$ -	\$ 38,064.00	\$ 38,064.00	\$ 38,064.00	
14-JJ-09	Sherman Co.-Diversion	\$ 13,364.00	\$ 8,910.00	\$ 8,910.00	\$ 8,910.00	
14-JJ-10	Board of Regents UNL	\$ -	\$ 94,769.00	\$ -	\$ -	
14-JJ-11	Seward Co.-Truancy Program	\$ 17,738.00	\$ 11,830.00	\$ 11,830.00	\$ 11,830.00	
14-JJ-12	Seward Co.-Butler Co. Truancy Program	\$ 24,036.00	\$ 24,036.00	\$ 24,036.00	\$ 24,036.00	
14-JJ-13	Seward Co.-Pretrial Diversion Program	\$ -	\$ 43,082.00	\$ -	\$ -	
14-JJ-14	Seward Co.-Colfax Co. Truancy	\$ -	\$ 35,172.00	\$ 24,036.00	\$ 24,036.00	
14-JJ-15	Saunders Co.-CASA	\$ -	\$ 32,870.00	\$ -	\$ -	
14-JJ-16	Banister's Leadership Academy	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	
14-JJ-17	NCC- DMC/Compliance Monitor	\$ -	\$ 48,812.00	\$ 48,812.00	\$ 48,812.00	
14-JJ-18 (was 15-JS-406)	Lancaster County-Brick by Brick	\$ -	\$ 32,200.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	
Available			\$ 333,667.00	\$ 366,167.00	\$ 366,167.00	\$ 366,167.00
Recomm			\$ 785,825.00	\$ 366,167.00	\$ 366,167.00	\$ -
Remain			\$ (452,158.00)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 366,167.00

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster Co.

Grant #: 14-JJ-01

Title: The Four Directions Youth Program

Amount Requested: \$41,000.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 30,750	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Submit a revised budget➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good Community Program
- Needed service in the Lancaster area
- Increase in participation since last year
- Consistent improvement on programs available to youth
- Would like to fund in full- lack of available funds

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- Did not follow the step down process- waiver not approved due to lack of funds available
- Collaboration with UNL and Wesleyan- What is the current situation with this?
- Sustainability- Please provide additional information

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster Co.

Grant #: 14-JJ-02

Title: Reentry Transition Specialists

Amount Requested: \$114,840.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of proposed project➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good Problem Statement
- Good Program- Need in Community

Areas for improvement:

- Not an evidence-based program
- Would have liked more information under sustainability for this project
- 2 Letters of Support Provided- Would have liked to see additional letters from more than probation and LPS
- Current Efforts- Would have liked to see more information regarding other services in Lincoln such as PALS
- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- Project Director- Who's funding this position now?
- Match is recommended at a manageable amount
- Travel- Why only 30 weeks? Please explain

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster Co.

Grant #: 14-JJ-03

Title: School Engagement

Amount Requested: \$76,913.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 66,413	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Submit a revised budget➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good to see peer to peer support services- family focused
- Family peer support is strength based family driven support designed to empower parents as the primary support for their students across all aspects of the student's life
- Do not know of any similar programming in the community
- Good description of the 40 Developmental Assets
- High Completion rate

Areas for improvement:

- Does not follow the step down- waiver denied due to lack of funding
- Please provide project period that falls within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- Due to a potential conflict of interest Crime Commission Members cannot provide letters of support

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Urban League of NE, Inc.

Grant #: 14-JJ-04

Title: Truancy Reduction Program

Amount Requested: \$67,596.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 48,073	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Submit a revised budget➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Detailed Timeline
- Good Description of 40 Developmental Assets as they apply to program
- Good sustainability plan

Areas for improvement:

- Not following the step down process- no waiver provided
- Miscalculation under supplies- page 26
- No Data provided for sections XII- Please provide data for last three years
- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- Evaluation described in last year's application- What were results? Please provide
- Match should be reduced to a manageable amount
- Amount being paid for supervision is almost as much as for rent- provide justification
- Telephone expense for FTE's not allowable

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Sarpy Co.

Grant #: 14-JJ-05

Title: Truancy Program

Amount Requested: \$26,766.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 12,743	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Submit a revised budget➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Detail project operation/activity timeline
- Good Letters of support provided- demonstrate community support

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- Applicant submitted same application as last year- These are competitive funds reviewers would like to see updated progress, success stories, and data
- Due to a potential conflict of interest letters from Crime Commission members not allowed
- Large increase in salary - provide justification
- Sustainability- please provide additional information

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Sarpy Co.

Grant #: 14-JJ-06

Title: JDAI

Amount Requested: \$12,500.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 12,500	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Evidence Based program
- Good Description of current efforts
- Detailed Activity Timeline
- Good Letters of Support

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- No information under continuation information- Would like to see data for last three years (was described as a challenge, what is the plan to correct it?)
- Application is the same as last year- step down funding is not guaranteed- funds are competitive
- How is Procurement process being followed?
- Crime Commission members are not allowed to write letters of support due to potential conflict of interest

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha, Office of the Mayor

Grant #: 14-JJ-07

Title: CATS Program

Amount Requested: \$26,465.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of proposed project➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good description of 40 developmental assets

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- Would have liked to see more information under sustainability
- No data under Section XII, A, B, C, and D
- Only 1 letter of support from Grant Writer
- Would have liked to know how position was previously funded
- Bookkeeping costs not allowable
- Are meals from the Federal Food program? If so, Title II funds can't be used
- Activity timeline doesn't mention work with youth

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha, Office of the Mayor

Grant #: 14-JJ-08

Title: School Outreach Specialist

Amount Requested: \$38,064.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 38,064	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good program outline
- Innovative Method of coping with youth violence
- Evidence-based research
- Good Description of 40 developmental assets
- Good letters of support
- Program was funded under OVP last year-

Areas for improvement:

- What funds are used to pay youth salary? Please explain
- Why was such a small group of 10-17 served last year? Please explain
- Please provide project period that falls within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- What fringe is specifically being used- additional information needed

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Sherman Co.

Grant #: 14-JJ-09

Title: Diversion

Amount Requested: \$8,910.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 8,910	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Following the Step Down Process
- No other services like it in Sherman County

Areas for improvement:

- No Letters of Support- please provide at least 1
- Application states only 10 youth served last year- please explain
- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- Application is the same as last year- same success story- same data- please provide updated data
- Funds are competitive, step down is not guaranteed
- Sherman County did not request any community-based funds- please work with CB administrator for future funding

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Board of Regents

Grant #: 14-JJ-10

Title: Validation of the YLS/CMI

Amount Requested: \$ 94,769

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of proposed project➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Detailed Executive Summary
- Good Problem Statement
- DMC Data would be beneficial

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- Does YLS measure recidivism?
- Evaluation not providing a direct service to youth. Due to availability of funds would not be cost effective to fund.
- Acronyms should not be used- difficult for reviewer to follow
- Was a study already completed before?

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Seward Co.

Grant #: 14-JJ-11

Title: Truancy Program

Amount Requested: \$11,830

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 11,830	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Following the Step Down Process
- Detailed Activity Timeline
- Detailed Sustainability Section
- Good Letters of Support
- Serving a large amount of youth considering the population of Seward versus nearby Lancaster

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- Success Story is the same as last year- Funds are competitive please provided updated data
- Number of youth served not consistent on application- numbers do not match- please correct

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Seward Co.

Grant #: 14-JJ-12

Title: Butler Co. Truancy Program

Amount Requested: \$24,036.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 24,036	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Detailed Activity Timeline
- Good Description of Project Operation
- Significant number of youth served in 1st year of operation
- Good Success Story

Areas for improvement:

- Are numbers strictly Butler County or are they duplicated with Seward County numbers?
- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- Time sheets required for requested positions- please advise

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Seward Co.

Grant #: 14-JJ-13

Title: Pretrial Diversion Program

Amount Requested: \$43,082.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of proposed project➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Collaboration demonstrated between Counties
- Good Description of Project Operation
- Good Letters of Support

Areas for improvement:

- Seward County is applying for Community-based aid for this program- amount? Service?
- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- Would this be a duplication of services already being provided under Diversion?
- Pg. 5 miscalculated budget summary
- All three Counties have programs in place funded through Crime Commission
- How is this currently funded
- Hotel costs not following GSA guidelines
- Must follow procurement process
- If program is in place- Data should be provided

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Seward Co.

Grant #: 14-JJ-14

Title: Colfax Co. Truancy

Amount Requested: \$35,172.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$24,036	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Submit a revised budget➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Detailed Activity Timeline
- Seward County has strong truancy program

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- Large amount of referrals, is this accurate? Please explain
- How was this previously funded?
- Amount recommended was amount requested by Seward County last year to start the Butler County program

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Saunders Co.

Grant #: 14-JJ-15

Title: CASA

Amount Requested: \$32,870.00

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of proposed project➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good Letters of Support

Areas for improvement:

- Program only served 3 youth ages 10-17 the last reporting period
- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- How was program funded during step down of Juvenile Services funds
- Sustainability section talks about other sources of funding, but all of the salary is being requested through Title II
- Person writing Grant Cannot be recipient of contract

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Banister's Leadership Academy

Grant #: 14-JJ-16

Title: Levels of Leadership Support Advisory

Amount Requested: \$ 50,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 25,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Submit a revised budget➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good Program in targeting youth in North Omaha

Areas for improvement:

- Program is requesting more funds than requested last year for same events- please explain
- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016- please provide
- Application unclear on how youth numbers are being calculated for different programs- please explain
- Did not follow the Step-Down process
- Letters of Support are from youth using real names. Please omit the use of real names for purposes of the Grant Application as this is public information.
- Applicant must demonstrate how they are not supplanting- contact Program Administrator

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County

Grant #: 14-JJ-18 (was 15-JS-406)

Title: Brick by Brick

Amount Requested: \$ 32,200

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 15,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Submit a revised budget➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Helping youth in treatment facilities
- Focusing on DMC, Health
- Strength Based program

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016- please provide
- Activity and timeline don't mention weekly meetings with youth- please provide
- Cost of presentation fees in budget section appear to be excessive- please explain
- Funds not approved for costs of out of State conference- please revise
- Meals do not match GSA standards- Refer to GSA website for rates
- Mileage- where are you coming from- please clarify
- Reviewers were unclear as to what the building fund was for- please clarify?
- Bands can only serve 6 at a time for workout- please adjust amount requested
- Scholarship funds- please explain why donations from organization are not being used

Attachment #9

**2015 Juvenile Services
\$587,812 Available**

Number	Agency—City	Program Title	2014 Award	Amount Requested	Amount Recommended Staff Review	Amount Recommended NCJJ	Amount Recommended NCC
15-JS-400	Girls Incorporated of Omaha	Girls with Futures	\$ 33,572.00	\$ 33,572.00	\$ 25,179.00	\$ 33,572.00	
15-JS-401	Big Brothers Big Sisters of the Midlands-Omaha	Mentoring Children of Prisoners	\$ -	\$ 40,613.00	\$ -	\$ -	
15-JS-402	Center for Holistic Development-Omaha	Building a Healthy Family Program	\$ -	\$ 85,740.00	\$ -	\$ -	
15-JS-403	GLW Childrens Council	Expanding Prevention Messages	\$ -	\$ 52,344.00	\$ -	\$ -	
15-JS-404	GLW Childrens Council	GLW Diversion Program	\$ 22,150.00	\$ 19,935.00	\$ 19,935.00	\$ 19,935.00	
15-JS-405	Lancaster County	TeamMates Mentoring Program	\$ -	\$ 26,513.00	\$ -	\$ -	
15-JS-406 (now 14-JJ-18)	Lancaster County	Brick by Brick Mentoring	\$ -	\$ 32,200.00	moved to Title II	\$ -	
15-JS-407	Lancaster County	Back on Track	\$ 36,200.00	\$ 36,200.00	\$ 27,150.00	\$ 36,200.00	
15-JS-408	Lancaster County	The Artist Inside	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 7,492.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 7,492.00	
15-JS-409	Lancaster County	Preventing the School to Prison Pipeline	\$ -	\$ 53,610.00	\$ 51,000.00	\$ 51,000.00	
15-JS-410	Lancaster County	POWER HOUR	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	
15-JS-411	Mid-Plains Center for Behavioral Healthcare	Multi-Systemic Therapy-Substance Abuse	\$ 70,023.00	\$ 70,023.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	
15-JS-412	City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor	Hope Employment & Learning Academy	\$ 25,800.00	\$ 25,800.00	\$ 25,800.00	\$ 25,800.00	
15-JS-413	City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor	GOALS Center	\$ 40,400.00	\$ 34,720.00	\$ 34,720.00	\$ 34,720.00	
15-JS-414	City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor	The Safe Haven Project	\$ -	\$ 62,750.00	\$ -	\$ -	
15-JS-415	City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor	Eastern NE Community Action Partnership Mini-Pros	\$ 30,500.00	\$ 22,875.00	\$ 22,875.00	\$ 22,875.00	
15-JS-416	City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor	Release Ministries	\$ -	\$ 60,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	
15-JS-417	City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor	Joven Noble/Noble Youth Program Expansion	\$ -	\$ 47,350.00	\$ 44,119.00	\$ 44,119.00	
15-JS-418	Girl Scouts Spirit of NE	Western NE Outreach Expansion	\$ -	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	\$ -	
15-JS-419	City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor	School Outreach Specialist	\$ -	\$ 38,064.00	\$ -	\$ -	
15-JS-420	City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor	Completely KIDS	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 37,500.00	\$ 37,500.00	\$ 37,500.00	
15-JS-421	City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor	Boys Town Prevention Project	\$ -	\$ 56,592.00	\$ -	\$ -	
15-JS-422	Sherman County Prevention Coalition	The After School Program Cooperative	\$ -	\$ 68,790.00	\$ -	\$ -	
15-JS-423	Sherman County Prevention Coalition	Trojans After School	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	
15-JS-424	Sherman County Prevention Coalition	Sherman County Cares	\$ 31,873.00	\$ 31,873.00	\$ 31,873.00	\$ 31,873.00	
15-JS-425	Kids Plus Inc.	No Limits After School Program	\$ 22,500.00	\$ 22,850.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 21,274.00	
15-JS-426	Revive Ministries, Inc.	Juvenile Intensive Outpatient	\$ -	\$ 72,117.00	\$ 52,407.00	\$ 52,407.00	
15-JS-427	People United for Families	Rockets After School Program	\$ 10,937.00	\$ 5,445.00	\$ 5,445.00	\$ 5,445.00	
15-JS-428	Scotts Bluff County Detention Center	Equine Assisted Learning/Psychotherapy	\$ 31,164.00	\$ 31,164.00	\$ 23,373.00	\$ 31,164.00	
15-JS-429	Banister's Leadership Academy	Youth Advocacy Boards	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	
15-JS-430	Madison County	Bilingual JAO	\$ 13,600.00	\$ 13,445.00	\$ 13,445.00	\$ 13,445.00	
15-JS-431	Madison County	Alternatives for Diversion	\$ 5,355.00	\$ 2,678.00	\$ 2,678.00	\$ 2,678.00	
15-JS-432	Area Substance & Alcohol Abuse Prevention	Peer to Peer Youth Leadership/Mentoring	\$ 44,112.00	\$ 51,252.00	\$ 31,313.00	\$ 31,313.00	

Available	\$ 587,812.00	\$ 587,812.00	\$ 587,812.00	\$ 587,812.00
Recommended	\$ 1,288,507.00	\$ 587,812.00	\$ 587,812.00	\$ -
Remaining	\$ (700,695.00)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 587,812.00

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Girls Incorporated of Omaha

Grant #: 15-JS-400

Title: Girls with Futures

Amount Requested: \$33,572

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 25,179	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Submit a revised budget➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good Program
- Good to see gender specific programming
- Good documentation of funding
-

Areas for improvement:

- Page 20- Miscalculation on Total Costs
- Page 6- Miscalculation on Budget Summary
- Miscalculation on page 27 and 29
- Subgrantee received amount requested for two years through Title II. Applied through Juvenile Services requesting original start-up amount. Step-down amount recommended
- Clarification on amount of youth served 10-17
- How was match fringe calculated- please clarify
- Supplies purchased last year are being requested again this year for program- provide justification
- Clearly designate that healthy snack are not being purchased with federal funds
- Is the entire amount being used for delinquency prevention?
- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Big Brothers Big Sisters of the Midlands-Omaha

Grant #: 15-JS-401

Title: Mentoring Children of Prisoners

Amount Requested: \$40,613

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of proposed project➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good activity timeline
- Good target group- not many programs with this outreach

Areas for improvement:

- Pg. 20 re-calculate mileage .575
- Pg. 22 Is amount of rent correct a month?
- Pg. 24- Applicant does not indicate if a procurement process is being followed
- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016
- No success story provided
- Impact of project not provided
- Unanticipated challenges not provided
- What is the age group benefiting?
- #'s on current efforts
- Names need to be marked- Cannot be included
- Proposed number of youth served does not justify program cost
- No explanation provided on how positions were previously funded
- Fringe would have to be broken down to ensure it is basic fringe
- Applicant did not provide source of Match funds
- Dues would not be an allowable expense

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Center for Holistic Development-Omaha

Grant #: 15-JS-402

Title: Building a Healthy Family Program

Amount Requested: \$85,740

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- It is an evidence based program
- Culturally Specific Program
- Proposed project does address DMC and the family component

Areas for improvement:

- Pg. 21 does not include subtotals and costs on Pg. 23 are miscalculated
- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016
- Authorized official is different on signature
- Is this an existing program? There are other programs in Omaha why were they not listed under current efforts
- Would have liked more information under contracts other than highly qualified
- More information needed under services provided at doctor's office
- Additional information needed under travel and supplies section
- How were these positions previously funded?
- Applicant is not clear if requested positions are new or existing
- Would encourage applicant to collaborate with other programs.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: GLW Childrens Council

Grant #: 15-JS-403

Title: Expanding Prevention Messages

Amount Requested: \$52,344

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of proposed project➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Proposed service area is a high need area
- Applicant described a good depiction of need

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016
- Pg. 5 and Pg. 19 miscalculated
- Applicant is not clear on the age group being served
- Positions are existing- 22,000 of funds are for administrative costs
- Amount of youth projected to be served is larger than youth population depicted in application
- Travel to the Denver Airport does not appear to be cost effective
- Funds cannot be used to pay for audit
- Need more information under sustainability plan

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: GLW Childrens Council

Grant #: 15-JS-404

Title: GLW Diversion Program

Amount Requested: \$19,935

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 19,935	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Following Step-Down Process
- Needed service in community
- Good program

Areas for improvement:

- Why is the fiscal officer going to the NJJA Conference- please provide explanation
- Insufficient information under sustainability plan- please provide
- Please provide information on receiving funds from Region 3 funding- Prevention Drug and Alcohol?
- Who was the evaluator for Match/What were the results of evaluation? Where is the data?
- Applicant documented 8 kids being served last year- Is this amount correct?
- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County

Grant #: 15-JS-405

Title: TeamMates Mentoring Program

Amount Requested: \$26,513

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of proposed project➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Very Strong Program
- Needed Program- Very supported program in Lancaster program

Areas for improvement:

- Same application that was submitted last year
- Review members were not sure how additional funds would equate to additional volunteers
- Budget- participation fee would be an administration fee- explain
- Applicant did not identify source of Match
- Review members concerned about programs sustainability
- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County

Grant #: 15-JS-406

Title: Brick by Brick Mentoring

Amount Requested: \$32,200

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02E: Other resources available to address the problem including an explanation of how the grant applicant's proposal will work with and/or coordinate with existing resources. Applicant being funded under Title II grant

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Helping youth in treatment facilities
- Focusing on DMC, Health
- Strength Based program
-

Areas for improvement:

- Project period should be during grant period
- Activity and timeline don't mention weekly meetings with youth
- Cost of presentation fees in budget section appear to be excessive- please explain
- Funds not approved for costs of out of State conference
- Meals do not match GSA standards- Refer to GSA website for rates
- Mileage- where are you coming from- please clarify
- Reviewers were unclear as to what the building fund was for- please clarify?
- Bands can only serve 6 at a time for workout
- Scholarship funds- please explain why donations from organization are not being used

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County

Grant #: 15-JS-407

Title: Back on Track

Amount Requested: \$36,200

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 27,150	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement➤ Submit revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Not following the step down process due to addition of East High School this year-waiver requested
- Program demonstrating results in community

Areas for improvement:

- Project period should fall within grant period
- Additional information needed under fringe benefits
- Continuation Information-please provide more information- 0 completed program?
- Would encourage applicant to seek match sources from the schools
- Please provide more information under sustainability
- Match should be decreased to a manageable level

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County

Grant #: 15-JS-408

Title: The Artist Inside

Amount Requested: \$7,492

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 4,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement➤ Submit a revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good Program
- Detailed Activity Timeline
- Cost Effective Program

Areas for improvement:

- Project period should fall within grant period
- Not following the step down process- Waiver denied due to availability of funds
- Would encourage applicant to diversify funding sources and work on a more detailed sustainability plan.
- Increase in salary of 17.5% for all personnel and fees- please explain
- Travel time should be included in mileage

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County

Grant #: 15-JS-409

Title: Preventing the School to Prison Pipeline

Amount Requested: \$53,610

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 51,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement➤ Submit a revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good to see applicant address the school to prison pipeline in Nebraska
- Good to see applicant working with Georgetown

Areas for improvement:

- Please refer to GSA website for cost of meal guidelines- Incidentals are not covered
- Would recommend sharing taxi to assist with cost efficiency
- Contractor would provide their own cell phone and laptop rather than purchasing one for them- not recommended for funding
- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County

Grant #: 15-JS-410

Title: POWER HOUR

Amount Requested: \$15,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 15,000	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good Program at Park Middle School
- Good Letters of support provided
- High success rate of completion

Areas for improvement:

- How will program be sustained? Would encourage applicant to seek community-based funds
- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Mid-Plains Center for Behavioral Healthcare

Grant #: 15-JS-411

Title: Multi-Systemic Therapy-Substance Abuse

Amount Requested: \$70,023

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 50,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement➤ Submit a revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Detailed problem statement and project operation
- Application continues to justify need of MST in service area

Areas for improvement:

- Pg. 24 rent incorrectly calculated , audit miscalculated
- Copier/Computer must follow procurement process
- If not required to do A-133 audit expenses are not allowable
- CEO and Vice President Salary not allowed
- Other Costs- Flex funding for incentives, drug testing kits, Hall County Collaborative not allowable
- Marketing costs not an allowable expense
- Please provide data on actual youth served-
- How does drug testing fit into program? Who provides the drug tests
- Please provide more information under Sustainability?
- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor

Grant #: 15-JS-412

Title: Hope Employment & Learning Academy

Amount Requested: \$25,800

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 25,800	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Following the step down process
- Good letters of support
- Target youth being served
- Cost effective program

Areas for improvement:

- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016
- Laptop request was made in last year's application- provide justification for another computer
- How are outcomes being measured to determine if program is successful?

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor

Grant #: 15-JS-413

Title: GOALS Center

Amount Requested: \$34,720

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 34,720	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Detailed Activity Timeline
- Good success story
- Good Letters of Support
- Good to see program utilizing best practices

Areas for improvement:

- Why is there only two years' worth of data?
- Please provide more information under sustainability section
- Encouraged to evaluate effectiveness of amount of programs being requested for funding
- How is family involved in program- please explain
- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor

Grant #: 15-JS-414

Title: The Safe Haven Project

Amount Requested: \$62,750

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of proposed project➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good to see program being family focused

Areas for improvement:

- Section XII not completed would like to see data
- Application was not clear if requested positions are new or existing
- Application request is high on personnel, again how were these positions previously funded?
- Applicant does not describe utilization of tuition costs
- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor

Grant #: 15-JS-415

Title: Eastern NE Community Action Partnership Mini-Pros

Amount Requested: \$22,875

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 22,875	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Based on 4 core areas of academics
- Focus in North Omaha
- Program has made significant improvement
- Maintains youth engaged
- Good Collaboration with Metro- possible future funder?
- Job Training

Areas for improvement:

- Was data in appropriate charts this year?
- Please provide additional information under sustainability
- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor

Grant #: 15-JS-416

Title: Release Ministries

Amount Requested: \$60,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of proposed project➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good to see Spiritual Component
- Good Letters of support

Areas for improvement:

- Applicant not clear on what concrete services are
- Applicant not clear on amount of youth being served or how referrals are made
- Would encourage applicant to work with OVP administrator to clean up application for OVP funding
- Project period does not fall within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor

Grant #: 15-JS-417

Title: Joven Noble/Noble Youth Program Expansion

Amount Requested: \$47,350

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 44,119	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement➤ Submit a revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good description of current efforts
- Detailed activity timeline
- Much needed program in South Omaha
- This program is targeting group with limited resources
- Evidence based practices

Areas for improvement:

- Applicant must follow procurement process for laptop purchase
- 12 sessions for completion- please provide additional information
- No out of state travel allowed-
- Audit fee not allowable
- Applicant's request for a projector is not recommended for funding
- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Girl Scouts Spirit of NE

Grant #: 15-JS-418

Title: Western NE Outreach Expansion

Amount Requested: \$75,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$35,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement➤ Submit a revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good Detailed Activity Timeline
- There is a need in this area

Areas for improvement:

- Recalculate Page 24
- Procurement process must be followed- Pg. 37 printer
- Itemize office supplies
- Would encourage applicant to collaborate with schools for snacks
- Travel Expenses and membership fees could be more cost effective
- Application not clear on other costs- please elaborate
- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor

Grant #: 15-JS-419

Title: School Outreach Specialist

Amount Requested: \$38,064

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10 007.02E: Other resources available to address the problem including an explanation of how the grant applicant's proposal will work with and/or coordinate with existing resources. Applicant being funded under Title II grant

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good program outline
- Innovative Method of coping with youth violence
- Evidence-based research
- Good Description of 40 developmental assets
- Good letters of support
- Program was funded under OVP last year-

Areas for improvement:

- What funds are used to pay youth salary?
- Why was such a small group of 10-17 served last year?
- Project Period doesn't fall within grant period July 01, 2015- June 30, 2016
- What fringe is specifically being used- additional information needed

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor

Grant #: 15-JS-420

Title: Completely KIDS

Amount Requested: \$37,500

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 37,500	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good Letters of Support
- Well Written Grant Application
- Following the Step-Down policy

Areas for improvement:

- ESL classes for adults or youth? GED funds Please clarify
- Applicant unclear on food purchase request- please explain who food is for
- Bring match down to a reasonable amount
- Applicant is requesting 100% for purchase of cell phones- please explain if cell phones are only used for this program
- Is the request for in-state training specific to this program- please clarify
- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha-Office of the Mayor

Grant #: 15-JS-421

Title: Boys Town Prevention Project

Amount Requested: \$56,592

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of proposed project➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- IFP Project – encompasses entire family

Areas for improvement:

- Would like more information about parenting classes and why cost is so high
- Project period should fall within grant period
- Section XII not completed- would like to see data
- Application unclear on whether positions are existing and how they were previously funded
- Is there a fee charged for this service?
- Is DMC rate accurate?
- Not Cost Effective

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Sherman County Prevention Coalition

Grant #: 15-JS-422

Title: The After School Program Cooperative

Amount Requested: \$68,790

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Recommended for denial based on OI # 10➤ 007.02F: Cost effectiveness of proposed project➤ 007.02G: Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Detailed Activity Timeline
- Serving 20 counties
- Good to see program targeting at risk hours for youth

Areas for improvement:

- Fiscal officer and Project Coordinator the same-
- Would encourage applicant to seek Community-based funding
- Application unclear on how this is currently funded
- Application targeted 5,000 applications which is disproportionate to population
- Projected youth to be served larger than listed population
- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Sherman County Prevention Coalition

Grant #: 15-JS-423

Title: Trojans After School

Amount Requested: \$5,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 5,000	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Following the step down process
- Good resource for community that is lacking in services
- Good activity timeline

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016
- Success story is same as last year- minimal effort put into application
- Would like to see updated data
- Would like to see letters of support from the School- letters of support provided are questionable
- Please additional information under sustainability
- Application should have 4 different people on it if possible

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Sherman County Prevention Coalition

Grant #: 15-JS-424

Title: Sherman County Cares

Amount Requested: \$31,873

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 31,873	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Addressing mental health issues an identified priority in current state plan
- Detailed activity timeline
- Good Initiative in Sherman County
- Good Community Effort

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016
- No continuation data- please provide
- Last year's application stated program was evaluated- please provide copy
- Please provide additional information under Sustainability
- How many kids are being served?

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Kids Plus Inc.

Grant #: 15-JS-425

Title: No Limits After School Program

Amount Requested: \$22,850

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
15,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement➤ Submit a revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good success story
- Good activity timeline
- Not many programs in this service area

Areas for improvement:

- Would encourage more communication with Grant Administrator for assistance
- Would encourage Kids Plus to seek Community-based funding
- Five years of funding have been completed, what is the plan for sustainability?
- Why are parent fees being increased?
- What is the annual salary of the position being requested on page 22?
- Page 22, 23, 24, 27, and 28 are miscalculated- please revise
- 82 out of 267 students 10-17
- Reduce Match to something more manageable
- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Revive Ministries, Inc.

Grant #: 15-JS-426

Title: Juvenile Intensive Outpatient

Amount Requested: \$72,117

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 52,407	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement➤ Submit a revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good program serving youth statewide through YRTC
- Good description of outcomes
- Good description of current efforts
- Detailed activity timeline
- Good Letters of Support
- Good success story

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016
- Page. 48 and 54 miscalculated
- Grant period would have to begin after October 2015 as program is funded through other Grants up to October.
- Don't put names on budget just positions
- How is community educator currently funded?
- Provide details for rent and phone
- Urine Kits- not allowable with these funds as 750 kits were ordered through JAG funds and 50 T-shirts

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: People United for Families

Grant #: 15-JS-427

Title: Rockets After School Program

Amount Requested: \$5,445

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 5,445	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Following the step down process
- Good description of sustainability
- Thank you for updating application

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Scotts Bluff County Detention Center

Grant #: 15-JS-428

Title: Equine Assisted Learning/Psychotherapy Program

Amount Requested: \$31,164

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 23,373	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement➤ Submit a revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Strong program working with youth in an innovative way
- Good results

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016
- Does program operate year round? Is there an indoor location during winter?
- Same application from last year- would like to see updated information and progress
- Unanticipated challenges of serving youth- not filled out

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Banister's Leadership Academy

Grant #: 15-JS-429

Title: Youth Advocacy Boards

Amount Requested: \$50,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 15,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement➤ Submit a revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Strong program in North Omaha

Areas for improvement:

- Application unclear on how youth numbers are being calculated for different programs- please explain
- Mentors are contracted position so there would be no fringe and should go under consults and contracts
- Executive aide uses 10% of time and 20% of her salary is being requested- please address
- 12 ½ percent increase for project coordinator and project assessment position
- Recruitment Coordinator's had an 18.4% increase in pay - please address
- Did not follow the Step-Down process
- Letters of support are the same except with date removed?
- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Madison County

Grant #: 15-JS-430

Title: Bilingual JAO

Amount Requested: \$13,445

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 13,445	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Serving the Latino population in Madison County
- Good Success Story
- Different Stats provided

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016
- Increase in Salary is significant
- 21 out 100 are Hispanic Youth? Please elaborate
- Work on Sustainability plan
- What was hourly rate last year for JAO?
- Detail the additional benefits of \$4,482? What's wages and what's benefits

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Madison County

Grant #: 15-JS-431

Title: Alternatives for Diversion

Amount Requested: \$2,678

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$2,678	➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Following the step down process
- Good program

Areas for improvement:

- Project Period should fall within Grant Period July 01, 2015-June 30, 2016
- Amount should be under contracts and consultants
- Please provide additional detail under activity/ Please provide additional information under sustainability plan please provide addition information for programmatic updates
- Would encourage applicant to seek community-based funds to ensure that program remains affordable to families

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Area Substance & Alcohol Abuse Prevention

Grant #: 15-JS-432

Title: Peer to Peer Youth Leadership/Mentoring

Amount Requested: \$51,252

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 31,313	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Address and provide documentation for all areas of improvement➤ Submit a revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Strong program, growing at a consistent rate
- Increase in participant numbers

Areas for improvement:

- Not following the step down process
- Provide project period that falls within Grant Period of July 01, 2015 to June 30, 2016
- Some funds are requested through community based aid why not more? Big program in area
- Reduce Match to a manageable amount
- Increases for Project director and Executive Director excessive increase in pay
- NJJA Conference- Meals not allowed for 1 day travel
- Bookkeeping and audit costs- not allowable
- More information on consultants
- Other- needs to be defined
- More information on Fringe
- Clean up numbers served