



Dave Heineman  
Governor

NEBRASKA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

(Nebraska Crime Commission)  
Michael E. Behm, Executive Director  
301 Centennial Mall South  
P.O. Box 94946  
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-4946  
Phone (402) 471-2194  
FAX (402) 471-2837

STATE OF NEBRASKA

NEBRASKA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

July 20, 2012

The Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice met Friday, July 20, 2012 at 9:30 AM in Lower Level Conference Room A of the Nebraska State Office Building, 301 Centennial Mall South, Lincoln, Nebraska. Legal notice of the meeting was published July 5, 2012 in the Lincoln Journal Star.

As amended by LB 898, 2005 Legislature, a copy of the Nebraska Open Meetings Act was available for public review.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 9:36 AM by Acting Chairman John Freudenberg. The following members were **in attendance**: Acting Chair John Freudenberg, Jeff Davis, Joe Kelly, Robert Lausten, Don Overman (left at 11:32), Fred Ruiz, David Sankey, Rita Sanders, Derek Vaughn and William White. **Members excused**: Candice Batton, Robert Houston, Brenda Smith and Mike Swain. **Staff present**: Michael Behm, William Muldoon, Michael Overton, Merry Wills, Cindy Gans, Bruce Ayers, David Stolz, Emily Gilmore, Jeanette Greer, Kitty Policky, Chris Harris, Mary Thomason, and Ann Bauers. **Others Present**: Hank Robinson, Department of Corrections; Ana Eckersley, Douglas County Victim Assistance; Kelly Baker, Nebraska Audit Agency; Christa Davis, Nebraska Audit Agency; Chief Steve Sunday, Wilbur Police Department; and Chief Bruce Lang, Beatrice Police Department.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

*Motion*

*A motion was made by Vaughn and seconded by Ruiz to approve the minutes of the Police Standards Advisory Council meetings of April 18, 2012; Jail Standards Board meeting of April 6, 2012; Crime Commission meeting of May 4, 2012; Nebraska Coalition for Juvenile Justice meeting of June 1, 2012; and the Crime Commission Grant Review Committee Meeting of June 25, 2012. The motion passed unanimously by acclamation.*

III. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mike Behm presented his Executive Director's report noting the following:

- The Community Corrections Division Conference will be held October 15-17, 2012 in Kearney, Nebraska at the Ramada Inn.

An Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer

Printed with soy ink on recycled paper

- Chris Harris, who is presently our Juvenile Compliance Monitor and DMC, will become the new Office of Violence Prevention Administrator effective August 1st.
- Kitty Policky is the new Secretary II in the Community Corrections Division as of July 9<sup>th</sup>.
- Grants Division has hired two new employees who started July 9th. Emily Gilmore is the new Staff Assistant I, and Teddy Pika is the new Staff Assistant II.

#### IV. OLD BUSINESS

Behm stated that Arlan Wine and George Vinton have fully complied with completing their 2011 CLE hours and that no further action was necessary.

#### V. NEW BUSINESS

##### A. Final Revocation Decision for Todd Landis LR-055-06

Robert Lausten, Chairman of the Police Standards Advisory Council, stated that the case before the Board today was uncontested as Landis had signed a consent decree and there is an avadavat showing publishing of the notice to revoke. Lausten reviewed the case with the Board and offered the file into evidence. He then asked for a motion from the Board to either approve or deny revocation of Mr. Landis's law enforcement certification.

Todd Landis did not attend, nor did he send a representative on his behalf.

##### *Motion*

*A motion was made by Overman and seconded by Ruiz to uphold the Police Standards Advisory Council's decision to revoke the Law Enforcement certification of Todd Landis, LR-055-06. Voting in favor of the motion: Davis, Kelly, Lausten, Overman, Ruiz, Sankey, Sanders, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.*

##### B. Dismissal of Complaint LR-079-10 against Frank Platt

#### SEE HANDOUT

Behm gave a brief summary of the complaint against Frank Platt and the reasons for his recommendation to dismiss the complaint. There was a brief discussion regarding officers serving with a medical disability and re-activation training.

##### *Motion*

*A motion was made by Davis and seconded by White to dismiss the complaint against Frank Platt LR-079-10. Voting in favor of the motion: Davis, Kelly, Lausten, Overman, Sankey, Sanders, and White. Against: Ruiz. Abstain: Vaughn. Motion carried.*

## C. Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center

### 1. Instructor Certifications

The Crime Commission next considered six requests for **Professional Certification**. Police Standards Advisory Council's recommendations were reported by Robert Lausten.

#### *Motion*

*A motion was made by Kelly and seconded by Sanders to grant the following instructor certifications per Police Standards Advisory Council's recommendations: Professional Recertification to Todd Groves, Lincoln Police Department; Brad Hulse, Lincoln Police Department; Robert Hurley, Lincoln Police Department; Mayde McGuire, Lincoln Police Department; Tommy Trotter, Lancaster County Sheriff's Department; and Brian Ward, Lincoln Police Department. Voting in favor of the motion: Davis, Kelly, Lausten, Overman, Ruiz, Sankey, Sanders, Vaughn, and White. Motion carried unanimously.*

### 2. Approval of Changes to Operating Instruction #50-30; Standards for Recipients of the Outstanding Performance Awards

#### SEE ATTACHMENT #1

Muldoon gave a brief summary on the proposed changes to Operating Instruction #50-30 which pertains to the Standards for Recipients of the Outstanding Performance Awards at the Training Center. There was no discussion.

#### *Motion*

*A motion was made by Lausten and seconded by Sankey to approve the changes to Operating Instruction #50-30. Voting in favor of the motion: Davis, Kelly, Lausten, Overman, Ruiz, Sanders, Sankey, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.*

### 3. Approval of Changes to Operating Instruction #50-41; Training Center – Student Health, Safety and Behavior Expectations.

#### SEE ATTACHMENT #2

Muldoon gave a brief summary on the proposed changes to Operating Instruction #50-41 which pertains to the Training Center – Student Health, Safety and Behavior Expectations. There was no discussion.

#### *Motion*

*A motion was made by Overman and seconded by Sanders to approve the changes to Operating Instruction #50-41. Voting in favor of the motion: Davis, Kelly, Lausten, Overman, Ruiz, Sanders, Sankey, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.*

**D. Audit Report for the Crime Commission**

Christa Davis from the Nebraska Audit Agency gave a brief summary of the findings of the audit committee. There were no questions or discussion.

**E. Approval of Changes to Operating Instruction #15; Criminal Justice Information Systems Advisory Committee.**

**SEE ATTACHMENT #3**

Bruce Ayers gave a brief summary of the proposed changes to OI#15 which pertains to the Criminal Justice Information Systems Advisory Committee. There were no questions or discussion.

*Motion*

*A motion was made by Lausten and seconded by Ruiz to approve the changes to Operating Instruction #15 as presented by Ayers. Voting in favor of the motion: Davis, Kelly, Lausten, Overman, Ruiz, Sanders, Sankey, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.*

**F. Approval of Changes to Operating Instruction #17; Nebraska County Attorney Standards Advisory Council.**

**SEE ATTACHMENT #4**

Bruce Ayers gave a brief summary of the proposed changes to OI#17 which pertains to the Nebraska County Attorney Standards Advisory Council.

*Motion*

*A motion was made by Lausten and seconded by Sankey to approve the changes to Operating Instruction #17 as presented by Ayers. Voting in favor of the motion: Davis, Kelly, Lausten, Overman, Ruiz, Sanders, Sankey, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.*

Freudenberg stated that because there was an appeal for the VOCA funds items G and H would be taken together for the presentation.

**G. Award of 2012 VOCA Formula Grant Program in the amount of \$2,601,361**

**SEE ATTACHMENT #5**

Merry Wills gave a brief overview of the program, and then reviewed the funds available for award, the procedure used to review the grant applications and the funding recommendations of the Grant Review Committee.

## **H. Appeal of 2012 VOCA Formula Grant Program for Douglas County**

Wills stated the procedure used for the award of a lesser amount than requested of Grant 12-VA-218 Omaha-Douglas County Victim Assistance and for sending the notification letter. Wills further outlined the appeal letter from Omaha-Douglas County Victim Assistance which is on file in the Crime Commission office.

Ana Eckersly from the Douglas County Victim Assistance stated that without the same amount as last year, they will have to cut one position. Vaughn asked why everyone didn't take the same cut across the board, rather than different percentages which make it seem arbitrary. Wills replied that this was discussed at the Grant Review meeting, and it was simply to get to the final number. There was a brief discussion the use of percentages.

### ***Motion***

***A motion was made by Overman and seconded by White to deny the appeal of Grant 12-VA-218 Omaha-Douglas County Victim Assistance. Voting in favor of the motion: Davis, Kelly, Lausten, Overman, Ruiz, Sanders, Sankey, and White. Abstaining: Vaughn. Motion carried***

### ***Motion***

***A motion was made by Kelly and seconded by Sanders to approve the funding of the 2012 VOCA Formula Grant Program in the amount of \$2,601,361. Voting in favor of the motion: Davis, Kelly, Lausten, Overman, Ruiz, Sanders, Sankey, Vaughn (Abstaining on 12-VA-218 and 12-VA-226), and White. Motion carried.***

Freudenberg stated that anyone who has an interest in any of the grants, he would encourage them to abstain from voting on those and it will be presumed that they will be abstaining from voting.

## **I. Award of FY 2011 JP-FX-0039 Title V Community Prevention Grants Program in the amount of \$80,202**

### **SEE ATTACHMENT #6**

Cindy Gans reviewed the funds available for award, the procedure used to review the grant applications and the funding recommendations of the Grant Review Committee. She further stated that the Federal Government was abolishing this funding source starting in 2013.

### ***Motion***

***A motion was made by Lausten and seconded by Ruiz to approve the funding of the grant for FY 2011 JP-FX-0039 Title V Community Prevention Grants Program in the amount of \$80,202. Voting in favor of the motion: Davis, Kelly, Lausten, Overman, Ruiz, Sanders, Sankey, Vaughn (Abstain on 11-JP-32), and White. Motion carried.***

**J. Award of FY 2011 JA-FX-0016 Juvenile Accountability Block Grants Program in the amount of \$332,477**

**SEE ATTACHMENT #7**

Gans reviewed the funds available for award, the procedure used to review the grant applications and the funding recommendations of the Grant Review Committee.

***Motion***

*A motion was made by Overman and seconded by Ruiz to approve the funding of the grant for the FY 2011 JA-FX-0016 Juvenile Accountability Block Grants Program in the amount of \$332,477. Voting in favor of the motion: Davis (Abstain on 11-JA-606), Kelly (Abstain on 11-JA-604), Lausten, Overman, Ruiz, Sanders, Sankey, Vaughn (Abstain on 11-JA-602), and White. Motion carried.*

Freudenberg stated that because there were several appeals for the JAG funds, items K and L would be taken together for the presentation.

**K. Award of FY 2012 JAG Funds in the amount of \$153,869**

**SEE ATTACHMENT #8**

Derek Vaughn reviewed the funds available for award, the procedure used to review the grant applications and the funding recommendations of the Grant Review Committee. He commented that all future funding would have to be evidence based time tested programs.

**L. Appeal of 2012 JAG funds for Douglas County (12-DA-313), SEADE (12-DA-0302), RAP (12-DA-300), and Probation Administration (12-DA-0314)**

Vaughn outlined the appeal letter from RAP (12-DA-0300) and Chief Sunday which is on file in the Crime Commission office. Vaughn stated the procedure used for the denial of the funds for RAP and for sending the notification letter.

Freudenberg stated that the board would take each appeal separately. He asked if there was anyone there for RAP and invited Chief Steve Sunday to speak on behalf of the appeal.

Sunday stated that RAP is in compliance with federal regulations and as far as new initiatives, they are working in that area. Sunday further stated that the work they do starts at the street level and works progressively up the ladder and needs to continue in the south-central area of the state. Sunday continued that without these funds it will be extremely difficult to continue their work.

Vaughn asked why the 20 member agencies couldn't help them to become self-sustaining instead of relying on this Federal funding. Sunday replied that he has tried to do this but the response from the governing bodies is they don't have the funds and can't help. He further

stated that the local task forces are very important to the communities. Lausten asked if there was a contingency plan for enforcement efforts if funding isn't received, and Sunday replied that what they hoped to do is hire Tommy Hayes as a local officer and use matching funds to pay him. However, this will take most of their funds and there will be a limited ability to continue as they have in the past. Sunday continued that the local officers will have to do more to help.

Ruiz asked if there were any asset forfeitures that could be used, and Sunday replied there just weren't any currently pending, but they did have about \$21,000 in reserve. Lausten asked if the task force wasn't funded would there be any help from the state. Sunday replied that he was told the Nebraska State Patrol was there to help, but can't sustain the same level of support as in the past.

Vaughn stated that this money was supposed to be seed dollars and not to be used to sustain the task forces. Vaughn further stated that tough decisions have to be made and we have to focus on evidence based time tested practices. Davis asked if the funding had been reduced over the several years that RAP had been receiving funding and Sunday replied that they used to be able to fund 4 investigators, but now are able to only fund 2. Lausten asked if the funding was restored for this year, then what would be the plan for the next year. Sunday replied that their goal would be to work with the state patrol and maintain the investigator they have now, and to work with local officers to do more investigating.

Ruiz stated that part of the problem seems to be that there was not enough emphasis placed on letting the task forces know that this is just supposed to be seed money and not something to be used to sustain a group over several years. Sunday replied that the federal dollars kept coming and they grew to depend on them, even though it was presented to them years ago that this would be coming. Sankey stated that the time has come where there just isn't the money to distribute that there was in the past.

Vaughn stated that part of the reason for the denial of the funding to RAP is the length of time the task force has been given funds, the lack of it being an evidence based program and the encouragement for new initiatives. The question was raised why RAP got denied and others didn't. Sankey stated that because of the proposed cuts to all the funding, 9 investigators from the Nebraska State Patrol are going to get cut. 4 of the positions have been paid for in part by the local task forces. RAP has been paying basically one-half the salary for 2 investigators. The rest was paid for by matching funds which has now gone away. Since the match has gone away, RAP can now only pay one-half for 1 investigator.

Freudenberg stated that each appeal needed to be voted on separately.

### *Motion*

***A motion was made by White and seconded by Vaughn to deny the appeal of 12-DA-300 RAP-NSP Local Investigators. Voting in favor of the motion: Lausten, Overman, Ruiz, Sanders, Vaughn and White. Voting against: Davis and Kelly. Abstain: Sankey. Motion carried.***

Vaughn outlined the appeal letter from SEADE (12-DA-0302) which is on file in the Crime Commission office. Vaughn stated the procedure used for the denial of the funds for SEADE and for sending the notification letter.

Freudenberg asked if there was anyone there for SEADE and invited Chief Bruce Lang to speak on behalf of the appeal

Lang gave a brief history of his involvement in the grant process, SEADE and the local communities that are served. Lang stated that SEADE is in compliance with Federal Guidelines and is somewhat sustainable because local governing boards do help fund investigators. Lang further stated that SEADE has done everything asked of them would like the Crime Commission to reconsider the funding decision.

Vaughn asked how long SEADE had been receiving Federal dollars. Lang replied about 16 years. Vaughn asked if there is any way that the member agencies can fund SEADE now. Lang stated that they will find the money somehow if they aren't funded, but some counties will not be able to participate.

Vaughn stated that it sounds somewhat arbitrary when they are cutting the funding to 2 task forces, but funding the other 3 and they are all doing the same thing.

Lang stated that they use local money to pay local investigators so they are in full compliance with the guidelines. Sankey asked if the State Patrol letter of no support affect the ability of the grant to be approved within the guidelines. Vaughn stated that it didn't impact the decision.

Freudenberg informed the board that at 11:30 a member would have to leave which will leave the board without a quorum, so no action can be taken after 11:30.

#### ***Motion***

***A motion was made by Lausten and seconded by Davis to approve the appeal of 12-DA-0302 Southeast Area Drug Enforcement Task Force for the consideration of funding with the dollar amount to be determined later. Voting in favor of the motion: Davis, Lausten, and Overman. Voting against: Kelly, Ruiz, Sankey, Sanders, Vaughn, and White. Motion denied.***

#### ***Motion***

***A motion was made by Vaughn and seconded by Ruiz to deny the appeal of 12-DA-0302 Southeast Area Drug Enforcement Task Force. Voting in favor of the motion: Kelly, Overman, Ruiz, Sanders, Sankey, Vaughn and White. Voting against: Davis and Lausten. Motion carried.***

Overman stated that he had to leave to catch his plane. Freudenberg stated that due to the lack of a quorum there could be no further business conducted.

**VI. OTHER BUSINESS**

There was no other business.

**VII. PUBLIC COMMENT**

There were no members of the public present who wished to address the Board at this time.

**VIII. ADJOURNMENT**

The next scheduled meeting of the Commission will be **Friday, August 17, 2012 at 9:30 AM in the Nebraska State Office Building, Lower Level Conference Room B, Lincoln, Nebraska.**

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 11:34 AM.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Ann Bauers".

Ann Bauers  
Administrative Assistant

# Attachment #1

# Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

OPERATING INSTRUCTION  
NUMBER 50-30

December 2, 1994

## SELECTION STANDARDS FOR RECIPIENTS OF THE OUTSTANDING PERFORMANCE AWARDS

**PURPOSE:** To prescribe policies and procedures for selecting the recipients of the Outstanding Performance Awards for Class Leadership, Academic Achievement, Marksmanship and Physical fitness.

- (1) **SCOPE:** Applicable to Training Center Basic Students
- (2) **REFERENCE:** OI No. 50-10, Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center
- (3) **GENERAL:** The following Outstanding Performance Awards will be awarded in each Basic Course:
  - (a) The OUTSTANDING CLASS LEADER AWARD will be presented to one member of each Basic class who best exemplifies the concept of professional law enforcement. The ~~Director~~ instructional staff will make a selection based upon comments of the ~~faculty and~~ the respective Basic class, and ~~his or her personal~~ the staff's observations. To be eligible, the recipient must meet the following requirements:
    - (1) Maintain an average of 80% or better on all unit examinations and satisfactorily complete the performance objectives required during each course of instruction;
    - (2) Display personal habits and personality meriting the respect and esteem of classmates, instructors, and staff; and
    - (3) Display personal and professional conduct which was indicative of unimpeachable moral character, emotional stability, integrity, and dependability.
  - (b) The OUTSTANDING SHOOTER AWARD will be presented to one member of the Basic class who demonstrates the best overall proficiency with the designated law enforcement firearms. ~~handgun and shotgun. Overall proficiency will be evidenced by the lowest student ranking as average after the completion of the courses of fire.~~
    - (1) Student rankings will be based on the score and the time for each individual course of fire. Each individual ranking will be added together at the completion of the courses of fire.

- (2) Rankings will be obtained on the following courses of fire: state qualification course, practical pistol course, stress course, and night course. ~~, and shotgun course.~~
- (c) PHYSICAL FITNESS AWARDS will be presented to one member of each Basic class in ~~two (2) separate categories:~~ following category **Most Improved Physical Fitness and Outstanding Physical Training.**
- (1) ~~MOST IMPROVED PHYSICAL FITNESS AWARD will be presented to the Basic student who has demonstrated the greatest percent increase between his or her initial physical training assessment and his or her final physical training assessment. To be eligible for this award, the final assessment score must be higher than 70%.~~
- (2) ~~OUTSTANDING PHYSICAL TRAINING AWARD will be presented to the Basic student whose physical training assessment score is above 90% and has also demonstrated the dedication, commitment and leadership in the area of physical fitness and training as determined by a majority of the physical training instructors.~~
- (1) Physical Fitness Award will be presented to one class member of each basic class whose overall physical fitness score is greater than all of the other students and scores at least 90%. If no one is able to achieve a score of at least 90%, no physical fitness award will be presented.
- (d) The OUTSTANDING ACADEMIC AWARD will be presented to one (1) member of each Basic class who has obtained the highest academic average at the conclusion of the respective Basic course and has not failed the comprehensive testing portion of training. The academic average will reflect the accumulation of each unit examination score. ~~and the comprehensive vocabulary/spelling examination score.~~ Said scores will be totaled together and an academic average will be obtained for each Basic student. Additionally, ~~T~~ to be eligible for this award, the student must have successfully completed all performance objectives of his or her Basic training.

**Mike Behm**  
**ALLEN L. CURTIS**  
Executive Director

Division: Training Center  
Supersedes: OI No 50-4 dated October 29, 1982

# Attachment #2

# Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

OPERATING INSTRUCTION  
NUMBER 50 - 41

July 14, 2008

## TRAINING CENTER - STUDENT HEALTH, SAFETY AND BEHAVIOR EXPECTATIONS

**PURPOSE:** To prescribe student health, safety and behavior expectations and disciplinary procedures.

- (1) **SCOPE:** Applicable to all students who are attending or enrolled in a course at the Training Center or other Council-approved training course.
- (2) **GENERAL:** Center students differ in ages, education, physical characteristics, experience and specialization in law enforcement. To cope with this diversity and to ensure maximum benefit from training, it is necessary that all students conform to health, safety and behavior expectations and rules. It is also important that the students at the Training Center or attendees at Council-approved courses exemplify professionalism and sound moral character. This instruction will be provided to each student prior to attending the Center and reviewed the first day of each class for understanding. Extracts will be posted for easy reference.
- (3) **POLICY:** Health, safety and behavior problems will be reported to the Director or his or her designee for corrective or disciplinary action. Any grounds that indicate that the student is ineligible to attend training should be brought to the Director or his or her designee as soon as possible.
- (4) **BEHAVIOR EXPECTATIONS AND RULES:**
  - (a) Students are to exhibit mature behavior - with exemplary performance expected of experienced officers. Behavior that is disruptive to the learning process or detrimental to health and/or safety will not be condoned.
  - (b) Students are to
    - i. Be on time at Center activities,
    - ii. Participate in class discussion, and
    - iii. Complete all work assignments as scheduled.
  - (c) Unauthorized absences from instruction sessions, willful non-participation in class activities, disruption of class instruction, falsification of records or academic dishonesty (e.g. cheating on tests) will be matters for disciplinary action.

- (d) The Training Center doors will be locked Sunday through Thursday at 11:00 p.m. and **basic** students are required to be back in the Training Center at 11:00 p.m. unless prior arrangements have been made **with the Deputy Director**. Doors will be open each morning, including Friday at 7:00 a.m. On Friday, the Training Center normally will close at 5:00 p.m. except when classes are scheduled for that evening or the weekend or as authorized by the Director or his or her designee. The Training Center will be open Sunday at 5:00 p.m. for those returning from weekend leave or registering for the first time.
- (e) All basic class students will be required to wear the prescribed uniform for basic training classes. Students shall be responsible for purchasing a minimum of two sets of uniforms for basic training.
- (f) **Agency uniforms, business casual attire is acceptable for specialized training. Appropriate footwear is required at all times. Suitable casual attire is permitted after normal business hours, 5:00 p.m.**
- (g) **Any attire, tattoo, body art or piercing that would be considered degrading with regard to race, ethnicity, religion, gender, sexual orientation, handicap or disability in violation of the Training Center's non-discrimination/harassment policy will not be tolerated while the student attends training and/or resides at the Training Center. Additionally, any attire, tattoo, body art or piercing that would bring discredit upon the law enforcement profession by evidencing bias, prejudice or intolerance to the previous classes of individuals will not be tolerated while the student attends training and/or resides at the Training Center. This will include any clothing, piercing, tattoo or body art that is determined to be gang-related.**

**The Director of the Training Center will determine whether the attire, tattoo, body art or piercing is in violation of this section on a case-by-case basis. If such attire, tattoo or body art is deemed to constitute a violation of this policy, the student will be required to remove the attire or piercing, cover the tattoo with clothing or a covering that conceals the tattoo or body art. If the student fails to take corrective action as directed by the Director, the student may be disciplined for insubordination.**

- (5) **DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURES:** Except for being charged with a felony, disciplinary actions will be administered as follows:
  - (a) The Director or his or her designee will consult with the student in private to clearly identify the violation and take appropriate disciplinary action. This may include
    - i. A verbal reprimand,
    - ii. A written reprimand,

- iii. Suspension from the class, or
- iv. Suspension from the Training Center.

If the penalty is suspension from the Training Center or suspension from class, the Director or his or her designee shall notify the student in writing and his/her employer by telephone call and in writing with a copy to the chairperson of the Council.

- (6) **MISDEMEANOR OR ORDINANCE VIOLATIONS:** Conviction of these violations, other than minor traffic infractions, will be handled as in (5) above. A student who has a serious misdemeanor charge pending against him or her will not be permitted to attend courses at, or offered by, the Training Center or other Council-approved courses and will remain ineligible to attend such training until final disposition. **A student who is attending training and is charged with a serious misdemeanor will be suspended from training until final disposition.** A serious misdemeanor charge would be one that if convicted, would prohibit the student from entering basic certification training as outlined in Title 79 NAC, Chapter 8.
- (7) **FELONY CHARGE:** A student who has been charged with any felony will be immediately suspended from the Center and will not be permitted to attend courses at, or offered by, the Training Center or other Council-approved courses and will remain suspended until final disposition. The Director or his or her designee will provide written notification to the student of the suspension with a copy to the employer. Upon a court finding of not guilty, the student is eligible for readmission to the Center.
- (8) **CERTIFICATION STATUS:** A student who has had his or her certificate suspended or revoked will not be permitted to attend courses at, or offered by, the Training Center or other Council-approved courses and will remain ineligible to attend such training until final disposition has reinstated his or her certificate. A student who is under current revocation investigation, ~~or~~ who has a formal revocation complaint filed against him or her as outlined by Title 79 NAC, Chapter 9, **or has had his or her certificate suspended,** shall not be eligible to attend training at the Training Center or other Council-approved courses. **The student and** will remain ineligible until final disposition of the revocation process **or is no longer on suspended status.**
- (9) **APPEALS:** Suspension from the Center may be appealed through the process outlined in Title 79 NAC Chapter 13.
- (10) **STUDENT ASSISTANCE:** Students experiencing problems or having complaints are to promptly report their concerns to the Director or his or her designee for assistance and/or corrective action.
- (11) **COMPLAINTS <sup>FROM</sup> ~~FORM~~ THE PUBLIC:** The conduct of all students is to reflect favorably on themselves, their agencies and the Training Center. Complaints on

students' conduct while away from the Training Center will be reported to the proper authorities.

---

Michael E. Behm  
Executive Director

---

Division: Training Center

Supersedes: OI NO. 50-41 dated July ~~26, 1996~~  
14, 2008

# Attachment #3

# NEBRASKA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

OPERATING INSTRUCTION  
NUMBER 15

May 3, 2002  
July 20, 2012

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEMS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**PURPOSE:** To clarify the role of the Committee and its relationship with the Commission.

1. **SCOPE:** Applicable to Commission, CJIS Committee members and staff.
2. **GENERAL:** The Criminal Justice Information Systems Advisory Committee will work to create and maintain a Nebraska criminal justice information system for authorized state and local criminal justice and non-criminal justice users that supports operations, policy analysis, and public safety and that is accurate, timely, complete, appropriately secured to protect privacy rights, cost-effective and accessible.

Local and state entities will not be bound or constrained from pursuing their own information systems or ways to meet their data needs but it is hoped this committee structure will allow for the most efficient use of funding.

3. **COMMISSION RESPONSIBILITIES:** The Commission, after consultation with the Nebraska Criminal Justice Information Systems Advisory Committee, is responsible for: (1) providing advice and direction on issues relating to data sharing and the use of information technology among criminal justice agencies; (2) establishing criteria and providing a forum for the examination of state and local criminal justice information systems; (3) assisting criminal justice agencies by promoting coordination, promoting the use of voluntary data sharing standards, and providing technical assistance; ~~(4) making final funding determinations for Byrne 5% set aside funds and other state and federal monies;~~ and (4) updating and implementing the Nebraska CJIS Strategic Plan.
4. **COMMITTEE RESPONSIBILITIES:** With the cooperative effort of the Crime Commission and other criminal justice entities, the Committee will:
  - A. Update the Strategic Plan on ~~an annual basis~~ a regular basis;
  - B. Report regularly to the Crime Commission, Governor, Legislature and other interested parties;
  - C. ~~Present plan to Crime Commission for state funding request~~ Make funding recommendations for state or grant funds;
  - D. Develop projects and grant requests. ~~for other funding sources such as NCHIP or the 5% set aside Byrne dollars.~~
  - E. Provide funding recommendations to the Commission for Byrne and state funds.
  - F. Establish a Project Review Committee
  - G. May recommend ways to obtain funding for either local or state projects.

5. **ADMINISTRATION:** The Committee shall receive administrative support from the Commission=s Executive Director and staff to include such items as providing for funding requirements within the Commission budget, processing expense vouchers, maintaining required records, advising of meeting dates and providing for required public notice, taking of minutes and related matters.

6. **MEMBERSHIP:** The Committee shall be comprised of representatives from a variety of state and local criminal justice agencies. The Chair of the Commission, after consultation with the agency or organizational head, shall appoint one representative with voting privileges from each of the following:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1. Nebraska State Patrol   | Commission;   |
| 2. Police Officers= Association of Nebraska;                     | 15. League of Municipalities;                                       |
| 3. Police Chiefs Association of Nebraska;                        | 16. Nebraska Association of County Officials;                       |
| 4. Nebraska Sheriff=s Association;                               | 17. Clerks of the District Courts;                                  |
| 5. Nebraska Department of Correctional Services;                 | 18. Clerks of the County Courts;                                    |
| 6. State Court Administrator=s Office;                           | 19. Health and Human Services, Office of Juvenile Services;         |
| 7. Nebraska Probation Department;                                | 20. Nebraska Attorney General=s Office;                             |
| 8. Nebraska County Attorneys Association;                        | 21. Nebraska Domestic Violence Sexual Assault Coalition;            |
| 9. Nebraska Criminal Defense Attorneys Association;              | 22. Nebraska Coalition for Victims of Crime;                        |
| 10. Omaha Police Department;                                     | 23. Commission on Public Advocacy;                                  |
| 11. Lincoln Police Department;                                   | 24. Representative of County Correctional Department                |
| 12. Nebraska Interagency Data Communications Advisory Committee; | 25. Douglas County Information Systems                              |
| 13. Nebraska Parole Board;                                       | 26. Chief Information Officer, NE Information Technology Commission |
| 14. Nebraska Crime   |   |

7. **MEETING PROCEDURES:**

- A. The Chair and Vice-chair shall be elected or reelected annually at the first meeting of the year and must be selected from those voting representatives.
- B. A quorum shall be members present.

- C. Voting issues will be decided by a majority vote.
- D. One representative from each of the participating agencies/organizations shall be designated as its voting representative, for the purposes of recommendations and issues as decided by the Chairperson. Absentee/substitute voting privileges may be granted by the chairperson.

Additional non-voting representatives of these organizations may participate as desired by the Committee or the organizations. Attendance and input by other representatives and agencies is encouraged.

- E. Subcommittees will be designated to address specialized or technical topics or issues which have been identified by the Committee or the Crime Commission. These subcommittees may be comprised of members of the Committee as well as representatives from their or other organizations.
- F. ~~A Project Review Committee shall review and approve project plans and monitor their implementation.~~

Allen L. Curtis  
Michael E. Behm  
Executive Director

---

Distribution: Staff and CJIS Members  
Revised from April 17, 1998  
May 3, 2002

# Attachment #4

**NEBRASKA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE**

OPERATING INSTRUCTION  
NUMBER 17

April 18, 1997  
July 20, 2012

**NEBRASKA COUNTY ATTORNEY STANDARDS ADVISORY COUNCIL**

**PURPOSE:** To clarify the role of the Council and its relationship with the Commission.

1. **SCOPE:** Applicable to Commission, Council and staff.
2. **REFERENCE:** Nebraska State Statutes 23-1212 through 23-1222 and 81-1429.01.
3. **GENERAL:** The Commission, after consultation with the Nebraska County Attorney Standards Advisory Council, is responsible for (1) establishing a curriculum and administering continuing legal education for all county attorneys and their deputies and (2) administering the county attorneys' Crimes Against Children fund.
4. **ADMINISTRATION:** The Council shall receive administrative support from the Commission's Executive Director and staff to include such items as providing for funding requirements within the Commission budget, processing of expense vouchers, maintaining required training records, maintaining records of claims for Crimes Against Children funds, advising notification of meeting dates and providing for required public notice, taking of minutes and related matters.
5. **MEMBERSHIP:** The Council shall consist of seven eleven members appointed by the Governor to terms of four years. ~~Four of the seven members shall be either a county attorney or deputy county attorney, one member being a professor of law, and two members being county commissioners or supervisors. Of the county attorneys or deputy county attorneys appointed to the Council, one shall be from Douglas County, one shall be from Lancaster County and the remaining two shall be appointed from the remainder of the state.~~ In accordance with the provisions of 23-1213.
6. **REIMBURSEMENT OF MEMBER'S EXPENSES:** Members of the Council shall serve without compensation, but shall be entitled to reimbursement for actual and necessary expenses incident to such service on the Council as per Department of Administrative Services guidelines.

Operating Instruction #17  
Page 2

7. **COUNCIL RESPONSIBILITIES:** The Council will forward recommendations to the

Commission for final action to include the following:

- A. Establish and periodically review the annual number of hours of continuing legal education, including working knowledge of electronic speed measurement principles and instruction on the investigation and prosecution of crimes against children.
- B. Develop educational criteria, formats, and program objectives to be used in the delivery of continuing legal education.
- C. Make funding decisions regarding claims requesting money from the Crimes Against Children fund. This fund shall be used to reduce the expenses incurred by county attorneys in consulting with and retaining expert witnesses and other costs in the investigation and prosecution of crimes against children.
  - 2. ~~In a case where the investigation of a crime against a child does not lead to prosecution or action by the Juvenile Court, the application must be accompanied by a statement explaining why no further action was taken. A funding determination will be dependent upon the availability of funds and the merit of the individual case.~~
- D. Develop guidelines to promote uniform and quality death investigations for county coroners.
- E. Help establish a voluntary network of regional officials to provide death investigation support services and develop and provide standardized forms for use in death investigations.
- F. Determine the number of hours of continuing education for county or deputy coroners.

**8. COMMISSION RESPONSIBILITIES:** The Commission, in consultation with the Council, shall as per 23-1218:

- A. Establish curriculum for the implementation of mandatory continuing legal education (CLE) programs.
- B. Administer all CLE programs.
- C. Evaluate the effectiveness of CLE training.
- D. Certify the number of hours of continuing legal education.
- E. Report to the Attorney General the names of all county attorneys and deputy county attorneys who have failed to complete the hours of continuing legal education.

- F. Establish tuition and fees for CLE programs.
- G. Administer the Crimes Against Children fund.
- H. Adopt necessary rules and regulations.
- I. Do all things necessary to carry out the Statute.

The Executive Director shall include progress reports on county attorney training and the Crimes Against Children fund in the ~~quarterly and annual~~ periodic reports to the Commission.

Allen L. Curtis  
Michael E. Behm  
Executive Director

---

Attachment: Neb.Stat. 23-1212 through 23-1222 and 81-1429.01  
Distribution: Commission, Council and Staff  
Revised from July 28, 1995  
April 18, 1997

# Attachment #5

**2012 Victim Assistance Funds Available - \$2,601,361  
October 1, 2012 - September 30, 2013**

**Federal VOCA Funds - \$2,557,802 (ADMIN 5% \$127,890, AID \$2,429,912)**

State Funds \$52,559

**Total Aid Available \$2,482,471**

# 12- VA	Agency	Program Title	Amount Rec'd Last Year	Amount Requested 4/24/12	*Amount Recommended Staff Rev. 5/31/12	*Amount Recommended Grant Review 6/25/12	Amount Recommended Crime Comm. 7/20/12
200	Adams County Hastings Victim/Witness Unit	Adams County Attorney Victim/Witness Unit	\$ 53,372.00	\$ 59,448.00	\$ 53,372.00	\$ 53,372.00	
201	Sarpy County Victim Witness Unit	Sarpy County Victim Assistance Lincoln County Victim Witness Unit	\$ 124,149.00	\$ 127,382.00	\$ 105,527.00	\$ 107,527.00	
202	Lincoln County Attorney's Office	Dawson County Victim Witness Assistance Unit	\$ 33,903.00	\$ 36,692.00	\$ 33,903.00	\$ 33,903.00	
203	Dawson County Attorney	Gage County Victim Assistance Program	\$ 36,000.00	\$ 36,000.00	\$ 36,000.00	\$ 36,000.00	
204	City of Beatrice	Child Advocacy Center Victim Services	\$ 37,611.00	\$ 54,332.00	\$ 37,611.00	\$ 37,611.00	
205	Lincoln-Lancaster County Child Advocacy Center	SASA Advocacy Project	\$ 30,975.00	\$ 32,838.00	\$ 28,187.00	\$ 28,187.00	
206	Dodge County	MADD Nebraska Victim Services	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	
207	Spouse Abuse Sexual Assault Crisis Center	DASAS Victim Assistance	\$ 71,767.00	\$ 71,767.00	\$ 65,308.00	\$ 65,308.00	
208	Mothers Against Drunk Driving Nebraska	Continuation of Direct Services Domestic & Sexual Violence Assistance Program	\$ -	\$ 31,609.00	\$ -	\$ -	
209	Domestic Assault/Sexual Assault Services McCook	Dakota County Victim Assistance Grand Island/Hall County Victim Assistance Program	\$ 65,591.00	\$ 78,486.00	\$ 59,688.00	\$ 59,688.00	
210	Parent Child Center Lexington		\$ 68,281.00	\$ 69,403.00	\$ 62,135.00	\$ 62,135.00	
211	Bright Horizons Norfolk		\$ 55,000.00	\$ 60,221.00	\$ 50,050.00	\$ 50,050.00	
212	City of South Sioux City		\$ 40,525.00	\$ 45,473.00	\$ 40,525.00	\$ 40,525.00	
213	City of Grand Island		\$ 46,110.00	\$ 51,982.00	\$ 46,110.00	\$ 46,110.00	

214	Project Response Inc Auburn	Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault	\$ 59,294.00	\$ 58,136.00	\$ 53,958.00	\$ 53,958.00	\$ 53,958.00	
215	Rape/Domestic Abuse NP	Rape/Domestic Abuse	\$ 56,279.00	\$ 75,143.00	\$ 51,214.00	\$ 51,214.00	\$ 51,214.00	
216	Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Omaha	The Shelter-Child Abuse Victim Assistance	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 34,021.00	\$ 30,030.00	\$ 30,030.00	\$ 30,030.00	
217	Hope Crisis Center Fairbury	Victim Assistance	\$ 85,000.00	\$ 113,353.00	\$ 102,979.00	\$ 102,979.00	\$ 102,979.00	
218	Douglas County Attorney	Omaha-Douglas County Victim Assistance	\$ 394,000.00	\$ 488,602.00	\$ 334,900.00	\$ 334,900.00	\$ 336,900.00	
219	Madison County Madison	Madison County Victim/Witness Unit	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 31,000.00	
220	Crisis Center Inc Grand Island	SA/DV Services	\$ 85,000.00	\$ 78,748.00	\$ 74,800.00	\$ 74,800.00	\$ 74,800.00	
221	Bridge of Hope Child Advocacy Center NP	Bridge of Hope CAC-Victim Advocacy in Fifteen NE Counties	\$ -	\$ 12,842.00	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 11,751.00	
222	Center for SA & DV Survivors Columbus	Victim Service and Outreach Project	\$ 68,000.00	\$ 59,857.00	\$ 59,857.00	\$ 59,857.00	\$ 59,857.00	
223	The S.A.F.E. Center Kearney	Advocates for Victims of Domestic & Sexual Violence	\$ 80,737.00	\$ 93,700.00	\$ 71,049.00	\$ 71,049.00	\$ 71,049.00	
224	City of Kearney	Crime Victim Assistance	\$ 44,810.00	\$ 46,394.00	\$ 44,810.00	\$ 44,810.00	\$ 44,810.00	
225	Heartland Family Service Papillion	Heartland Family Service Safe Haven Shelter	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 69,311.00	\$ 36,400.00	\$ 36,400.00	\$ 36,400.00	
226	Women's Center for Advancement (WCA) Omaha	WCA DV, SA & Stalking Victims Crisis Intervention and Advocacy Program	\$ 179,000.00	\$ 237,711.00	\$ 152,150.00	\$ 152,150.00	\$ 154,150.00	
227	Friendship Home of Lincoln Inc	Domestic Violence Shelter	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 93,491.00	\$ 70,400.00	\$ 70,400.00	\$ 70,400.00	
228	Crisis Center for DA/ SA Fremont	Rural Outreach Program	\$ 68,720.00	\$ 71,612.00	\$ 62,535.00	\$ 62,535.00	\$ 62,535.00	
229	North Central Quad Counties Valentine - 2012 WITHDRAWN	Satellite Office in Ainsworth	\$ 21,503.00	\$ 23,713.16	\$ 10,751.00	\$ 10,751.00	\$ -	
230	Haven House Family Services Center Wayne	Continuation of Victim Services	\$ 43,221.00	\$ 48,834.00	\$ 39,331.00	\$ 39,331.00	\$ 39,331.00	
231	The DOVES Program Gering	The DOVES Program	\$ 82,767.00	\$ 142,519.00	\$ 72,835.00	\$ 72,835.00	\$ 72,835.00	
232	Sandhills Crisis Intervention Program Inc (SCIP) Ogallala	Victim Assistance & Safety	\$ 53,556.00	\$ 86,057.00	\$ 48,736.00	\$ 48,736.00	\$ 48,736.00	
233	County of Butler David City	Butler/Polk County Victim Assistance	\$ 25,082.00	\$ 25,182.00	\$ 25,082.00	\$ 25,082.00	\$ 25,082.00	

234	Platte County Columbus	Victim Assistance for Platte & Colfax Counties	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 58,120.00	\$ 45,500.00	\$ 45,500.00	
235	Voices of Hope Lincoln	Assault Advocacy Project	\$ 80,189.00	\$ 84,422.00	\$ 70,566.00	\$ 70,566.00	
236	Sherman County Prevention Coalition	Victim Empowerment Center	\$ -	\$ 59,908.00	\$ -	\$ -	
237	City of Lincoln	Lincoln Police Department Victim/Witness Unit	\$ 127,265.00	\$ 146,079.00	\$ 108,175.00	\$ 110,175.00	
238	NE Attorney General's Office	Victims of Crime Project	\$ -	\$ 94,604.00	\$ 42,997.00	\$ 42,997.00	
239	Nebraska Crime Commission	VINE Operations XII	\$ 226,969.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	
	NE DV/SA Coalition		\$ 29,301.00	\$ -			
	Seward Co. VA	2012 w/Hope Crisis #11VA217	\$ 28,179.00	\$ -			
		<b>TOTALS</b>	\$ 2,751,156.00	\$ 3,303,992.16	\$ 2,482,471.00	\$ 2,482,471.00	\$ -
		<b>Federal</b>		\$ 2,429,912.00	\$ 2,429,912.00	\$ 2,429,912.00	\$ 2,429,912.00
		<b>State</b>		\$ 52,559.00	\$ 52,559.00	\$ 52,559.00	\$ 52,559.00
		<b>Over</b>		\$ 821,521.16	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,482,471.00)

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Adams County

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0200

**Title:** Adams County Attorney Victim/ Witness Unit    **Amount Requested:** \$59,448

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$53,372	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at last year's level of funding with the following contingencies:</b> 1. Submit revised budget

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Clear and accurate budget.
2. Applicant is a well-established program.
3. Applicant's increased outreach efforts and numbers of public speaking engagements.
4. Good to see the growing working relationship between the Unit and SASA.
5. Applicant provides measurable goals.
6. Good letters of support from all key stakeholders.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Applicant needs to provide information to question #16.
2. Application's narrative sections lack details which would be helpful to reviewers in assessing the project's strengths. It is recommended that applicant contact the Federal Aid Administrator for technical assistance.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Sarpy County Victim Witness Unit

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0201

**Title:** Sarpy County Victim Assistance

**Amount Requested:** \$127,382

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$107,527	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Problem statement provides updated data relevant to needs of Sarpy County (e.g., increased population, increased victims served).
2. Number of victims impacted by the project.
3. Noticeable increase in volunteer hours, protection order assistance and personal advocacy provided.
4. Good to see coalition involvement and collaborative efforts with entities such as the HFS and the Child Abuse Task Force.
5. Project operations are clearly outlined.
6. Strong support from law enforcement, military and schools.
7. Longevity of project's volunteers.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Applicant does not meet the federal requirement of 20% match. If this is a problem please contact Federal Aid Administrator.
2. Applicant should address/update sustainability plan on current progress.
3. Update problem statement by indicating whether the increased collaboration with law enforcement occurred since last year.
4. Performance indicators should be more specific. For example, indicate the # of contacts with # of law enforcement agencies.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Lincoln County Attorney's Office

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0202

**Title:** Lincoln County Victim Witness Unit

**Amount Requested:** \$36,692

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$33,903	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at last year's funding level with the following contingencies:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Well written sustainability section.
2. Community Description provided a clear picture of community served.
3. Separation of direct services into face-to-face and telephone contacts.
4. Goal, objectives and performance indicators fit well with problem and solution.
5. Good to see applicant's participation in the One Door One Stop Program.
6. Support of County Attorney and local law enforcement.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Budget Summary contains a typo or miscalculation and please submit only budget sheets relevant to project.
2. Adjust match down to 20%.
3. Please review the grant guidelines.
4. Recommend that the problem statement discuss the data provided by describing the problems or contributing factors that might explain why there are increases in sexual assaults, child abuse, DUIs, etc.
5. Would encourage applicant (Lincoln County) to get on-line with VINE.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Dawson County Attorney

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0203

**Title:** Dawson County Victim Witness Assistance Unit      **Amount Requested:** \$36,000

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$36,000	<b>Project is recommended for funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget reflecting a 20% (\$9,000) match.</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Community Description provides useful data, unique details and discusses underserved populations.
2. Only agency in Dawson County providing services to all victims of crime.
3. Detailed Activities/Timetable.
4. Applicant provides a good discussion of problems in the Continuation Section. Reviewers liked how the continuation section was broken down into sections.
5. Letters of Support demonstrate strong community support.
6. County support for sustainability.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Adjust match down to 20%.
2. Expand problem and solution statement to address cultural barriers of the area.
3. When providing data please indicate if it is duplicated or unduplicated and the year.
4. Provide possible explanation for substantial decrease in number of crisis calls.
5. Continuation section refers to "award winning" but never mentions the award or when it was received.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** City of Beatrice

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0204

**Title:** Gage County Victim Assistance Program

**Amount Requested:** \$54,332

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$37,611	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at last year's funding level with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Informative sustainability section and have approached county and community for support.
2. Well written Community Description.
3. Relevant data was effectively used to provide reviewers with good indicators of the problem.
4. Good collaborations.
5. Appreciate applicant's description of project operations as they relate to victim issues.
6. Applicant is to be commended for being awarded a grant from OVW to promote National Crime Victims' Rights Week.
7. Project has effectively responded to the increasing number of victims through prioritizing cases.
8. Strong support from law enforcement and District Court judges.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Provide rationale for not requesting increased support from the Gage County Board of Supervisors. Letter indicates same request as last year.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Lincoln-Lancaster County Child Advocacy Center

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0205

**Title:** Child Advocacy Center

**Amount Requested:** \$32,838

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$28,187	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Effective use of volunteers.
2. Applicant's strategic planning efforts include the addition of a Development Coordinator position.
3. Applicant provided a clear and concise budget and well defined goal and objectives.
4. High number of victims and minority children impacted by the project.
5. Service delivery system utilizes a multidisciplinary, trauma-focused team approach.
6. Conducts forensic interviews, medical evaluations and hair testing for drug endangered children.
7. Applicant provided good discussion on court school.
8. Serves a 17 county area through a comprehensive, coordinated response to child abuse.
9. Leadership provided for numerous community partnerships.
10. Training opportunities provided to team members.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Please reference or cite sources of information.
2. Expand community description to include unique features.
3. Avoid describing solution in problem statement.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Dodge County

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0206

**Title:** Victim Services

**Amount Requested:** \$15,000

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$15,000	<p><b>Project is recommended for funding at requested amount with the following contingency:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Provide email address for Fiscal Officer and Authorized Official</b></li></ol>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good follow-up from last year including the improvements to the sustainability section. These provided a better picture of the organizational structure.
2. Project's work with the Dodge County Sheriff's Office to get information faster on incidents involving victims.
3. Goal, objective and performance indicators are a good fit for project.
4. Useful discussion in the Problem Statement about the recent gang activity in the area.
5. Ongoing education with law enforcement has seemed to improve their response to victims.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Provide email addresses for Fiscal Officer and Authorized Official.
2. Volunteer hours are calculated at \$5.75 per hour when \$9.00 an hour is allowable.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Spouse Abuse Sexual Assault Crisis Center

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0207

**Title:** SASA Advocacy Project

**Amount Requested:** \$71,767

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$65,308	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match and sources of matching funds identified</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Well developed and informative sustainability plan.
2. Applicant serves a four-county area.
3. Application is client centered and provided relevant data and good discussions of underserved populations and immediate on-scene work.
4. Good to see recent collaborations with programs serving youth.
5. Well written Problem Statement.
6. Project is addressing the needs of underserved non-English speaking victims.
7. High number of agency volunteers.
8. Good to see continued progress towards building relationships with law enforcement..

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Adjust match down to 20% (it is only a few dollars off).
2. Include source of match for the Executive Director's salary.
3. Provide explanation for substantial decreases in shelter bed nights and average length of stay.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Mothers Against Drunk Driving Nebraska

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0208

**Title:** MADD Nebraska Victim Services

**Amount Requested:** \$31,609

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$0	<b>Application is recommended for denial based on Operating Instruction #10:</b> <b>1. 007.02C Completeness, clarity, continuity and consistency of the written application</b> <b>2. 007.02G Amount of funds available</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Problem is clearly stated and validated with relevant data.
2. Proposed project is a statewide effort.
3. Applicant has achieved national recognition in helping victims of drunk drivers.
4. Strong volunteer component.
5. Goal, Objectives and Performance Indicators are a good fit for the project.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Project requires a stronger local focus.
2. Outline or describe the direct services that will be provided to victims.
3. Discuss current accomplishments of MADD Nebraska including numbers of victims helped.
4. Applicant identifies that this project will offer new types of services however, application does not address what will be new or different as a result of this project. The narrative does not adequately discuss current local services or the role of the current MADD victim advocate.
5. Personnel budget sheet contains a miscalculation or typo.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Domestic Assault/Sexual Assault Services

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0209

**Title:** DASAS Victim Assistance

**Amount Requested:** \$78,486

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$59,688	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Well written grant. Sections logically connect and provide overall picture of the project.
2. Clear and concise budget.
3. Sustainability plan includes relevant agency information regarding background (operating for 32 years), service area (7 counties), structure, budget overview, mission and plans for raising funds.
4. Community Description offers unique features of the rural area served.
5. Problem Statement reflects knowledge of subject matter. Applicant did a nice job of addressing rural violence; noting the distinct factors that impact domestic violence verses sexual assault. Program data appropriately validated the problem.
6. Good number of presentations.
7. Good collaborative efforts.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Include brief discussion on underserved populations and cultural aspects of the community and expand on ways the project utilizes volunteers in the delivery of services to victims.
2. When requesting increase funding performance indicators should reflect increased numbers unless justification is provided to maintain level of service.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Parent Child Center

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0210

**Title:** Continuation of Direct Services

**Amount Requested:** \$69,403

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$62,135	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Applicant completes yearly evaluations on Board of Directors members.
2. Involvement of the community as evidenced by the van provided from a local dealership.
3. Sustainability plan outlines Board, volunteers, funding sources and future planning efforts.
4. Good to see applicant's outreach initiatives for culturally specific population and connection with the Tyson Company's Somali Liaison.
5. Increased numbers of victims provided direct services and number of community presentations conducted.
6. Applicant provides resource materials in English and Spanish and is involved in training caseworkers, medical personnel, school personnel and community members on domestic violence and sexual assault.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Strengthen budget narratives with rational for percentages used to pro-rate items requested (i.e., travel, supplies, operating expenses).
2. Discuss project's performance indicator regarding community/public awareness activities and presentations. This is a great accomplishment and reviewers are interested in the types of groups and audiences that are provided with these activities.
3. Narrative sections should provide more details.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Bright Horizons

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0211

**Title:** Domestic & Sexual Violence Assistance Program    **Amount Requested:** \$60,221

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$50,050	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> 1. <b>Submit revised budget with 20% match</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Applicant's implementation of a training program for new board members.
2. Project has been successful in gaining support through several foundations (i.e., Peter Kiewit, Wells Fargo and Shopko).
3. Applicant serves seven counties in Northeast Nebraska, partners with the Ponca Tribe, and the staff team includes a Spanish speaking Shelter Advocate.
4. Project has strong volunteer components with volunteers serving in multiple capacities. Applicant is to be commended for the substantial increase (3 to 16, 231%) in the numbers of bilingual volunteers.
5. Use of performance based indicators to measure knowledge of safety planning and community resources.
6. Illustrated progress on reaching previous goals.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Adjust match down to 20%.
2. Applicant refers to CRTs in several places with no explanation provided. This is confusing for reviewers not familiar with CRTs.
3. Strengthen problem section by explaining significant increases or decreases in services data.
4. Provide clarity for federal representatives by renaming LB1184 (e.g., child abuse teams) noted in coordination efforts.
5. Include accomplishments on efforts to address culturally specific issues.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** City of South Sioux City

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0212

**Title:** Dakota County Victim Assistance

**Amount Requested:** \$45,473

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$40,525	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended for partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match and corrected budget sheets (complete Category B)</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Detailed sustainability plan.
2. Inclusion of underserved populations throughout application.
3. Applicant's discussion of contributing factors (e.g., crime rates, youth violence, and declining economy) reflects knowledge of subject matter.
4. Of crime victims served a significant number are children, youth and minority victims.
5. Project staff includes a bilingual advocate and interns.
6. Increased numbers of Enhanced Advocacy contacts provided for 2011.
7. Applicant's collaborative efforts including tri-state participation and support.
8. Strong support from law enforcement and community entities.
9. Applicant's use of an electronic marquee display.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Adjust match down to 20%.
2. All funds requested for use by the independent contractors such as travel should be under Category B.
3. Clarify numbers of volunteers.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** City of Grand Island

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0213

**Title:** Grand Island/Hall County Victim Assistance Program    **Amount Requested:** \$51,982

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$46,110	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended for funding at last year's level with the following contingency:</b> 1. Submit revised budget with "grant writing" language removed and role of Project Director, used as match, outlined

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Project has had consistent leadership since its implementation in 2004.
2. Good community description.
3. Considering the applicant is serving a large Spanish speaking population the bilingual Project Coordinator is a huge asset.
4. Discussion in problem statement of crime rates, elderly population and gang activity.
5. Project has strong support from local law enforcement.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Expand on collaboration with local child advocacy centers.
2. In Personnel Narrative please remove "grant writing" language and elaborate on the role of the Project Director, used for match, with regard to project activities.
3. Please explain the reason for the difference in the numbers reported for 2009 and 2010 on the Statistical Documentation of the Problem chart from this year's application to last year's.
4. When using acronyms please explain (i.e., CRT, HBA, NLETC).
5. Provide clarity for federal representatives by renaming LB1184 (e.g., child abuse teams) noted throughout application.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Project Response Inc

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0214

**Title:** Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault

**Amount Requested:** \$58,136

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$53,958	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with correct calculations and 20% match</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Applicant uses numerous strategies for sustainability including implementation of a social media program and plans to expand thrift store operations.
2. Project serves a five county area.
3. Problem Statement discusses underserved populations, includes relevant data and identifies issues and concerns directly related to the community and services provided. Well done.
4. Discussion of collaborative partnerships including Peru State College.
5. Performance Indicators focus on direct services to victims.
6. Applicant's use of written Commitment Agreements for each county served.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Budget contains miscalculations and match should be adjusted down to 20%.
2. Expand sustainability discussion to include information on agency infrastructure, Broad of Directors and agency budget. Please refer to instructions.
3. Recalculate table for community description so the percentages for total population add up to 100%.
4. Provide clarity for federal representatives by renaming LB1184 meetings (e.g., child abuse meetings) noted on page 29.
5. Please cite any research referenced.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Rape/Domestic Abuse

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0215

**Title:** Rape/Domestic Abuse

**Amount Requested:** \$75,143

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$51,214	<p><b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingencies:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match and sources of match identified</b></li> <li><b>2. Submit Outreach Advocate job description</b></li> </ol>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Sustainability plan is informative and outlines agency’s successes in fund raising activities in particular the Wal-Mart Foundation for support to remodel of the shelter.
2. Applicant’s statement of the problem, “the effects of Domestic Violence on the people . . .” is direct and to the point.
3. Wonderful community supports and good to see project’s involvement in various community activities.
4. Good job reaching out to the schools.
5. Increased numbers of volunteers, numbers of presentations provided and the significant increase in the numbers of victims served.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Applicant does not meet the federal requirement of 20% match. If this is a problem please contact Federal Aid Administrator.
2. Include in budget narrative that Outreach Advocate is providing direct services, provide accurate travel calculations and identify sources of match.
3. Focus description of the problem on the effects of domestic violence (victim issues) as stated in the problem statement.
4. Activities/Timetable should include all positions for which funding is being requested.
5. Application does not offer clear picture of project’s strengths. Please contact Federal Aid Administrator for technical assistance.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Omaha

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0216

**Title:** The Shelter-Child Abuse Victim Assistance

**Amount Requested:** \$34,021

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$30,030	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match and sources of match identified</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Budget and Sustainability Plan are clear and concise. Project offers long term stability. Well done.
2. Nice comments about the improvements to the Coordinated Response Team.
3. Applicant serves the largest populated county and is the only provider in Douglas County of shelter devoted to domestic violence.
4. Good response to "state the problem."
5. Agency is accredited through Council on Accreditation (COA) which utilizes best practice standards.
6. Applicant provides aftercare services.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Adjust match down to 20%, identify all sources of match and provide additional information regarding overall budget.
2. Expand community description to all counties that the project indicated as its service area.
3. Enhance problem statement with factors specific to the area served (e.g., underserved populations, poverty dynamics).
4. Strengthen solution with unique or culturally relevant services provided by The Shelter.
5. Expand Objectives and Performance Indicators to include services to women as indicated by project's Activities/Timetable. Illustrate what will be achieved by a certain measurable amount in a certain time frame as stated in the instructions.
6. Since agency is a religiously-affiliated organization it is suggested that a statement be included in the application that ensures the applicant offers victims services without regard to their religious affiliation and that the receipt of services is not contingent upon participation in a religious activity or event.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Hope Crisis Center

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0217

**Title:** Victim Assistance

**Amount Requested:** \$113,353

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$102,979	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match and \$28,179 designated for Seward County agreement</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Serves a seven county area with offices in four counties.
2. Project has newly formed and innovative partnership with Seward County's former Victim Witness Unit to provide services to all victims of crime. This effort has the strong support of the Seward County Attorney.
3. Excellent sustainability plan.
4. Increase in the numbers of victims served by Seward County during 2011.
5. Project offers an array of services and staff team includes a bilingual advocate.
6. Activities/Timetable and Continuation Section were very informative and helpful.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Adjust match down to 20%.
2. Strengthen Community Description with brief discussion of cultural aspects of the community.
3. Problem Statement reflects applicant's knowledge of domestic violence and sexual assault however; it lacks discussion on the issues relevant to other types of victims of crime. In this section, keep problem statement focused on victim issues and avoid comments on funding concerns and the types of services provided.
4. On page 26 it indicates 5 victims referred or assisted in filing for victim compensation. Applicant should be aware that all victims are to receive information on the Victims Compensation Reparation program. Please contact Federal Aid Administrator with any questions.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Douglas County Attorney

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0218

**Title:** Omaha-Douglas County Victim Assistance

**Amount Requested:** \$488,602

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$336,900	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> 1. Submit revised budget with 20% match

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Partnership with local child advocacy center, Project Harmony.
2. Numbers of victims provided direct services.
3. Assignment of advocates to the five teams of felony County Attorneys.
4. Unit's strong bilingual components and a willingness to assist victims needing interruption services.
5. Applicant's involvement of volunteers.
6. Strong support from numerous city and county entities that directly impact victims.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Adjust match down to 20%.
2. The requested amount of \$7,000 in supplies warrants itemized budget narrative.
3. Clarify services provided data by indicating whether the 28,000 outreach letters are included in the 32,470 services (face-to-face) provided.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Madison County

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0219

**Title:** Madison County Victim/Witness Unit

**Amount Requested:** \$31,000

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$31,000	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at last year's level with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Sustainability plan's long-term activities, in particular #2 the Director's desire to meet with collaborative partners to consider any possible shared resources.
2. Project Operations are clearly outlined.
3. Partnership with Ponca Tribe.
4. Collaborative efforts and strong support from County Attorney's office and law enforcement.
5. Consistent leadership since start-up of project in 1996.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Adjust match down to 20%, calculate volunteers at \$9.00 an hour and break-out costs for benefits.
2. Last year and again this year the application indicates Commissioners McCallum and Griffith agreed to meet with Senator Flood. What is the follow-up on this?
3. Please include Statistical Documentation of Problem chart in Problem Statement section.
4. Strengthen objective focused on brochures (e.g., increase community's awareness). Project's Activities/Timetable and Continuation section identifies several activities that could be performance indicators.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Crisis Center Inc

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0220

**Title:** Sexual Assault/Domestic Violence Services

**Amount Requested:** \$78,748

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$74,800	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match and all sources of match identified</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Fund raising activities and the project's strong support from City and County.
2. Applicant's outreach efforts including bilingual advocates for the growing Latino population.
3. Applicant did an excellent job in the Community Description of connecting the lack of low-income housing to longer stays in shelter.
4. Clear distinction between the services provided by Hall County Victim Witness Unit and the Crisis Center.
5. Applicant is involved in education of new Hall County Judge regarding domestic violence and sexual assault issues.
6. Applicant's provision of adult incest survivor groups and senior and middle school groups.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Adjust match down to 20% and identify all sources of match.
2. Expand sustainability discuss to include information on agency infrastructure, Board of Directors, and agency budget. Please refer to instructions.
3. Expand Community Description to include unique identifying factors.
4. Revise performance indicators to show increase levels of safety as stated in the Objective (pg. 20 and 22).

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Bridge of Hope Child Advocacy Center

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0221

**Title:** Bridge of Hope Child Advocacy Center

**Amount Requested:** \$12,842

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$11,751	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> 1. <b>Submit revised budget with 20% match</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Applicant provided details explain supplanting of funds will not occur with the existing advocate position.
2. Project meets state statute by being fully accredited through the National Children's Alliance.
3. Applicant serves a 15 county area.
4. Application provided a good picture of the unique issues surrounding child abuse in rural Nebraska.
5. Overall, well written grant with a strong Solution Statement and Goals, Objectives and Performance Indicators.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Adjust match down to 20%.
2. Recalculate table for community description as percentages in both rows labeled "Total Population" should add up to 100%.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Center for SA & DV Survivors

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0222

**Title:** Victim Service and Outreach Project

**Amount Requested:** \$59,857

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$59,857	None

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Well-developed budget narrative.
2. Applicant has strong community support and sustainability plan includes endowment fund.
3. Applicant serves six counties that are home to a large population of Hispanic residents.
4. Staff team includes bilingual staff member.
5. Good community description.
6. Application is a nice example of how to document research and statistics.
7. Applicant has strong community awareness components evidenced by numbers of speaking engagements and volunteers.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Expand on description of organizational structure/operations in Sustainability section.
2. Strengthen application narrative by integrating current census data and recalculating Community Description table (both rows labeled "Total Population" should be the same number).
3. Provide clarity for federal representatives by renaming LB1184 meetings (e.g., child abuse meetings) throughout application.
4. Please clarify how the statement on page 18, "There is a referral and support of clients between the two agencies" is not a duplication of services.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** The S.A.F.E. Center

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0223

**Title:** Advocates for Victims of Domestic and Sexual Violence    **Amount Requested:** \$93,700

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$71,049	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Applicant's numerous fund raising activities and involvement with the University of Nebraska at Kearney and the Nebraska Attorney General's Office.
2. Through partnership with Healing Hearts and Families the applicant serves 13 counties.
3. Application narratives indicate experience and knowledge relevant to the issues, concerns and needs of victims living in rural areas.
4. Applicant's emphasis on immediate services provided by on-call staff.
5. Streamlined on-call system designed to help advocates avoid burn out.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Budget contains miscalculation and match should be adjusted down to 20%.
2. Expand sustainability discussion to include information on agency infrastructure, Broad of Directors, and agency budget. Please refer to instructions.
3. Discuss any streamlined, cost effective processes that have improved delivery of services to victims as a result of the two agencies partnering.
4. Since Problem Statement refers to national statistics these should be included and cited.
5. Add to performance indicators outcomes tracked for increased safety and knowledge of community resources and indicate time frames for all performance measures.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** City of Kearney

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0224

**Title:** Crime Victim Assistance

**Amount Requested:** \$46,394

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$44,810	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended for funding at last year's level with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Informative sustainability plan.
2. Community Description offered several unique features (e.g., 5<sup>th</sup> largest city, student population).
3. Problem Statement does a nice job of highlighting the role of the Unit and how it is different from what is provided by law enforcement, prosecution and providers of domestic violence services.
4. Application reflects project's experience and knowledge regarding the concerns, issues and needs of crime victims.
5. Strong support from law enforcement.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Please review submission instructions.
2. Provide the number of agency volunteers and paid agency staff for #15 (pg. 3).
3. Adjust match down to 20%.
4. Strengthen community description with area's cultural elements.
5. Provide clarity for federal representatives by renaming LB1184 meetings (e.g., child abuse meetings) listed on Activities/Timetable.
6. Is it accurate that 100% of victims served by the Unit will deal with court cases, impact statements and the need to be notified of trial, sentence and release dates as noted by Performance Indicators?

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Heartland Family Service

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0225

**Title:** Heartland Family Service Safe Haven Shelter

**Amount Requested:** \$69,311

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$36,400	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match.</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Well established organization with solid infra-structure and planning efforts.
2. Nice solution statement.
3. Applicant validates Problem Statement with victim examples, data, and identification of issues and needs.
4. Case Managers are trained on the Sanctuary Model.
5. Overall, well developed proposal.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Budget contains miscalculation and match should be adjusted down to 20%.
2. In solution expand on collaboration with law enforcement.
3. Address why the chart on page 13 identifies 100 victims with safety plans, but page 20 indicates a project outcome of 66 safety plans.
4. Applicant is encouraged to include in Goals, Objectives and Performance Indicators the outcomes mentioned in the continuation section.
5. Enhance each section of application by addressing cultural elements.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Women's Center for Advancement (WCA)

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0226

**Title:** WCA Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault and Stalking    **Amount Requested:** \$237,711

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$154,150	<p><b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingencies:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Submit revised budget (summary, sheets and narratives) with corrections</b></li><li><b>2. Budget must address supplanting of funds and ensure all activities are VOCA allowable</b></li><li><b>3. Submit dated assurances</b></li></ol>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Nicely outlined Community Description, Problem Statement and Solution.
2. Description of the problem reflects applicant's relevant experience and knowledge of issues and concerns related to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking.
3. Organization offers a wide array of comprehensive services and is Douglas County's provider of 24/7 advocacy.
4. Applicant has integrated into project the American Sign Language web information and connections.
5. Strong community connections including expansion of outreach efforts to underserved populations.
6. Project's efforts to improve service delivery to victims through implementation of the Green Dot project and Trauma Informed Care strategies.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Budget contains miscalculations and incomplete narratives. Please provide estimates of costs for on-call "idle" time verses "active" time.
2. Address supplanting of funds because even though the positions exist and where previously funded through VOCA the request is a 33% increase. Please discuss excess revenues (\$53,430) reported.
3. Applicant will need to clarify and ensure that for the Family Law Attorney position that VOCA funds and match are only used for emergency legal assistance such as filing restraining orders and obtaining emergency custody/visitation rights when such actions are directly connected to family violence cases and are taken to ensure the health and safety of the victim, and that VOCA funds are not used to pay for non-emergency legal representation such as divorces, or civil restitution recovery efforts.
4. Forms on pages 46 – 49 must be dated.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Friendship Home of Lincoln Inc.

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0227

**Title:** Domestic Violence Shelter

**Amount Requested:** \$93,491

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$70,400	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Sustainability Plan integrates endowment funds and a major gift/planned giving program.
2. Applicant is a well-established organization and an experienced provider of shelter to women and their children who are victims of domestic violence.
3. Good community description and clear problem statement.
4. Commitment of leadership team.
5. Strong volunteer and intern components.
6. Several strength-based strategies are utilized to carry out programming and case management activities.
7. Overall, well developed proposal.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Volunteers can be calculated at \$9.00 per hour.
2. Include the average length of time spent on the waiting list for shelter and strengthen case management pieces by discussing court accompaniment, applying for victims' compensation benefits and assistance with seeking protection orders.
3. Applicant's use of different time frames (i.e., weekly, monthly and quarterly) for performance indicators does not seem to provide an accurate reflection of projected results (unduplicated) for a 12-month project period. Please revise the performance indicators or time frames.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Crisis Center for Domestic Abuse/Sexual Assault

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0228

**Title:** Rural Outreach Program

**Amount Requested:** \$71,612

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$62,535	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingencies:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match, sources of match identified and no federal dollars used as match</b> <b>2. Provide email address for Fiscal Officer and Authorized Official</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Impressive capital campaign effort.
2. Nice description of law enforcement collaboration as well as work with other agencies.
3. Applicant has been in operations for past 25 years with consistent leadership team.
4. Project offers strong volunteer components and sustainability plan includes endowment.
5. Effective community collaborations (i.e., donated office space, Do It Right project, training of law enforcement).
6. Project provided 100% of clients who requested shelter, shelter.
7. Project increased by 100% the numbers of victims of sexual assault reached.
8. Great outreach activities to increase the public's knowledge of domestic violence and sexual assault. Applicant should consider developing additional performance indicators around these efforts.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Federal restrictions do not allow federal funds (VOCA) to be matched by other federal dollars (VAWA). Adjust match down to 20% and identify all sources of match including \$300 under telephone service.
2. Since applicant's Project Coordinator and Project Director is the same individual please provide email address for Fiscal Officer and Authorized Official.
3. Provide clarity for federal representatives by renaming LB1184 teams or meetings (e.g., child abuse meetings) throughout application.
4. Expand on the Children's Rainbow Days Program in the problem and solution statements as the current application is vague about the need and value for this type service.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** North Central Quad Counties

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0229

**Title:** Satellite Office in Ainsworth

**Amount Requested:** \$23,713.16

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$0	Project's written request to withdraw grant application was accepted.

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Applicant provided an informative sustainability plan.
2. Community Description included unique identifiers noting rural area and high percent of residents living below poverty levels.
3. Applicant's new office space offers increased community visibility.
4. Good to see increase in service numbers to victims in Rock and Keya Paha counties.
5. Appreciate project's follow-up from last year on request for Letters of Support.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Based on the projected numbers to be served and amount of funds request applicant should provide rationale for project's cost effectiveness.
2. Budget sections do not match, and request for Directors Day meetings appears duplicated.
3. Ensure population numbers match and report counties served consistently throughout application.
4. Focus problem statement on domestic violence and sexual assault and describe the problem using relevant victim issues and needs.
5. Expand solution by discussing the services checked on page 2, #16.
6. Application does not provide clear picture of project's strengths. It is recommended that applicant contact the Federal Aid Administrator for technical assistance.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Haven House Family Services Center

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0230

**Title:** Continuation of Victim Services

**Amount Requested:** \$48,834

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$39,331	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match and narrative identifying the purpose for conducting public awareness</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Overall, well developed grant.
2. Good to see targeted culturally specific populations; Omaha Nation and Winnebago reservations.
3. Applicant has been in operations for 33 years.
4. Sustainability Plan does nice job of outlining achievements such as increasing community support by 12% and identifying future planning efforts.
5. Applicant coordinates and facilitates the Coordinated Response Teams for five counties.
6. Applicant's well written Problem Statement is a great fit for project's service area and services provided.
7. Demonstrated good community support and provided several examples.
8. Good to see collaborations with tribal entities and implementation of enhanced policies with law enforcement.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Adjust match down to 20% and on pages 6 and 8 include purpose for conducting public awareness.
2. Please provide explanation of Corporation Account containing \$97,528.
3. Keep description of the problem focused on the issues and needs of reaching victims. This can be accomplished by simply moving any discussion of services to the Solution section.
4. Provide clarity for federal representatives by renaming LB1184 meetings (e.g., child abuse meetings).

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** The DOVES Program

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0231

**Title:** The DOVES Program

**Amount Requested:** \$142,519

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$72,835	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget to include additional details on client advocate line-item.</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Sustainability plan clearly outlines applicant's assets (e.g., consistent leadership, staff training, Board of Directors, OVW Sexual Assault Initiative).
2. Applicant covers large rural area (nine counties).
3. Community Description provides excellent discussion of area's population. The break-out of Native American and Hispanic/Latino residents was most helpful.
4. Good to see increase of one-on-one support sessions provided.
5. Clear and concise discussion of the proposed solution.
6. Volunteer recruitment efforts specifically the posting of job descriptions on-line.
7. Explanation of project's problems, barriers and challenges was a very powerful statement.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. While applicant's request regarding the Client Advocates line item is understandable additional details should be provided.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Sandhills Crisis Intervention Program Inc (SCIP)

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0232

**Title:** Victim Assistance & Safety

**Amount Requested:** \$86,057

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$48,736	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingencies:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget (budget summary, sheets and narratives) with corrections; 20% match; volunteer hours used as match separated from staff positions; purpose identified for conducting public awareness; and funding request for consortium meetings removed</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Applicant has been in operations for over 25 years and is serving six rural counties.
2. Project is to be commended for serving increased numbers of individuals and for the improved outreach efforts identified since 2007.
3. Project has strong volunteer components.
4. Solution provides comprehensive description of services offered and the various roles of advocates.
5. Letters of Support demonstrate project's strong community support.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Budget Summary does not match budget sheets therefore reviewers were unable to determine amount of request; adjust match down to 20%; since the Match Fringe for staff positions are volunteer hours these need to be listed on a separate row labeled Volunteers; place all totals in their correct columns (pg. 5); include purpose for conducting public awareness; and remove consortium meetings (pg. 11) since they are no longer taking place.
2. Provide clarity for federal representatives by renaming LB1184 meetings (e.g., child abuse meetings) on page 21.
3. Remove Letters of Support for VAWA funding.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** County of Butler

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0233

**Title:** Butler/Polk County Victim Assistance

**Amount Requested:** \$25,182

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$25,082	<p><b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at last year's level of funding with the following contingencies:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match</b></li><li><b>2. Submit email address for Fiscal Officer and Authorized Official</b></li><li><b>3. Provide narrative detailing process used to meet the requirement of a Victim Witness Program located in County Attorney's office</b></li></ol>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Well written sustainability plan. Appreciate applicant's follow up provided on the plan from last year.
2. Applicant did a nice job in Community Description of identifying residents on fixed incomes or who are low income and then connecting the Problem Statement by noting how poverty contributes to the problems victims experience in rural areas.
3. One-person unit that provided 178 victims with direct services.
4. Applicant's success locating a volunteer willing to commit on an on-going bases.
5. Good to see applicant's outreach efforts to promote services available for victims.
6. Strong support for unit from law enforcement and county government.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Adjust match down to 20% and correct miscalculation on page 10.
2. Since applicant's Project Coordinator and Project Director is the same individual please provide email address for Fiscal Officer and Authorized Official.
3. Expand Community Description to describe rural area (i.e., distance to hospitals) and criminal justice services available for victims (i.e., numbers of police departments, sheriff's offices). Population table needs to recognize individual race and ethnic differences (break out the 3%).
4. In Solution detail process used to meet the requirement of a Victim Witness Program located in County Attorney's office (please refer to application instructions, page 9).
5. On Activities/Timetable indicate the quarters in which activities will be conducted.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Platte County

Grant #: 12-VA-0234

Title: Victim Assistance for Platte and Colfax Counties Amount Requested: \$58,120

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$45,500	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingencies:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with corrections</b> <b>2. Submit correct Federal Employer ID number</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Applicant provided a straight forward approach to sustainability plan.
2. Community Description provides unique identifiers and cultural aspects.
3. Increased numbers of elderly, children and minorities served.
4. Good numbers of presentations provided.
5. Problem statement reflects applicant's commitment to improving the criminal justice system's response to victims of crime.
6. Applicant provides Letters of Support from judges, law enforcement, prosecution and victim service providers.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Provide correct Federal Employer ID number.
2. Narrative for Personnel is really good however; page 5 needs to match page 7, and page 8 lacks details.
3. In Sustainability section elaborate on infrastructure and oversight for the Unit. Good section to indicate stability and experience by noting items such as how long the project has been in operations.
4. Strengthen solution by discussing the free assistance provided with services such as VINE, victim's compensation benefits, protection orders, court accompaniment, court school, bilingual advocacy and volunteers.
5. Please clarify whether the performance indicators (page 18) for elderly victims are correct.
6. When requesting funds for new service provide data to support request.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Voices of Hope

Grant #: 12-VA-0235

Title: Domestic Violence/Sexual Assault Advocacy Project Amount Requested: \$84,422

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$70,566	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> 1. Submit revised budget

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Well-developed budget section with useful rationales for funds requested.
2. Sustainability plan provides evidence of agency's stability.
3. Good to see future planning efforts that include move to social media opportunities.
4. Project's strong volunteer components and Graduate intern opportunities.
5. Applicant's partnerships with several culturally specific organizations and increased services to underserved populations.
6. Good to see all Fusion project case managers trained with VOH.
7. Appreciate applicant's discussion of the data collection systems and explanation of the data collected on the crisis line.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. None

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Sherman County Prevention Coalition

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0236

**Title:** Victim Empowerment Center

**Amount Requested:** \$59,908

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$0	<p><b>Project is recommended for denial based on Operating Instructions #10:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="467 716 1360 783">1. 007.02C – Completeness, clarity, continuity and consistency of the written application</li><li data-bbox="467 787 1019 821">2. 007.02G – Amount of funds available</li></ol>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Sustainability statement outlines mission, board infrastructure and proposed planning efforts.
2. Good to see applicant's interest in services to victims of crime.
3. Proposed project integrates Trauma Informed Care.
4. A letter of support is included from the domestic violence and sexual assault program, Healing Hearts & Families.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Ensure that budget calculations are correct; budget sheets match narratives; details are provided for items such as benefits and sources of match; and rationale is included for estimates.
2. Justify the need for the proposed project by conducting a needs assessment or providing crime data for the service area.
3. Include organization's background/experience in advocacy, direct services to victims seeking help as the result of a crime, services to different age groups, culturally specific services, etc.
4. Expand problem statement to address the issues, concerns and needs of all crime victims.
5. Ensure all tables are correct.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Lincoln

Grant #: 12-VA-0237

Title: Lincoln Police Department Victim/Witness Unit    Amount Requested: \$146,079

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$110,175	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget with 20% match</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Well-developed sustainability statement. Good to see support from City and the County Attorney's office.
2. Consistent leadership since 1986.
3. Applicant provides interesting community information and did nice job of discussing neighborhoods and various groups.
4. Problem statement provides relevant crime data and discusses a range of issues and concerns.
5. Solution provides comprehensive description of services offered and the various roles of unit staff.
6. Applicant references sources of data.
7. Letters of Support reflect strong community partnerships.
8. Good to see Unit staff educating victims on available services through Ponca Tribe project.

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Adjust match down to 20%.
2. Expand discussion of community and problem to include relevant cultural elements.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Nebraska Attorney General's Office

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0238

**Title:** Victims of Crime Project

**Amount Requested:** \$94,604

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$42,997	<b>Due to limited funds project is recommended at partial funding with the following contingency:</b> <b>1. Submit revised budget and exclude any out-of-state travel</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Applicant's increased numbers of victims served and numbers of communities reached.
2. Applicant provides expertise and support for smaller and more rural counties.
3. Strong Letters of Support; many indicating the positive impact the victim services position has on a community and/or victim.
4. Project has achieved good results over the last year in providing direct services to victims.
5. Good to see the project's use of victim centered approaches to service delivery.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Expand sustainability discussion to include infrastructure and other mechanisms provided or made accessible to the project which are conducive to its long-term stability (i.e., oversight, key team members).
2. Provide step by step process for receiving cases and steps taken as a result.
3. In solution statement elaborate on coordinated response.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Nebraska Crime Commission

**Grant #:** 12-VA-0239

**Title:** VINE Operations XII

**Amount Requested:** \$200,000

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$200,000	1. <b>Submit signed certification forms.</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. VINE is critical to safety of victims.
2. Clear and concise objectives and performance indicators.
3. Applicant is to be commended for requesting less funds but plans to increase numbers of jails providing data and numbers of new registrations.
4. Appreciate applicant's explanation of problems or challenges in the Continuation section.

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Please update application and provide brief community description (page 7).
2. Provide signatures on certification forms.

# Attachment #6

**Title V Delinquency Prevention - 2011  
For Award: \$80,202**

Number	Agency—City (Program Title) (2009 Award)	Project Title	2010 Award	Amount Requested	Amount Recommended Staff Rvw.	Amount Recomm NCJJ	Amount Recommended Crime Comm.
11-JP-30	Platte County - Columbus	Graffiti Patrol Monitor/Bilingual Diversion Officer	\$11,715.00	\$9,374.00	\$9,374.00	\$9,374.00	
11-JP-31	Lancaster County - Lincoln	POWER Expanding Cultural Capacity of the JAC & Bilingual	\$17,611.00	\$38,660.00	\$17,611.00	\$17,611.00	
11-JP-32	Douglas County - Omaha		\$22,957.00	\$30,867.00	\$22,957.00	\$22,957.00	
11-JP-33	Colfax County - Schuyler	Schuyler Area Youth Extended Services	n/a	\$86,349.00	\$30,260.00	\$30,260.00	

**TOTALS**  
 Available **\$165,250.00**  
 Remaining **\$80,202.00**  
**\$80,202.00**  
**\$80,202.00**  
**\$0.00**

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Platte County, Columbus

**Grant #:** 11-JP-0030

**Title:** Graffiti Patrol Mentoring Program

**Amount Requested:** \$9,374

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$9,374	No contingencies

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Excellent collaboration and representation amongst multiple agencies
2. Sustainability plan provided is good
3. Evidence Based Practice approach is recognized by OJJDP and is listed in their Model Program Guide
4. Problem identification and Proposed Solution are thorough
5. Well written grant overall and excellent use of funds
6. Excellent letters of support
7. Program is cost effective

***Areas for improvement:***

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Lancaster County, Lincoln

**Grant #:** 11-JP-0031

**Title:** Police Officers Working with Educational Referrals

**Amount Requested:** \$38,660

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$17,611	1. Revised Budget

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good representation of agencies and groups on the Planning Committee
2. Good sustainability timeline
3. Well written grant overall
4. Minority served

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Strongly encourage applicant to provide reasoning regarding unsuccessful completion rate
2. Low number of youth served for size of community – numbers do not show the need for expansion
3. Overtime pay is not recommended at this time

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Douglas County Omaha

**Grant #:** 11-JP-0032

**Title:** Expanding Cultural Capacity of the JAC

**Amount Requested:** \$30,867

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$22,957	1. Revised Budget

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Thorough explanation of Evidence Based Practice and its components
2. Excellent representation of agencies and groups on the Planning Committee; strong collaboration
3. Thorough explanation of DMC Committee Focus narrative
4. Culturally creative

***Areas for improvement:***

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Colfax County, Schuyler

**Grant #:** 11-JP-0033

**Title:** Schuyler Area Youth Extended Services

**Amount Requested:** \$86,349

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$30,260	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Revised Budget</li><li>2. Cannot use federal grant money for food/drink</li><li>3. Activity/Timeline needs to be submitted</li></ol>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Great use of evidence based practice
2. Excellent effort to start a program

***Areas for improvement:***

1. The amount available for Title V Award is \$80,202 – amount requested was excessive
2. Would encourage applicant to seek food donations from the community – food is not allowable with Title V funding
3. Inconsistencies of population served

# **Attachment #7**

# Juvenile Accountability Block Grant – 2011

For Award: \$322,477

Admin: \$16,972

Number	Agency—City (Program Title) (2009 Award)	2010 Award	2011 Amount Requested	Amount Recommended Staff Rvw.	Amount Recomm NCJJ	Amount Recommended Crime Comm.
11-JA-600	Nebraska Office of Probation	N/A	\$55,000.00	\$55,000.00	\$55,000.00	\$55,000.00
11-JA-601	Hall County	\$11,110.00	\$9,016.00	\$9,016.00	\$9,016.00	
11-JA-602	City of Omaha	\$118,886.00	\$145,309.00	\$90,000.00	\$92,631.00	
11-JA-603	Horizon Recovery & Counseling Center	N/A	\$37,907.00	\$37,907.00	\$37,907.00	
11-JA-604	Lancaster County	\$78,703.00	\$119,346.00	\$100,000.00	\$78,703.00	
11-JA-605	UNO Board of Regents	\$182,814.00	\$35,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
11-JA-606	Sarpy County Juvenile Justice Center	\$19,077.00	\$19,077.00	\$17,000.00	\$14,369.00	

<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$410,590.00</b>	<b>\$420,655.00</b>	<b>\$308,923.00</b>	<b>\$287,626.00</b>	<b>\$55,000.00</b>
Available	\$410,590.00	\$322,477.00	\$322,477.00	\$322,477.00	\$322,477.00
Remaining	\$0.00	-\$98,178.00	\$13,554.00	\$34,851.00	\$267,477.00

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Nebraska Office of Probation Administration

**Grant #:** 11-JA-600

**Title:** JDAI Statewide Coordinator

**Amount Requested:** \$55,000

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$55,000	Earmarked at the January 27, 2012 Crime Commission Meeting

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

*Strengths of the Application:*

*Areas for improvement:*

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Hall County

**Grant #:** 11-JA-601

**Title:** Juvenile Accountability Probation Intake Officer

**Amount Requested:** \$9,016

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 9,016	No contingencies

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Trying to improve best practices
2. High diversion numbers
3. Strong collaboration amongst agencies
4. Cost efficient program
5. Project operation thorough and descriptive

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Please do not mention names in grant application

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** City of Omaha

**Grant #:** 11-JA-602

**Title:** Douglas Co JABG Phase 14

**Amount Requested:** \$145,309

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 92,631	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Description of discretionary funds</li><li>2. Funding is only recommended for renovation for Douglas County Youth Center staff secure facility and right track case management system</li><li>3. Revised Budget</li></ol>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Case management system and expansion on DCYC is appreciated
2. Good collaboration with the county
3. Strong letters of support

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Funding is not recommended for JABG Coordinator/position is not cost effective, lacking sustainability
2. Explain discretionary amount
3. How is applicant planning to sustain multiple positions with future funding cuts

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Revive Inc. Horizon Recovery & Counseling Center

**Grant #:** 11-JA-603

**Title:** Juvenile Intensive Outpatient Treatment

**Amount Requested:** \$37,907

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 37,907	<b>1. Projected number of youth needs to be higher – contact Program Administrator</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Strong evidence based practice
2. Very cost effective program
3. Low personnel cost

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Lack of sustainability
2. Low projected number of youth to be served
3. Collaboration from the Sheriff's Office is recommended
4. Broaden referral sources

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Lancaster County Lincoln

**Grant #:** 11-JA-604

**Title:** JABG Grant

**Amount Requested:** \$119,346

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 78,703	1. Revised Budget

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good project operation
2. Strong evidence based practice
3. Strong juvenile accountability program
4. Problem statement explanation is descriptive and thorough

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Include data for new position that was funded under ARRA

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** UNO Board of Regents

**Grant #:** 11-JA-605

**Title:** Statewide Data Collection, Compliance, and Training Initiative (SDCCT) **Amount Requested:** \$35,000

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$0	<b>Funding for program will be contracted through JABG interest funds</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Entire state will benefit from this program
2. This program is federally recognized
3. Cost effective project
4. Breakdown of cost appreciated

***Areas for improvement:***

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Sarpy County Juvenile Justice Center

**Grant #:** 11-JA-606

**Title:** Sarpy County Juvenile Reporting Center

**Amount Requested:** \$19,077

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 14,369	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="464 638 1308 709">1. Contact Program Administrator – cannot use funds to buy food/drinks</li><li data-bbox="464 711 727 745">2. Revised Budget</li></ol>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good evidence based practice
2. High number of people being served
3. Low personnel cost
4. Descriptive and thorough grant application overall

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Funding not recommended for TEG program and TAP – due to appearance of supplanting
2. Do not use specific names, use job titles
3. More information is needed on proposed contractor’s background

# Attachment #8

**2012 Byrne Justice Assistance Grants (JAG)  
State Projects  
Amount Available: \$611,073 / \*\$153,869 Local State Patrol Investigators**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Agency—City</b>	<b>2011 Award</b>	<b>Amount Requested</b>	<b>Amount Recomm Staff</b>	<b>Amount Recomm Grnt.</b>	<b>Amount Recomm Crime</b>
12-DA-0301	NE Crime Commission - Lincoln (Drug Enforcement)	\$70,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00	
12-DA-0305	Ne Department of Correctional Services - Lincoln	\$20,680.00	\$37,625.00	\$17,000.00	\$17,000.00	
12-DA-0310	Nebraska State Patrol - Lincoln	\$374,464.00	\$676,691.00	\$318,325.00	\$479,942.00	
12-DA-0314	Administrative Office of Courts and Probation - Lincoln	n/a	\$70,317.00	\$70,317.00	\$0.00	
12-DA-0315	Nebraska Attorney General's Office - Lincoln	\$122,974.00	\$209,919.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	
12-DA-0317	Nebraska Crime Commission - Lincoln (NCJIS)	\$68,485.00	\$183,227.00	\$160,000.00	\$160,000.00	
12-DA-306	City of North Platte*NSP Local Investigators		*\$91300	\$45,650.00	\$0.00	This amount is taken out of \$153,869 allocation
12-DA-300	RAP*NSP Local Investigators	\$91,300.00	*\$136950	\$45,650.00	\$0.00	This amount is taken out of \$153,869 allocation
<b>TOTALS</b>			<b>\$1,185,779.00</b>	<b>\$764,942.00</b>	<b>\$764,942.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
<b>Available</b>			<b>\$764,942.00</b>	<b>\$764,942.00</b>	<b>\$764,942.00</b>	<b>\$764,942.00</b>
<b>Remaining</b>		<b>\$747,903.00</b>	<b>-\$420,837.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$764,942.00</b>

2012 Byrne Justice Assistance Grants (JAG)

Local Projects

Amount Available: \$651,086

Number	Agency—City (Program Title)	2011 Award	Amount Requested	Amount Recomm Staff	Amount Recomm Grnt.	Amount Recommended
12-DA-0300	City of York - York	\$91,300.00	\$41,600.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
12-DA-0302	City of Beatrice - Beatrice	\$100,000.00	\$118,419.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
12-DA-0303	Scotts Bluff Co - Gering	\$100,000.00	\$355,278.00	\$70,000.00	\$70,000.00	\$70,000.00
12-DA-0304	City of Norfolk - Norfolk	\$101,951.00	\$110,564.00	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00
12-DA-0306	City of North Platte PD - N.P.	\$98,300.00	\$7,000.00	\$7,000.00	\$7,000.00	\$7,000.00
12-DA-0307	City of Omaha - Omaha	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$175,000.00	\$175,000.00	\$175,000.00
12-DA-0308	Buffalo County - Kearney	\$45,000.00	\$45,045.00	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
12-DA-0309	City of Fremont - Fremont	\$170,000.00	\$230,490.00	\$100,000.00	75,000	
12-DA-0311	City of Lincoln LPD - Lincoln	\$340,000.00	\$437,654.00	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00
12-DA-0312	Indian Center - Lincoln	n/a	\$34,451.00	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00
12-DA-0313	Douglas County - Omaha	n/a	\$334,028.00	\$24,086.00	\$69,086.00	\$69,086.00
12-DA-0316	Banister's Leadership Academy	n/a	\$25,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00

<b>Total Available</b>		\$0.00	\$1,939,529.00	\$651,086.00	\$651,086.00	\$651,086.00	\$0.00
<b>Remaining</b>		\$1,246,551.00	-\$1,288,443.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** City of York - York

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0300

**Title:** RAP

**Amount Requested:** \$178,550.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 0.00	<b>Recommended for denial based on the following:</b> <b>007.02D:</b> Ability and capacity of the proposed program to make an impact on the identified problem. <b>007.02F:</b> Cost effectiveness of the proposed project. <b>007.02G:</b> Amount of funds available.

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good community collaboration
2. Strong letters of support
3. Applicant has well written sustainability plan

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Encourage applicant to consider Coordinator/Project Director to be part of a member agency.
2. Applicant indicates that they may not be in compliance with Federal task force guidelines for a multi-jurisdictional task force by not having a local investigator assigned to the task force full-time. A multi-jurisdictional task force must have either a local **and/or** State investigator assigned to the task force.
3. Encourage applicant to focus on drug trafficking operations and not street level. Focusing on street level drugs is not effective use of grant funds.
4. Proposed project is not evidence based.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Ne Crime Commission - Lincoln

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0301

**Title:** Drug Enforcement & Violent Crime Training

**Amount Requested:** \$8,000.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 8,000.00	1. None

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good to see limit on multiple registrations from same agency
2. Overall well written grant
3. Good description of how training classes are offered
4. Statistics demonstrate current decline in officer training

***Areas for improvement:***

1. More information on future sustainability is needed
2. Performance Measures should be based on training activities

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** City of Beatrice - Beatrice

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0302

**Title:** Southeast Area Drug Enforcement Task Force

**Amount Requested:** \$118,419.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$0	<p><b>1. Recommended for denial based on the following:</b></p> <p><b>007.02D:</b> Ability and capacity of the proposed program to make an impact on the identified problem.</p> <p><b>007.02F:</b> Cost effectiveness of the proposed project.</p> <p><b>007.02G:</b> Amount of funds available.</p>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Detailed budget narratives
2. Good description of daily and quarterly activities

**Areas for improvement:**

1. No support letter or working relationship with Nebraska State Patrol
2. Considering SEADE was not operational for a period of time are requested investigator positions new?
3. Focus should be on Drug Trafficking Organizations-applicant indicates on page 18 that SEADE's philosophy is to target local dealers instead of large scale operations. Not effective use of funds to focus on street level narcotics
4. Encourage applicant to utilize evidenced based performance measures
5. Performance measures need amount of increase
6. Applicant needs to seek other funding options for task force sustainability

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Scotts Bluff Co - Gering

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0303

**Title:** WING

**Amount Requested:** \$355,278.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 70,000.00	1. Revised Budget

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good letters of support provided
2. Applicant has strong community collaboration
3. Excellent synopsis of last past events
4. Comprehensive description of project operation.
5. Good community description

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Insufficient funds to fund all personnel requests
2. Does applicant still receive HIDTA funding?
3. Encourage applicant to look at other means of sustainability due to decrease in federal funding
4. Excessive information in narrative sections
5. Would like to see more information regarding investigative tasks versus number of meetings attended

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** City of Norfolk - Norfolk

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0304

**Title:** SNARE

**Amount Requested:** \$110,564.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 60,000.00	1. Revised Budget

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good problem statement and description of problem
2. Strong community collaboration and coordination
3. Well written grant application

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Applicant requests \$66,048 in buy money- amount seems high and is not recommended for funding
2. Would encourage applicant to use performance measures that were evidence based- crime reduction- decrease in violent crime, etc. Must include measurable increase or decrease in performance measures.
3. Applicant indicates on page 23 that their effort is towards street level enforcement. Main focus should be on mid to upper level cases.
4. Encourage applicant to look at other means of sustainability due to decrease in federal funding

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Ne Department of Correctional Services - Lincoln

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0305

**Title:** Intelligence Sharing Initiative

**Amount Requested:** \$37,625.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 17,000.00	1. Revised Budget

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good letters of support provided
2. Applicant provides good description of current efforts
3. Good to see discussion of information sharing between law enforcement agencies

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Recommended decrease in funds due to insufficient funds available
2. Encourage applicant to seek sustainability options- limited information provided under sustainability plan
3. More information is needed under performance measures. Applicant needs to indicate projected increase for each performance measure listed. For example, how much will training classes increase by? 10, 20?
4. More information needed on reduced number of UAs. Who uses intelligent data- who is the inmate population- how many intelligent reports are generated and who gets those?

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** City of North Platte PD - N.P.

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0306

**Title:** CODE

**Amount Requested:** \$98,300.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 7,000.00	<b>1. Revised Budget</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good statistical breakdown provided
2. Strong letters of support provided

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Due to insufficient funds and lack of focus on evidence based buy money is only recommended for funding.
2. Applicant discusses "Smart Policing" in a broad sense, what type of Smart Policing has applicant participated in?
3. Applicant needs to decrease number of performance measures. Include a measureable outcome- what percentage of increase?

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** City of Omaha - Omaha

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0307

**Title:** Metro Drug Task Force

**Amount Requested:** \$200,000.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 175,000.00	<b>1. Revised Budget</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good to see applicant focus on DTOs
2. Well written grant application
3. Good community collaboration
4. Good problem statement

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Recommended decrease in funds due to insufficient funds available
2. Would encourage applicant to use performance measures that are based in evidence based practices
3. With continued decrease in federal funding, would encourage applicant to seek a variety of sustainability resources
4. Undercover officer information should not be listed in grant application, please do not include in future grant applications

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Buffalo County - Kearney

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0308

**Title:** CANDO

**Amount Requested:** \$45,045.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 0.00	<b>Recommended for denial based on the following:</b> <b>007.02D:</b> Ability and capacity of the proposed program to make an impact on the identified problem. <b>007.02F:</b> Cost effectiveness of the proposed project. <b>007.02F:</b> Cost effectiveness of the proposed project.

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Applicant provides detailed description of the problem
2. Good to see intent of sustainability that CANDO agencies will maintain task force
3. Good statistical information provided

***Areas for improvement:***

1. No support letter from NSP
2. Applicant indicates that CANDO agencies now place targeting emphasis on the street level dealers but occasionally target mid and upper level drug offenders. For most effective use of funds applicant should primarily focus on DTOs.
3. Applicant does not focus on evidence based practices.
4. Applicant must include measures under performance measures- what is the projected increase in number of buys made by officers? What is the projected increase in newly developed CIs?

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** City of Fremont - Fremont

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0309

**Title:** III Corps

**Amount Requested:** \$230,490.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 75,000.00	<b>1. Revised Budget</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good to see focus on mid to upper level cases
2. Strong current efforts
3. Good efforts in school systems
4. Letters of support demonstrate strong community collaboration efforts

***Areas for improvement:***

1. With continual decrease in federal funding, encourage applicant to focus on other funding options
2. Recommended decrease in funds due to insufficient funds available
3. Conflicting information under statistical information provided

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Nebraska State Patrol - Lincoln

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0310

**Title:** Mule 23

**Amount Requested:** \$676,691.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 479,942.00	<b>1. Revised Budget</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Applicant provides detailed information under sustainability plan
2. Strong letters of support provided
3. Well written grant

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Recommended decrease in funds due to insufficient funds available
2. Applicant is strongly encouraged to seek other funding sources as next year the "State" funding will be greatly reduced due to the fact that we will not have "carryover".
3. Would encourage applicant to provide more detailed breakdown of investigator positions

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** City of Lincoln LPD - Lincoln

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0311

**Title:** Lincoln/Lancaster Co Investigative Narcotics

**Amount Requested:** \$437,654.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 150,000.00	1. Revised Budget

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Strong community outreach and collaboration efforts
2. Good problem statement and statistical information provided
3. Strong current efforts

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Recommended decrease in funds due to insufficient funds available
2. Budget summary totals do not match budget narratives
3. More explanation is needed in current investigatory prosecution efforts
4. Encourage applicant to fund over-time
5. Focus on street level dealers, focus should be on drug task force organizations

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Indian Center - Lincoln

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0312

**Title:** Many Nations Healing Counseling Project

**Amount Requested:** \$34,451.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 25,000.00	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Revised Budget</li><li>2. Submit 3 letters of support</li><li>3. Call JAG Administrator for technical assistance</li></ol>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Proposed project is cost effective and evidence based
2. Application justifies program needed, especially among Native American population
3. Applicant focuses on holistic approach
4. Good goals and objectives

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Encourage applicant to seek sustainability as grant funds should be seen as “seed” money
2. No letters of support or commitment submitted with application
3. Statistics – lack of explanation on statistical information

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Douglas County - Omaha

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0313

**Title:** Violent Crime Unit

**Amount Requested:** \$334,028.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$69,086	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Revised Budget</li><li>2. Funding recommended for Victim Advocate at \$24,086.00</li></ol>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Focus is on violent offenders and the incarceration of violent offenders, versus non-violent
2. Prosecution of gun crimes is number one priority
3. Based off of evidence based practices
4. Applicant provides strong statistical evidence-233 cases handled- 99 have been sentenced

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Performance Measures should indicate how many numbers you seek to accomplish pg #16
2. No letters from Attorney Generals Officer/ Douglas County Sherriff
3. Sustainability
4. Annual salary not correct- miscalculations

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Administrative Office of Courts and Probation

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0314

**Title:** Remote Recovery Project

**Amount Requested:** \$70,317.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 0.00	<b>Recommended for denial based on the following:</b> <b>007.02G:</b> Amount of funds available.

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Fits well into JAG strategic plan
2. Proposed project is evidence based and focuses on rural populations
3. Good to see applicant will utilize the School of Information Science and Technology
4. Applicant explains plan for sustainability well
5. Proposed project is cost effective
6. Items listed under Supplies and Operating are onetime expenses
7. Good to see applicant plans to approach the legislature for purposes of sustainability

***Areas for improvement:***

1. More detail needed on how Probationer would access system
2. Applicant is not clear if there will be 6 or 5 video conferencing station
3. Crime Commission Grant Review committee did not understand the remote recovery concept and process operation. Grant Review committee members questioned how effective therapy could be through a remote process.

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Nebraska Attorney General's Office

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0315

**Title:** Drug and Violent Crime Unit

**Amount Requested:** \$209,919.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 100,000.00	<b>1. Revised Budget</b>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Detailed activity/timeline
2. Good letters of support
3. Strong state-wide collaboration

***Areas for improvement:***

1. Page #23- please list how you plan on tracking/measuring your performance measures
2. Recommended decrease due to limited availability of funds
3. More explanation is needed on how applicant decides what cases to take on
4. Calculations miscalculated on statistical information encourage applicant to increase training to County Attorneys on how to handle drug cases

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Banister's Leadership Academy

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0316

**Title:** North South Omaha Leadership Academy

**Amount Requested:** \$25,000.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

<b>Amount Recommended</b>	<b>Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:</b>
\$ 20,000.00	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Revised Budget</b></li><li><b>2. Submit all signed documents</b></li><li><b>3. Malcolm X Foundation to be designated as Fiscal Officer</b></li><li><b>4. Contact JAG Administrator for Technical Assistance</b></li></ol>

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

***Strengths of the Application:***

1. Good to see applicant proposes to bring youth together from different parts of the city
2. Informative statistics provided
3. Good letters of support provided
4. Holistic approach to violence- community outreach efforts

***Areas for improvement:***

1. How are Program Leadership Specialists currently being paid? Application indicates compensation of 4 Program Specialists for 25% of their salaries. Are Program Specialists a volunteer position currently? More detail is needed.
2. Certified Assurances not signed
3. Authorized Official and Fiscal Officer not filled out- does organization have a Board of Directors?

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

**Applicant:** Nebraska Crime Commission

**Grant #:** 12-DA-0317

**Title:** NCJIS

**Amount Requested:** \$183,227.00

*The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.*

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$ 160,000.00	1. Revised Budget

*The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.*

**Strengths of the Application:**

1. Fits well with JAG Strategic Plan and JAG priorities
2. Majority of costs associated with project would consist of one time cost
3. Cost effective project/would reach a wide number of users
4. Proposed project to add much needed datasets/expanding features
5. This project clearly supports priority of JAG strategic plan on the need for support of criminal justice data sharing initiatives involving state and local agencies in Nebraska.
6. NCJS widely used – over 8,000 users from 480 agencies
7. One area where state and local collaborate

**Areas for improvement:**

1. Improve performance measure and objectives – additional clarification needed on performance measures and objectives
2. More letters of support needed