

Good Life. Great Service.

COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

AGENDA

POLICE STANDARDS ADVISORY COUNCIL

DATE:

October 8, 2025

TO:

Police Standards Advisory Council

FROM:

Mark Stephenson, Director

SUBJECT:

Meeting Wednesday, October 15, 2025, 9:00 A.M. Library, Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center 3600 North Academy Road, Grand Island, Nebraska 68801

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. APPROVAL OF SEPTEMBER 17, 2025 P.S.A.C. MINUTES
- III. REPORTS
 - A. Director N.L.E.T.C.
 - B. Deputy Director of Training N.L.E.T.C.
 - C. Nebraska State Patrol Training Academy
 - D. Crime Commission Report
- IV. OLD BUSINESS
- V. NEW BUSINESS
 - A. Appeal Hearing: Denial To Attend Basic Training-Voting Item

Aibor Huerta: South Sioux City Police Department: (25-PSAC-109)



B. Revocation: Voluntary Surrender-Voting Item

1. Joseph Kubicek: LR-315-25

2. Joseph Lester: LR-316-25

C. Revocation Hearing Request: (To move location of hearing to Lincoln.)-Voting Item

Robert Rivera: LR-238-22 Attachment 1

D. Extension of 1-Year Requirement to Attend Management Attachment 2

- 1. Corey Brown: Bellevue Police Department-Voting Item
- 2. Dustin Franks: Bellevue Police Department-Voting Item

E. Waiver of Management Training In Lieu Of NLETC Management Course-Voting Item Attachment 3

Dominic Savio: Fremont Police Department

F. Academy Instructor Requests

Attachment 4

1. <u>Legal Original-Voting Item</u>

Rachel Bolton: Sarpy Douglas Law Enforcement Academy

2. Professional Original-Voting Item

David Stewart: Omaha Police Department

Michael Holm: Sarpy Douglas Law Enforcement Academy

3. <u>Professional Renewal-Voting Item</u>

Monica Spanke: Nebraska State Patrol

Queno Martinez: Omaha Police Department Charles Ott: Omaha Police Department Jordan Smith: Omaha Police Department

4. General Original-Voting Item

Sydney Bruggeman: Nebraska State Patrol

G. Waiver of Patrol Rifle Instructor Recertification In Lieu Of NLETC Patrol Rifle Instructor Recertification Course-Voting Item Attachment 5

Joshua Fink: Hastings Police Department

H. Projected Enrollment and Recommendations to Seat Allocated for the Next Calendar Year (Chapter 6, Sec. 003.03)-Voting Item

I. **State Academy Curriculum-Voting Item**

Attachment 6

J. **Revision of Comprehensive Practical Testing Standards-Voting Item**

Physical Tasks-Discussion Item K.

Hiring Bonus Grant Approvals-Voting Item L.

Name	<u>Agency</u>
Trent Ostendorf	Beatrice PD
Gavynn West	Kearney PD
Logan Weiss	Mitchell PD
Erik Hobart	Norfolk PD

Kobe Hyland Red Willow Co SO Joseph Rothmeyer Red Willow Co SO

NCO's Eligible for Automatic Extension-Voting Item M.

Name	<u>Agency</u>
Nohemi Hurtado Vigil	Lexington PD
Anthony Davis	Ogallala PD

N. **Public Comments**

VI. **OTHER BUSINESS**

Informational Items A.

1. **Trainees**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Agency</u>
Patrick Hanson	Deuel Co SO
Zachery Fry	Hitchcock Co SO
Joshua Goodbrake	Kearney PD
James Torres	Madison Co SO
Caden Krikac	NE State Fire Marshal
Braxton Webber	Nuckolls Co SO
Ashton McCown	Pawnee Co SO
Kevin Maggi	Sheridan Co SO
Ryan Steele	Sheridan Co SO

NCO's Completing 80 Hours of Training 2.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Agency</u>
James Bullington	Douglas Co SO
Jason Underwood	Douglas Co SO
Heath Colby	Holdrege PD

B. Date, Time & Location of Next Meeting

November 19, 2025, 9:00 a.m., Library, Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center, 3600 North Academy Road, Grand Island, Nebraska 68801



Good Life. Great Service.

COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

REGULAR MEETING OF THE POLICE STANDARDS ADVISORY COUNCIL September 17, 2025

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Chief Steve Reeves called the meeting to order at 9:03 A.M. Legal notice of the meeting was published in the Lincoln Journal Star on September 10, 2025. Roll call; Sgt. Drew Bolzer, Chief Paul Graham, Sheriff Greg London, Mr. Matthew McCarthy, Sheriff Dan Osmond, Chief Steve Reeves, Lt. Col. Jeff Roby, Asst. Chief Jason Stille. Absent from the meeting: Deputy Chief Sherie Thomas.

II. APPROVAL OF AUGUST 20, 2025 P.S.A.C. MINUTES

Chief Reeves entertained a motion to approve the August 20, 2025 PSAC minutes.

MOTION

Asst. Chief Jason Stille: I'll make a motion to approve the August 2025 PSAC minutes. Chief Paul Graham seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Chief Graham, Sheriff London, Mr. McCarthy, Lt. Col. Roby, Asst. Chief Stille, Sgt. Bolzer. Abstained: Sheriff Osmond and Chief Reeves. Motion approved.

III. REPORTS

A. Director's Report

Director Mark Stephenson reported on the following:

 JTA Testing: During the last two basics a pilot program of setting up an obstacle course based on the job tasks to be used as a replacement for the PRET has been conducted. During the second week of September the training staff ran the PRET test as part of the student's PT workout. Results are below:

Mark Stephenson Director

Law Enforcement Training Center

3600 North Academy Road Grand Island, Nebraska 68801 **OFFICE** 308-385-6030 **FAX** 308-385-6032

1 09/17/2025 PSAC



- Broken down to two days. First day measured the vertical leap, situps and 300 meter run. Second day measure push-ups and the mile and half run.
- Broken down in two separate groups. The first group were those students who completed the PRET at their agency and the agency reported their scores. The second group were students who completed the PRET at NLETC.
 - First group: 9 students failed to obtain a passing score.
 - Second group: Students had an overall 2% increase in their scores. They all had passing scores.
- There is a trend among academies to use a JTA style obstacle course in place of the PRET but there is still not a guarantee of no failures.
- Revocations Stats: There has been an increase in the 791 change in status forms as well as revocations. The numbers were presented to the Crime Commission in August: 2018-2022, there were 17 appeals to basic academy applicants and 44 revocations. 2023 to current, there were 14 appeals and 34 revocations. By the end of 2025 we are looking to surpass the previous five years for appeals and revocations.

Sheriff Osmond asked if Director Stephenson contacted the agencies of the students who failed the PRET test here after passing scores were reported prior to the start of basic. Director Stephenson responded that he did not contact the agencies. Asst. Chief Stille asked if there has been an increase in the number of student injuries due to fitness levels not being at a level to guarantee completion of the academy. Deputy Director Boner responded that there has been an increase but he did not have the specific numbers. Sheriff Osmond asked if the change from the PRET to the JTA based test would change the injury numbers. Director Stephenson responded that the reason for the change to the JTA based test instead of the PRET test was a liability issue. The JTA test would be more job applicable and it's easier to defend if it were challenged. The injury numbers are more due to a physical fitness level when coming into the academy. Director Stephenson plans on bringing a proposal to the Council to run the JTA obstacle course test on day one of the academy and failures will be removed from training. Director Stephenson recommended that if agencies want to gauge whether their officers can pass the JTA test they need to find a five foot high wall or fence and use that as a guideline as the majority of failures are due to that obstacle. Chief Reeves asked how soon before the start of the basic did the PRET results have to be submitted. Director Stephenson responded that they had to be within six months of the start of the academy.

Sgt. Bolzer asked if there were statistics showing 791 data from 2018 to 2022 showed that the officers hired 2020 or later and for 2023 to 2025 if they are five year or less veterans. Director Stephenson responded that there were a mixture of veteran officers and the newer trend is seeing reports on officers with two years or less on the job.

B. Deputy Director's Report

Deputy Director Gene Boner's reported:

- The 221st Basic Training Session: Starts September 2, 2025 with 51 students enrolled.
- NLETC will host the 2025 C.O.P.S. conference on September 25, 2025 with up to 200 students from Nebraska Community Colleges in attendance. A variety of skills and hands-on opportunities will be available for the students.
- Travelled to Wayne State College to give a presentation to criminal justice students about training and requirements.
- The following training was held at NLETC:
 - o Basic Jail Training: September 8-19, 2025 with 30 students.
 - Firearms Instructor Recertification: September 10, 2025 with 14 students.
 - Patrol Rifle Instructor Recertification: September 11, 2025 with 14 students.

Mr. McCarthy thanked Deputy Director Boner, Director Stephenson and the staff for assisting with the C.O.P.S. conference.

C. Nebraska State Patrol Training Academy

Captain Andy Ecklund reported on the following:

 Camp 72 is currently in week 10 of training with 20 recruits enrolled. Past, current and future courses were reported.

D. Crime Commission Report

Executive Director Bryan Tuma reported the following:

- The Attorney General sponsored a workshop/seminar at the Innovation Campus in Lincoln on human trafficking. Director Tuma recommended that the Council members try to attend the seminar next year.
- Annual Traffic Stop Report: Currently gathering data for a near future report.
- LB-150 Mental Health Expansion Project: Steering committee has been formed. A contract with the UNL Public Policy Center to help on the implementation planning. The next meeting will be on September 24, 2025. If there is anyone in the law enforcement committee interested in attending those meetings or participating on the steering committee they can contact Executive Director Bryan Tuma.
- Crime Commission Meeting: October 17, 2025. Approaching approximately eight revocation cases will be presented. There will be a vacancy on the Crime Commission Board for a person-at-large member. The current member is not renewing his position.

IV. Old Business

NO OLD BUSINESS

V. New Business

1	А. Арр	eal Hearing: Denial to attend basic training.
2	Addison Hejl: Linco	In Police Department (25 PSAC-108)
3	CHAIR:	The matter now before the Police Standards Advisory Council is the
4		Lincoln Police Department on behalf of its applicant, Addison Hejl v. the
5		Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center, Case Number 25-PSAC-
6		108. I will now the hearing to order at 9:23 a.m.
7	CHAIR:	I will note the following Council members are present; myself, Chief Steve
8		Reeves, Chief Paul Graham, Lt. Col. Jeff Roby, Sheriff Greg London,
9		Sheriff Dan Osmond, Asst. Chief Jason Stille, Sgt. Drew Bolzer and Mr.
10		Matthew McCarthy. I will note the following Council members are not
11		present; Deputy Chief Sherie Thomas with the Omaha Police
12		Department.
13	CHAIR:	I will note that there is a quorum of the Council present so this hearing
14		may proceed. Attorney Sara Bockstadter is here as legal advisor to the
15		Council. The Council has been asked by the Petitioner, Lincoln Police
16		Department, to consider reversing Director Stephenson's denial of Ms.
17		Hejl's entrance into the basic law enforcement officer certification course.
18	CHAIR:	The Council is here pursuant to its authority as stated in Nebraska
19		Revised Statute 81-1403, its related statutes and Title 79 of the Nebraska
20		Administrative Code. I will note that the petitioner, Chief Michon Morrow is
21		present along with the petitioner's applicant Addison Hejl.
22	CHAIR:	Chief Morrow, are you represented by counsel?
23	CHIEF MORROW:	No.
24	CHAIR:	Ms. Hejl, are you represented by counsel?
25	HEJL:	No.

CHAIR: 26 The Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center's Director Mark Stephenson is present without counsel. Mr. Stephenson, are you 27 28 represented by counsel for purposes of today's hearing? 29 STEPHENSON: No. 30 CHAIR: Will you be presenting the case on behalf of the Nebraska Law 31 **Enforcement Training Center?** 32 STEPHENSON: Yes. CHAIR: 33 Does any party contest the Council's authority to hear this type of case? 34 Director Stephenson? STEPHENSON: 35 No. Chief? 36 CHAIR: 37 **CHIEF MORROW:** No. 38 CHAIR: Title 79 of the Nebraska Administrative Code, Chapter 8, specifies the 39 requirements that must be met by an applicant in order to be eligible for 40 the basic law enforcement officer certification course. Pursuant to Title 41 79, Chapter 8, section 008.01, upon request of an applicant or agency, 42 the Council will conduct a hearing in order to determine whether the 43 applicant meets the minimum qualifications for admission into a 44 certification course. The burden during the appeal hearing is on the 45 applicant to demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that she 46 meets admission qualifications. Per Title 79, Chapter 13, section 47 004.02G, the issues of this appeal will be limited to those raised in the 48 request for review and reconsideration as provided in sections 004.02C 49 and the director's written decision in response. The decision of the 50 Council is final.

> 6 09/17/2025 PSAC

51	CHAIR:	I want to go over your rights as Petitioner in this Administrative Hearing.
52		You have the right to be heard, either in person or by counsel who you
53		hire at your own expense. You also a right to notice, that is to receive a
54		statement from the State, the Nebraska Law Enforcement Training
55		Center, detailing or justifying its actions in this matter. Do you understand
56		these rights?
57	CHIEF MORROW:	Yes.
58	CHAIR:	Did you receive such notice via letters from Director Stephenson stating
59		his reasons for denial of Addison Hejl's basic application?
60	CHIEF MORROW:	I did.
61	CHAIR:	Did you notice any defects in those letters that prejudiced you from
62		presenting your case?
63	CHIEF MORROW:	No.
64	CHAIR:	Additionally, you have a right to present evidence at this hearing, a right
65		to the Council's findings and the right to seek judicial review thereafter.
66		Do you understand these rights?
67	CHIEF MORROW:	Yes.
68	CHAIR:	The Police Standards Advisory Council shall act as a Hearing Board for
69		this appeal. Neither I nor any other Council member will be witness for
70		either side and I'm not aware of any matter which I believe will be
71		grounds for challenger side against me. Is there any member of the
72		Council that is aware of any matter which they believe will be grounds for
73		challenge for either side? Chief Graham?
74	GRAHAM:	No.
75	CHAIR:	Lt. Col. Roby?

7 09/17/2025 PSAC

ROBY: 76 No. CHAIR: 77 Sheriff London? 78 LONDON: No. 79 CHAIR: Sheriff Osmond? 80 OSMOND: No. 81 CHAIR: Asst. Chief Stille? 82 STILLE: I will recuse myself from this hearing. CHAIR: Sqt. Bolzer? 83 **BOLZER:** 84 No. CHAIR: 85 Mr. Matthew McCarthy? McCARTHY: 86 No. 87 CHAIR: Does either side have any questions or challenge for cause against any member of the Council? 88 89 STEPHENSON: No. **CHIEF MORROW:** 90 No. 91 CHAIR: Director Stephenson, do you have any procedural documents for this 92 case? 93 STEPHENSON: I do. I have two exhibits that I would request be accepted into evidence if I 94 could approach? I have two exhibits, both are contained in this notebook. 95 The first is the application for the Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center for Ms. Hejl and all personal identifying information has been 96 redacted. The second is the denial packet for Ms. Hejl. Both are 97 98 contained in here as well as some supporting documentation. 99 CHAIR: Chief Morrow, do you have any objection to the receipt of these exhibits? **CHIEF MORROW:** 100 I do not.

101	CHAIR:	Let the record reflect that exhibits one and two are received. Does either
102		side wish to address any further preliminary matters? Director
103		Stephenson?
104	STEPHENSON:	No.
105	CHAIR:	Chief Morrow?
106	CHIEF MORROW:	No.
107	CHAIR:	Chief Morrow, as the Petitioner you may make an opening statement at
108		this time.
109	CHIEF MORROW:	I would like to offer that, similar to the exhibits that were previously
110		entered in, we made a copy. What I believe is essentially a duplication of
111		those so every Council member could have those to review instead of
112		passing one single book around. I would offer those up for the Council to
113		have if you so choose.
114	CHAIR:	That would be great.
115	CHIEF MORROW:	You can look at these first and see what they are.
116	BOCKSTADTER:	Are you wanting them marked as an exhibit?
117	CHIEF MORROW:	If that is what is most appropriate for them to be passed to the Council
118		members, yes.
119	BOCKSTADTER:	I think that would be appropriate. However, typically we take them up
120		when we offer exhibits. We first have opening statements.
121	CHIEF MORROW:	Ok.
122	BOCKSTADTER:	And then offer them as an exhibit when evidence is opened up.
123	CHAIR:	But you can leave them here.
124	CHIEF MORROW:	I will leave them. But you know what? I'm happy to come back up again.
125		Get some extra steps in.
		0

9 09/17/2025 PSAC 126 **BOCKSTADTER:** You are welcome to reference them as part of your opening statement. 127 **CHIEF MORROW:** So I am going to plan on offering those and just for my opening statement 128 I want to express my gratitude to all of you for allowing us an opportunity 129 to be here in front of you today for us to discuss our applicant Ms. 130 Addison Heil. We plan to highlight all that she has accomplished and her 131 growth and what we describe as her character and resiliency. Since her 132 first denial in July of 2024 Addison is now employed at Lincoln P.D. so we 133 will provide some additional information on that as we proceed. I just 134 thank you again for allowing us the opportunity. 135 CHAIR: Director Stephenson. 136 STEPHENSON: Thank you. Members of the Council, Addison Hejl gained employment 137 with the Lincoln Police Department approximately June 4, 2024 and 138 submitted an application for basic law enforcement training on September 139 5th 2025. Nebraska Administrative Code Title 79, Chapter 8, section 140 005.02A(6)(d) states, has not illegally used any dangerous drugs or 141 narcotics other than marijuana for any purpose in the last five years. On 142 page three, question two on her personal character affidavit Ms. Hejl 143 responded false to the statement; I have not used illegal drugs or 144 narcotics other than marijuana in the last five years preceding this 145 application for admission to the training center. Ms. Heil reported taking a 146 friend's medication which she believed to be Adderall without a 147 prescription in April of 2023 on page eight of her personal character 148 affidavit. Ms. Hejl's disclosure of using a controlled substance in April of 149 2023 conflicts with the requirements under Nebraska Administrative Law

150 Title 79, Chapter 8, section 005.02(6)(d). For this reason, Ms. Hejl's 151 application for basic police certification training was denied. 152 CHAIR: Now's the time for further evidence that can be presented to the Council. 153 This may include written evidence, witness testimony and/or any unsworn 154 statements by yourself or any other person. We'll start with these that 155 you've brought up, we'll mark one as exhibit three? 156 GOBEL: No, One. 157 **BOCKSTADTER:** It would be exhibit three. 158 GOBEL: It would be three. 159 CHAIR: Do you have any other written evidence? 160 **CHIEF MORROW:** I do. 161 CHAIR: Go ahead. 162 **BOCKSTADTER:** Before we move on. Excuse me Mr. Chairman, can you make sure that 163 Mr. Stephenson has no objection to receipt of exhibit three and then you 164 can determine if you receive exhibit three or not. 165 CHAIR: Do them each separately? What's that? 166 **BOCKSTADTER:** 167 CHAIR: Do them separately from the additional. 168 GOBEL: She has more. 169 **BOCKSTADTER:** Yes. Let's do them individually. 170 CHAIR: Ok. Mr. Stephenson, do you have any objection to receiving exhibit 171 three? 172 STEPHENSON: No. 173 CHAIR: Exhibit three is received. Go ahead with your additional evidence.

174 **CHIEF MORROW:** So my additional evidence will be verbal as well as Ms. Hejl will be 175 providing evidence and we have three additional witnesses that will be 176 testifying at today's hearing besides what I have to offer as testimony. 177 CHAIR: Ok. You can proceed. 178 CHIEF MORROW: I know that you only have a small amount of time to review all of the 179 information that we shared with you so I want to take a moment to 180 highlight some of the information that we shared that I think is very 181 valuable in consideration of Ms. Heil's application. So the first we have is a letter that I offered on September 4th and that was for application for 182 183 basic law enforcement certification for Addison Hejl. The letter simply 184 reads, dear Mr. Stephenson, thank you for the opportunity to submit an 185 application for Ms. Addison Hejl for consideration of placement in our 186 January 2026 recruit academy. The Lincoln Police Department takes 187 hiring decisions seriously. We strive to recruit individuals with strong 188 character, integrity and sound judgement. Under Title 79, Chapter 8, 189 section 005.02B, the requirement for good character allows for 190 considerations noting that aggravating circumstances may constitute 191 grounds for denial but not use the word shall. We took this language into 192 account when we examined Ms. Hejl's application and background 193 investigation. We believe Ms. Hejl represents the values we want in law 194 enforcement. Her case involves a single isolated incident in April of 2023 195 when at 19 years old she took one pill, believed to be Adderall, not 196 prescribed to her while studying for finals in the library. She was 197 navigating the pressures of college and took the pill. Not to get high but

for its prescribed purpose. It was a single use with no prior drug use,

198

248

for Ms. Heil to attend the January 2026 recruit academy. The timing of the January 2026 class would allow three years to pass from the date of the incident before she graduates and becomes a commissioned law enforcement officer in the State of Nebraska for the Lincoln Police Department. Thank you for your time and thoughtful consideration and commitment to supporting the future of Ms. Hejl and Nebraska Law Enforcement. And as you have then has already been discussed. We did receive the denial and we sent a letter as part of the process for reconsideration. I won't read the letter of reconsideration but you do have that in your packet as well. So I want to talk just a little bit about Chapter, excuse me, Title 79, Chapter 8. I want to give you a little bit of context. We first submitted Ms. Hejl's hiring packet for our July 2024 academy class as you are aware, we were here for a hearing on July 17, 2024 that resulted in denial of a waiver. And then last month, after the hearing as we indicated, we hired her as a part-time police technical worker where she has since contributed meaningfully to the crime lab, assisting with a backlog of video analysis cases and then also to the public information office supporting our outreach through social media content. Shortly after she started working at the police department, and I think I really want to make sure that this is clear and understood by the Board, Ms. Hejl requested to meet with me after she was working and her request at that meeting with me was very simple. And it was that will you support me again for another application to be hired in the academy to join the Lincoln Police Department as a commissioned officer and my answer was absolutely yes. So today I want to talk a little bit about why it was an

273

absolute yes. In law enforcement we are often called to navigate areas that are not black and white, they're grey. We evaluate situations with the facts and evidence before us, whether conducting background investigation, criminal case investigation or internal inquiry investigation within our organizations. We apply judgement. We weigh mitigating circumstances to make the best decision we can with integrity in mind. Often what distinguishes discipline from a teaching opportunity for a moment that we can spend with one of those officers within your departments is whether the incident represented a pattern of behavior or a single issue, an isolated mistake. Our own policies, as I'm pretty confident is likely true as those policies represented by those on the Board, is that we reflect that philosophy, that we look for patterns of behavior when addressing discipline or perhaps a onetime isolated issue where we then consider mitigating circumstances and the whole character of who this officer is within our organization and then we weigh and judge the outcome based on that. What I've taken from my review of Title 79, Chapter 8, is that it's the exact same principle. It's the exact same principle carried forward that we carry within our own organizations when we look at behaviors of those once hired and how we judge them and mitigate our potential discipline where we allow a onetime mistake as a teaching moment for them to continue to grow and for that not to have to define their entire career. Ms. Hejl has taken full ownership of her mistake. She's never minimized her actions or withheld the truth. At nineteen years old while in college studying for finals, she made a decision to take a pill offered by a friend. She did not seek out narcotics to

298

be purchased on a street corner. She's not at a party. She's not looking to get high. It's not recreational use. It was an unwise decision. She has since demonstrated accountability and understanding of its seriousness though she took the pill for the prescribed use. What stood out throughout this process is her honesty, integrity and her resilience. She has already faced consequences, pretty significantly so. Her law enforcement career has been delayed and she's endured public scrutiny as this has become conversation in our communities. Yet through it all, she's continued to pursue her goal with determination and grace. These qualities; perseverance, accountability and strength of character are exactly what we want in todays and the future law enforcement serving in our committee, in fact, across the State of Nebraska. I want to share the findings of our hiring process as well as additional information since the last hearing to demonstrate her continued positive contributions and personal growth. It's my belief, reinforced by her service to our department, Ms. Hejl exemplifies the qualities we seek. Her initial denial does not diminish my confidence in her. Rather, continuing to know and understand her, further supports her persistence and commitment. I'm not going to simply go over everything that we talked about at the first hearing but I do want to walk through a little bit of Chapter 8 taken on what I think is most relevant for what I want you to consider today and in doing so I want to share with you that I spent a significant amount of time looking at past appeals and outcomes. Wanting to understand previous decisions on the Board and what perhaps motivated those decisions based on the facts and evidence that had been provided at those hearings. In several

323

incidences waivers were approved even when applicants had Class 1 Misdemeanors that had not been expunged. In other cases, denials were upheld where the behavior presented a high level of risk, contact jeopardized the safety of others or involved repeated or deliberate violations, such as misuse of prescription medication for recreational purposes or illicit street drug use. These examples are just not what we are talking about today. In Ms. Hejl's case the circumstances are quite unique. Again, she was nineteen years old. This was a onetime use, accepting a pill believed to be Adderall, not for recreational use, not taken to get high, not suggesting a pattern of behavior or a substance abuse issue, rather, it was an error in judgement and not equivalent to patterns of high risk behaviors that I found in cases that I reviewed that were denied. This is why, I think, that when we walk through the procedures set out in Title 79 that it is so critical to understand each one of the elements. The Title specifically provides you with the authority to grant waivers when mitigating factors and the totality of the applicant's character demonstrate that an otherwise is qualified to serve. It is necessary when setting these rules that we use this authority that's been granted to us because it ensures that the law shows the guidance is applied with fairness, consistency and integrity even in unique circumstances. I want to acknowledge the gravity of decisions made by this Council. I know that you have hard cases that are brought in front of you. I also recognize that there is a concern of my ability and broader implications and precedents in these matters when decisions are rendered. What I want to make clear is this; at the end of the day the ultimate liability for hiring rests on the

348

chief's shoulders, the sheriff's shoulders, the person that is making that unknown decision. Every police chief or sheriff who stands before you requesting a waiver assumes that responsibility as I do today. There is an inherent risk with every hire whether or not (unintelligible) is involved. I can't ask questions sitting here but I would tell you that I had a question of all of those numbers that we see increasing on decertifications, of whether they were a simple straight hire or they required a waiver. And I say that because there's always inherent risk. So whether it was a waiver or not or someone that had checked all of the boxes, we hire human beings that sometimes don't meet the full expectations when we have them on board. So when we bring someone forward with evidence such as we have today to show that we truly believe that they do hit all of those marks it's something that you should consider. I don't know, based on the data that's been shared that providing a waiver in this case or perhaps in some of the others results in you having a decertification down the road. I don't know that those two marry. But I would be curious to see what that data looks like or if perhaps somebody so dedicated to a profession in law enforcement who owns and recognizes their mistakes and has never made those mistakes again and continues to grow is exactly the person we want representing law enforcement. So anyway, we except that responsibility and I wouldn't be here today if I didn't believe and have confidence in our background investigation that what we found was that Ms. Hejl's character shines. And it's truly necessary that we choose to bring forward candidates like this because it demonstrates our commitment, not only to build a strong departments but also to honoring

373

those laws, processes, procedures that give us the ability to make these decisions rather than just picking up on one piece and ignoring that there's a full Title that, that is for us to utilize. It's never easy to overcome a violation of good character, I recognize that, but it is possible and when an applicant demonstrates accountability, growth and resilience and when thorough processes confirm their integrity and suitability it's not only possible but necessary to allow them to serve. It's necessary because doing so reaffirms are collective commitment to the very laws, codes, regulations, procedures that govern this process. Title 79 gives you the authority to consider mitigating circumstances and good reason. To apply that discretion here is to demonstrate that we don't simply recite a section but we uphold its intent to balance accountability with fairness and to recognize the potential in the individuals who have made prior mistakes. In granting a waiver under these circumstances the Council reinforces confidence in both process and in our profession. Ensuring that our processes are not only rigorous to maintain high standards required of our profession but also that we are doing so justly and fairly. So when we look at the section specific to qualifications for entry and that is what Director Stephenson had discussed there's a whole lot more that we can look at. The size, the bench as I call it, so under basic certification training the minimum qualifications for entry, 005.01A to 005.01S lists all of those minimums. And Ms. Hejl meets all of those minimum requirements except for 1G that says that the applicant possesses good character as determined by a thorough background investigation. That means you have to look at section 005.02 and that's the good character section.

398

Besides the previously noted requirements, this is what it says in here; a person of good moral character, is a person of good moral character they meet these elements, 005.02A1 to A6. In A6 it says, does not have a history of substance use to include; so when we walk through these, they are all listed here, 6a, has not illegally sold, produced, cultivated or transported for sale marijuana or any other controlled substance; b, Ms. Hejl has not used marijuana for any purpose in the last two years, she has never used marijuana, c, Ms. Hejl has not used marijuana or other controlled substance while employed as an officer; d, is where we have the use, that is the triggering event for us to be here for a waiver. In fact, Ms. Hejl did, in April of 2023, used one pill, believed to be Adderall not prescribed to her. So then we have to move to section 005.02B. And that's what highlights for us the language that gives us the ability to recognize, consider and take positive action on mitigating factors. It also notes the language is not concrete for consideration. It says this; a record manifesting a history of one or more of the aggravating circumstances may, may constitute a finding that the applicant does not possess good character, it may constitute a basis for denial. These factors will be considered in conjunction with mitigating and/or extenuating circumstances as set out in section 005.03. The section again does not say shall. It very clearly says may. As we know in the work we that we do as law enforcement officers is those two words are different. Shall is very clear and provides no alternative. When we use the word may it allows us to use discretion. And the Title provides us some of what that discretion could look like and that's 005.03, mitigating and extenuating

423

circumstances relevant to good character. So 3A recognizes there's no exact formula to determine whether an applicant possesses good character and states the Council believes the mitigating factors should be considered. And that's what we ask here today is that you do that. It also notes that the list from A through J is not exhaustive so when we look through those, they're on page 39, applicant's age at the time of the conduct, she was nineteen; recent of the conduct, we are now just under 2 ½ years from April 2023; C provides the reliability of the information; Ms. Heil was forthcoming regarding the conflict on her application and throughout the background investigations we have no reason to believe that she has been untruthful. In fact, she's has been (unintelligible) as part of our ongoing hiring processes to allow her to have a seat in one of our academies. D, the seriousness of the contact. We recognize that taking an Adderall not prescribed is serious, there's no question. We are not minimizing the conduct today, nor is it our intent, nor was it our intent to minimize at the last hearing. We just intend to state the facts including the mitigating facts surrounding the circumstances. E is the cumulative effect of the conduct. Factors underlying the conduct in April of '23, Ms. Heil was a student at Wayne State College with finals approaching. She was in a library studying. Struggling to stay focused. She was offered a pill by a friend and took the pill which she believed to be Adderall, she took that pill for the purpose it was prescribed for said medication, which is a controlled substance if prescribed, if it had been prescribed to her. Not to get, she did not take it to get high. Soon after taking the pill she realized that she did not like the way the pill made her feel. She

448

understood it was a bad decision and she did not repeat the conduct and we have no evidence to suggest that she has ever done so again. F talks about the cumulative effect of the conduct or information. So this one is interesting, the cumulative effect of the conduct is that she continued to be a successful student at Wayne State after April 2023. She didn't allow one bad decision to define her. She didn't misuse a controlled substance or any other drug again. It remained a single bad decision. She completed her final year at Wayne, graduating in three years with a commendable GPA of 3.79, all while committing to extra curriculars, volunteering and work. The effect now also includes a substantial amount of growth from graduation until today. Despite how uncomfortable this process continues to be, she still presents herself. She continues to take ownership of her actions. I would tell you that after my thirty years had I took this current job with eyes wide open. Knowing that I would be judged. Knowing that I would be criticized. Knowing that I would be topics of conversation in the media and in other realms. I took it and I understood it. At 21 years old, I don't think I would do that. Who I am today is because of growth and opportunity that has been provided to me throughout the course of my career and I sit next to a 21 year old who I admire because she's doing what sometimes I don't want to do thirty years into my career. It takes immense courage for her to be here. I would argue her willingness to come forward again suggests she has continued to grow as a person, her character and her commitment to law enforcement, not many would do the same. G is the evidence of rehabilitation. It is admittedly difficult in this case to articulate what

473

rehabilitation means in the context of a onetime use. No treatment program is going to take her on a onetime use. Someone who is not displaying a pattern of abuse and dependence. Speaking from the perspective of our jurisdiction, I would tell you that it was also highly unlikely that Ms. Hejl would have ever been arrested under those facts or circumstances. Simply because we all know that we believe that the pill was an Adderall and that's what's been maintained and that is what she's has passed on a polygraph and at the end of the day we don't that because she didn't have it in her possession for long so I don't know if that we would have a blood test or made that case 2 ½ years down the road. It is highly unlikely that she would have ever been arrested or even prosecuted. Those again are usually reserved for cases involving repeated use, abuse or distribution and not a single event. In fact some of these cases, the onetime use such as this is addressed, is addressed through education with officer discretion and applied in the same way. And it's forwarded to the prosecutor as to whether they want to make a determination to actually file on the citation that our officers bring forward to their office. Arguably Ms. Hejl has already endured a more significant consequence than she would have faced if she'd been charged criminally. While it's difficult for us to except (unintelligible) the importance of perspective and fairness as we apply the same (unintelligible) good character. We also have to consider the broader reality and that's the use of prescription medication among college students in today's world doesn't excuse the decision. Doesn't make it right but it does provide important context for us because the next question becomes whether a

498

single isolated use absent any pattern of abuse or intent to misuse should truly carry the weight of a five year (unintelligible) patient or even three years if aligned with the current statute of limitations. And again I would share with you that three years would pass in our academy before she becomes a commissioned officer for the State of Nebraska. Chapter, excuse me, Title 79, Chapter 8 explicitly allows for waivers in such circumstances particularly where the character of the applicant is aligned with what we seek in law enforcement. Integrity, perseverance, the ability to learn from mistakes in an era where applicant pools are limited and departments are in direct competition for qualified candidates seeking, perhaps from other departments to want good lateral candidates. It really is necessary that we start to except and we assess character and honesty and utilize these waivers provided in the Title when appropriate to do so. Ms. Hejl's positive social contributions are so many. I won't take time to list them all out because I know that she's going to do that but I do again want to focus that, focus attention that we hired as a PTW in July of 2024. She was assigned to the forensic ID unit and our PIO office. Since she was hired a big evidence backlog has been cleared and is now up to date. She's worked on 650 cases and assisted in several hundred others and that she is looking at opportunities to have her first turn on the stand testifying in a case that she worked. She's been a significant part of holding others accountable in our community as well as other jurisdictions across the state. She has identified suspects locally who are involved in criminal activities in other states. Providing closure for the victims that we all swore to serve. We do have some character witnesses that came here

523

for her today so I am only going to touch on just a couple of them who are not here today to make sure that they're written into the record and for you to have additional time to review those. But I do want to close this case out by saying that as you continue down in Chapter 8, our background investigation confirmed that Ms. Hejl was honest in her disclosure of the facts surrounding the violation. No admissions or misrepresentations were revealed, that's J. And further in 005.04B a background investigation from Mayde McGuire is here and she will be testifying on our backgrounds and the thoroughness of those and this section B1 requires a thorough background investigation it provides what will be included. I do want to point out that these sections slightly contradict the earlier sections on character as in 005.04B1(n) it says evidence of a history of drug or alcohol dependence or abuse. The language used in this section is distinctly different than used in the good character section. Our investigation did not reveal either, other than the one time single use. I'm going to read to you a letter of recommendation for Ms. Hejl that comes from officer Fatima Ayal of the Lincoln Police Department. During my time on light duty, I was assigned to the ID unit, where I have had the opportunity to work alongside Addie Hejl for approximately six months. From the beginning, I was genuinely impressed by her performance. Before learning her background I had assumed she was a long-standing member of the ID unit due to her exceptional knowledge, skillset, and professionalism. Addie possesses outstanding investigative abilities, particularly in the realm of online research. Her ability to analyze limited information, often just a brief note

548

investigative skills surpass those of any officer I have worked with. She has introduced me to new techniques and approaches that even my Field Training Officers did not know to teach me during the FTO program. Beyond her technical skills, Addie brings a calm and empathetic presence to high stress situations. I have personally witnessed her de-escalate highly emotional individuals with patience, kindness, and professionalism, often in the midst of tasks such as receiving video evidence collecting for cases with prior officers. While I understand that Addie had made a mistake in the past, I firmly believe that a single error should not overshadow her capabilities or commitment. Growth and accountability are essential in this line of work, and Addie has demonstrated both. She is a thoughtful, intelligent, and dedicated individual who clearly has a passion for public service. Addie is not only ready for the next step, she is uniquely qualified for it. She has more practical knowledge and relevant experience than many others currently applying for the same position. I believe she deserves the opportunity to join the training program, become a sworn officer, and continue serving her community in a greater capacity. No one enters this field perfect, but it's how we learn, adapt, and contribute that defines us. At times we are allowed to use officer discretion in unique circumstances to ensure that the most appropriate response is taken given the totality of the circumstances. This is one of those unique circumstances. Addie did not lie or try to hide what she did. She was honest and forthcoming with the situation and knew what she did was wrong. Addie Hejl has proven her value in the ID unit and

573

deserves a chance to be an officer. Erika Thomas was not available to come today. She is our Public Information Office manager and works with Addie. Dear members of the Police Standards Advisory Council, it is my privilege to recommend Addison Heil for consideration of a waiver by the Police Standards Advisory Council. Addison has proven herself to be an exceptional member of the Lincoln Police Department. I am confident she will excel as a commissioned police officer. I first wrote in support of Addison's waiver in November 2024, after she had been with LPD for only four months. Now, with nearly fourteen months of service, I can affirm with even greater certainty that Addison embodies the character and commitment to service we seek in a Lincoln police officer. Since joining LPD in July 2024, Addison has supported both the PIO and ID unit. As the department's PIO manager, I have personally seen the impact of her work elevating our social media presence through thoughtful messaging that resonates with the community. She anticipates needs, develops content with minimal oversight and executes them with polish and professionalism. Addison consistently demonstrates integrity and initiative. She is receptive to feedback, eager to learn and motivated to grow, qualities that reflect the mission and values of the Lincoln Police Department and will serve her well in a law enforcement career. I am proud to reaffirm my support for Addison's application. Having witnessed her development and contributions firsthand, I strongly recommend the Council grant Addison Heil a waiver so she may continue pursuing her career as a Nebraska law enforcement officer. She has the skills and dedication that will make her an excellent police officer as a strong

598

representative of both LPD and the profession. I'm going to read one more. Then I'm also going to note for you as you're browsing your packet, you have on the backside three letters of reference. These letters of reference, I know Captain McGuire will mention these, are part of our background hiring process and a comment from the program regarding illegal use, so they follow the same pattern that they talk about, we're asking questions regarding honesty, reliability, temperament, interpersonal skills, work ethics, self-discipline, assertiveness, empathy and compassion. All of these references highlight several of those things and I think they are very important for you to also look at as you are rendering your decision. The final letter that I want to read comes from another forensic analyst in the ID unit and that is Becky Keller. To whom it may concern: I am writing this letter in support of Addison Hejl's professional goal to become a police officer. My name is Becky Keller and I currently work at the Lincoln Police Department as a Forensic Video Technician. My unit, the Forensic Identification Unit, is a small unit that is composed of an analyst, a technician, that's Becky, and the addition of Addison back in July of 2024. In the last year, Addison and I have worked very closely together, both in our forensic computer lab and in the community. I have been able to get to know her professionally and personally and I want to speak to both. Professionally, Addison has been an amazing asset to our team. We often have interns and light duty officers working in our unit temporarily and I've seen a variety of work styles from these individuals. I can confidently say Addison would be placed at the top of my list in regards to her work ethics and motivation.

623

Addsion is a hard worker; she arrives on time and jumps into her workload eager to do her part. Addison keeps our unit up to date day by day, whereas before it could have been months before an officer would get their request completed. Addison prioritizes her workload first and consistently volunteers herself in other ways on top of her work. Her primary responsibility is taking requests from officers and investigators to view video, investigate cluse from the video, follow through with the new leads she creates, posts cases to Crime Stoppers, investigate Crime Stopper tips, and anything else she can do to help clear the case. The cases that Addison receives are cases that, last resort, get sent our way because the investigator, the investigation the officer has completed has not lead to information that would help solve it; and that's where Addison steps in. Addison is knowledgeable and when she doesn't have the knowledge she is self-seeking. A good example of this that impressed me was when she was working a case with a semi-truck as the suspect vehicle and she taught herself, and myself as well, that you can look up the DOT numbers seen on the side of the truck online. When Addison began I helped train her on the LPD computer system and she very quickly picked up on how to utilize it for investigations with minimal assistance. She has even shown me new ways to use our system. She isn't afraid of diving in and doing anything she can to make sure she investigates every avenue possible. Addison is skilled in social media platforms and regularly uses Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, and any other social media platforms she can to find suspects or even associates of a suspect that can lead them to us. She has a strong "leave no stone

648

unturned" mentality in her investigations, a necessary mentality supportive of victims and supportive of justice. I've consistently been impressed with her and how thorough she is. When Addison arrived in our unit in July of 2024, we had approximately 250 cases backlogged and with her help that has decreased. She has independently continued to ensure there is no backlog as of her one year employment with LPD she worked 639 cases on her own. Personally, Addison is an extremely likable person. She is friendly and outgoing and can talk to anybody she crosses paths with. Addison has joined me numerous times in the community in my role, which is going out me meet business owners, victims, residents, etc. to gather video evidence. She is always so kind and genuine in her interactions with others. She is someone that is easy to be around and keeps the energy in the room positive. During out time together I've heard many stories from Addison's personal life and my overall belief is that Addison is a good human all-around, someone I want on my side. She is honest, responsible, respectful and authentic. The way she talks about her family and friends, the way she makes moral judgements in her life, holds herself accountable, kind and considerate of other people is admirable. Addison values her family and friends and spends a lot of time with them. She has a fun musical gig which we have talked about, playing the accordion at shows around Nebraska. She is outdoorsy and loves to hunt and be in nature. And now she's working on her next life goal and that's to further her career. I am aware and understand why Addison is asking for this waiver. She has been accountable and honest about her actions with not only herself but with

649 others. I am writing this letter in support of those making this decision to 650 join me in my belief that Addison holds the qualities that would make an 651 excellent police officer, one that any law enforcement agency would be 652 proud of and lucky to have on their police force. 653 CHAIR: I believe you said it was A6(d) but in any event, the rules and regs as it 654 applies to the drug and the five year prohibition. How does the Lincoln 655 Police Department balance that and would you be concerned at that point 656 if this appeal was granted that you would have a different hiring standard 657 at that point? 658 **CHIEF MORROW:** I don't. Much like I already discussed is that we look at the whole person 659 when we're hiring. We look for patterns. We look for signs of abuse. We 660 look at honesty. We look at integrity. Through a very thorough 661 background process that includes meeting with our background 662 investigators which includes a polygraph. It includes an appointment with 663 our psychiatrist to go through all of these components. I don't think it 664 creates a thing. The only thing it creates for me is if I get another Addie 665 Hejl. The answer is yes. But she's the only applicant that I've had that I 666 continue to pursue a waiver because she checks all of these boxes. I've 667 told several no. And this is the first time you've seen me and I've been in 668 this position now for 2 and $\frac{1}{2}$ years. We hire guite a few people. We do 669 two academies a year. What I will tell you is that I don't have concerns 670 because we're going to look at each individual as a whole individual and 671 what they bring to us and then we'll make a determination on whether we 672 want to bring them forward for a waiver. Potentially there's another 673 person down the road down the road that we bring forward but it my time

674		she's been the only one and it's because she's the only one that I felt we
675		could show without hesitancy or question that she is a person of strong
676		moral character who does not give me any pause that there is a pattern
677		or concern. She is within our wall. She becomes a different person much
678		like we just talked about. With all of these hearings that we're having on
679		decertifications. Because she's been with us for over a year now and she
680		exemplifies everything that we believe she would in our background
681		investigation. But to your question, we tell people no all the time if they
682		come to us with drug use because we don't believe, based on the
683		circumstances and the facts that they provide within their character and
684		who they are that we can bring them forward for a waiver. So we tell them
685		to wait. And some of them we tell them to wait and then we still have
686		concerns because we look at the totality of a person. I feel pretty
687		confidently that that's what Chapter 8 allows you to do as a Council as
688		well. Just as it allows me to do this as the Chief in the hiring process. We
689		were blessed.
690	CHAIR:	Any other questions for the Chief?
691	LONDON:	Chief, I do have one question if you don't mind. There was a letter you
692		wrote to Director Stephenson on September 4 th . You said, the timing of
693		the January 2026 class will allow three years to pass from the date of the
694		incident before she graduates and becomes a commissioned law
695		enforcement officer. Do you know what day you guys graduate?
696	CHIEF MORROW:	It will, I don't know the date right off the top of my head but it will be mid-
697		June.
698	LONDON:	Ok. Thank you. That's all I had.

699 CHIEF MORROW: Captain McGuire might have that date for you when she testifies. LONDON: Close enough. 700 701 CHAIR: Any other questions? You have additional witnesses to call. Who will be 702 next? 703 CHIEF MORROW: Ms. Hejl. 704 CHAIR: Ok. If you don't mind. Can we have a five minute break? And then we'll 705 reconvene. 706 **Break from 1015 to 1022** 707 CHAIR: Ok. The time is 10:22 and we'll reconvene the appeal hearing for Addison 708 Hejl. Chief Morrow, have you concluded your testimony? 709 **CHIEF MORROW:** I have. 710 CHAIR: Director Stephenson, do you have any questions of Chief Morrow? 711 STEPHENSON: No. 712 CHAIR: Chief Morrow, your next witness will be Ms. Hejl? 713 CHIEF: Yes. 714 CHAIR: Ms. Hejl, will you raise your right hand, do you swear that the testimony 715 you're about to make at this hearing, shall be the truth, the whole truth 716 and nothing but the truth? 717 HEJL: Yes. 718 CHAIR: Go ahead. 719 **HEJL:** Good morning everyone. First I would like to start off by thanking you for 720 your kind consideration to this. I know that you guys have put in the time 721 and discussion about my future career in law enforcement and I really do 722 appreciate it and the thoroughness and kindness and thought that you put 723 into each of the applicant's waivers. I completely understand and respect

748

that you just want to put the best people in the field and I hope that with my testimony along with Chief Morrow's and everyone else here today I hope that we can convince you that I'm one of those people. So just to briefly go over the incident again because I know at this point it's kind of beating a dead horse but, in April of 2023 I was in the library with one of my friends and we were studying and writing final papers. I was a social science major at the time, social science education is what I wanted to do. I wanted to go into teaching at the time and so all the history classes, it's a lot of writing, and a lot of paper (unintelligible) and we were just kind of sharing a similar struggle because we had the same major, and so we were just kind of staking it out together and we were in a private study room area and two of our other friends we both knew from the speech team, they came in because the table can seat four and we made eye contact with them so they came over and joined us and we were doing the same, just kind of complaining about finals and studying and everything like that. This was during the week before finals. And one of my friends, who was on the speech team, offered me a pill that I believed to be Adderall. Again, I can't confirm or deny if that's actually what it was because it was in a little ziplock baggie. I also, at the time, thought that it was prescribed to her and then I found out actually months after the fact that that was not prescribed to her and she had gotten it from someone who had it prescribed to them so again, I can't verify whether or not it was an Adderall or not but at the time that's what I believed it was. And I, at the time, had absolutely no idea that there were any sort of potential legal consequences for taking someone else's prescription medication and I

773

know hindsight is 20/20 but I was a naïve nineteen year old who had no idea, that's not trying to excuse what I did or minimize the situation because that's not the case at all but had I known that the legalities behind what I was doing and the actual severity I absolutely never would have done it. That's not the kind of person I am. Anyone who knows me on a personal level would say that I'm a rule follower and you know, kind of a goody two shoes. I have the moral cautious like no other. The tiniest things can get me and had I known that what I was doing was wrong at the time and would affect my future career in law enforcement I absolutely never would have done it and I don't know how to properly express my regret for what I've done other than to I guess, to say what I'm saying now. I was curious so I crunched the numbers to figure out how along ago, what percentage of my life has passed since April of 2023. So I did the math. I double checked it online because it's been awhile since I've taken a math class, but since the incident where I've taken the Adderall that was roughly 11% of my life ago. And that seems crazy to me because that seems like that's a lifetime ago because of the person I've transformed into since the incident. So I just kind of want to touch base on what I've been doing in that last 11% of my life because I'm significantly prouder of that short time span, what I've accomplished then the previous 89%. So I'm just going to kind of touch on what I've been doing in that 11% of my life. Starting with April of 2023 and going to the present. So starting with my senior year of college. In my senior year at Wayne State, on top of being a full time student I was also working four jobs simultaneously. One of these jobs I was a peer tutor, an academic peer

798

tutor in the library. Tutoring other college students in the areas of history, government, geography, psychology, and education courses. That was the first of my jobs. The second one was, I was a tour guide for the college. Giving tours to high school students, transfer students, whoever I may lead. Showing them around the college. The third of these jobs I was the head one act coach at Pender High School, which is about 30 minutes away from Wayne. This was my first time ever of being a head coach for anything and it was a very big learning experience but that job had me out the door from, basically from August to December I was out the door almost every day at 5:45 in the morning until 8:00 in the morning when school would start for them. I would drive back, eat breakfast ant then go to my 9:00 classes every day and I would be stuck in classes and with the extra curriculars almost every day. So that's my third job as a high school one act coach. And the fourth job I had was a weekend and break job back in Lincoln at just a little mini golf place. That was the job I had in high school and so whenever I was home for a weekends or on Christmas break I would go work there. So, I was working a lot of the times. A minimum of five but up to seven a lot of the time, days of the week on top of, like I said, being a full time student. In terms of my volunteer experience, my volunteer activities my senior year of college, I've wrote these down so I didn't forget anything, first week of classes I helped freshmen move into their dorm rooms and also a lot of these were on behalf of different clubs and organizations that I was involved in on campus. So, I helped freshmen move into their dorm rooms. For my history honor society that I was a part of, we were in charge of upkeeping

823

the maintenance of an old one room schoolhouse just outside of campus that the community would use for pioneer day for elementary school students and a variety of different community engagement events. We would go out there twice a month and you know, clean, dust whatever it may be. I organized a fund raiser for Project Pink, for Project Pink during breast cancer awareness month in which we raised over five hundred dollars that all went directly to the cause. I helped organize a fundraiser of different feminine hygiene products for the Haven House in Wayne. And also with the Haven House in Wayne I also helped organize and participated in a purse and coat drive. All of the proceeds also went to the Haven House in Wayne. We made baby blankets for hospitals on behalf of one of the clubs that I was involved in for low income mothers. And throughout the entire second semester I was also an assistant and volunteer high school speech and debate coach at West Point Senior High School. One of my friends was, this was his first year teaching and coaching and he needed some assistance. So I drove to West Point which is approximately forty, forty five minutes away from Wayne. I was driving there multiple days a week during the Spring semester to help coach his high school speech team. And probably the biggest, I don't even consider it a volunteer activity, but the biggest organization that I was a part of on campus was, I was the student body president my senior year. It was a position that I was voted on by a, by my peers and by the students at Wayne State College and that had a variety of different roles and tasks, just a bunch of different things that I was required to do. But just briefly touching on some of them. I was in charge of a 550,000 dollar

848

budget that would be allocated out to different clubs and organizations on campus and for projects or whatever it may be. Also, regularly met with other student leaders and higher ups within the college and the Nebraska State College system as well. There were many responsibilities doing that. It was basically an unpaid full-time job. It took almost every second of my day that wasn't spent doing something else. And on top of student seminars I was also involved in six different honor societies including the freshmen honor society, geography, history, social sciences, intercollegiate forensics and the president's honor society. And many of these I had an active leadership role within. And in terms of my overall academics from Wayne State College, like Chief Morrow said, I graduated with a 3.79 GPA. I graduated with honors, cum laude. And every year I was on the Dean's list every semester. I was able to graduate with a four year degree in three years. I was originally going through social sciences education and then the week before my senior year I decided I didn't want to teach anymore and so I switched my major to social sciences. Of the forty nine classes I took at Wayne State College for college credit I received an A in forty three of those. Never once was I below a B so I only had six career B's in my time at college. So that takes me through my entire time at Wayne State College and brings us up to July of 2024 when I started working at the Lincoln Police Department on the Forensics Identification Unit. Since working there I've now worked, as of yesterday, I've worked more than 650 cases individually. A little bit of what I do. So officers will send us in our unit requests to do work and we will go over and analyze surveillance footage from different businesses,

873

homes, whatever it may be. And we use all of the investigative tools that we have available to us including NCJIS, (unintelligible), social media, whatever it may be. And once we've exhausted all of those resources and come up empty handed we post those on the link at Lancaster County Crime Stoppers page and as someone who will testify a little bit later, who I work with, Jerry Minary, he always says; we work anything from a stolen cheeseburger up to a homicide in our officer. And so I've gotten to work on a wide range of cases which has given me a ton of experience that is all going to be very helpful, I think, in my career as a law enforcement officer. On top of working in the office I also assist our forensic video technician Becky Keller. She wrote a letter that Chief Morrow read off. But I assist her out in the community on different video calls. We go out to businesses and homes who don't know how to work their security system and we will extract and export the video for them and then we'll go back to our office where we will then work on. And I've met a lot of interesting people doing that. I've gotten a lot of really great opportunities working with all different types of people and I really enjoy doing that, going out and engaging with the community. That's one of the favorite parts of what I do here. And then, like Chief Morrow said earlier, I also assist our Public Information Officer in creating social media content, community engagement content, recruiting content, whatever it may be for social media. I don't have numbers for those but I would guess in the hundreds for posts that I've made to go on to Facebook and Twitter. And in my time I've worked primarily on cases for our department obviously but also worked on cases for Lancaster County Sheriff's Department, the

898

University of Nebraska Lincoln Police Department and I've been in contact several times with different Nebraska State Patrol troopers and deputies from different counties requesting their body cams because maybe we don't have anything on them in our system but I saw that such and such county gave them a ticket this summer and so we'll talk to them and everyone has been really great to work with so far. And upon request of the fingerprinting department, because they're a little bit overworked right now, when requested I'll go and fingerprint civilians for, who are applying for a variety of different licenses, permits whatever it may be. And everything in here that I've done has given me so much experience that I think will really help me as a law enforcement officer. You know, including getting acquainted, you know, getting to know and how to work Lincoln Police Department's internal home page as well as NCJIS and I have a much better understanding of the legal system now and getting acquainted with other officers and members in the office, you know, when it comes to pulling security footage, whatever it may be, I've learned so much here that it's great experience in general but to that I think would most definitely apply to me being a law enforcement officer. In terms of volunteering in the time since I graduated college there are three main aspects of my life that are all very important to me that I volunteer significantly with. The first one of these was briefly mentioned before. But the nerdiest thing about me is I play the accordion in a polka band. My Czech heritage is very important to me. I think it's very important to know where you came from and the roots of your ancestors. That's something that I'm extremely passionate about and celebrating those cultures I think

923

is very important and so I volunteer my time regularly going around to different nursing homes in predominately in Czech communities so like Wilber, Clarkson, Dwight, David City, Seward. I go around to different nursing homes in those areas and I play my accordion for the residents both in group settings and one on one. I've been requested back multiple times because people enjoy it. It's very nostalgic for the residents there. You know, their younger days and their families and their heritage. And the other part of my Czech heritage in which I volunteer in is, I used to be involved in Czech Queen Pageants and I hate the word pageant because it's not the kind of person I am, but that is what it is. I was in, from 2019-2021 I was Miss Nebraska Czech-Slovak Queen and then from 21 to 22 on the National pageant court I was second runner up where I competed at events. Everyone across the nation and in a one year time span I travelled over 30,000 miles. All by car. Going around to different festivals and events nationwide celebrating Czech heritage serving as a cultural ambassador and now that my time with that is up I volunteer my time, it's a year round voluntary position, I'm one of four people on the pageant committee for the Miss Nebraska Czech-Slovak Queen pageant. And in this I did communicate with young women age 16 to 25 who are wanting to spread awareness to their heritage and who are celebrated and so it's a year round thing where I am always in communication with them, supporting them, whatever it may be. There's several duties within that but, Czech heritage and heritage in general is very important to me so if that resonates with any of you, and I hope it does. If it doesn't I hope you are able to get in touch with your ancestry whatever it may be because I

948

think it's very important. The second important aspect of my life is hunting. I've been a hunter for my entire life. And also my entire life I've been a member of the Nebraska Bow Hunter's Association in which I also volunteer in a variety of different aspects. Over the years my parents have served on the boards in many different positions and so I've always been voluntold but as the years go on more so a volunteer role in several different aspects of that. Anything from picking up litter on the highway outside of Halsey National Forest to sending membership mail and newsletters, to organizing events, setting up 3d target ranges, selling raffle tickets, whatever it may be. I kind of put myself in a very volunteerish role and I'm hoping in the next few years I'm able to get an actual leadership role in that but I want some more experience under my belt. Lots of hunting and then going on to the third is speech and one act which I kind of mentioned earlier. Ever since I graduated high school I've been volunteering as an assistant one act and speech coach for Malcom High School, West Point/Beemer High School, and starting this year I'm involved in Friend High School for both one act and speech. Those have been a variety of different engagement levels. Some I go a few times a semester but others I'm there almost on a daily basis volunteering my time because I think that public speaking is a very important skill for young people to have. I feel like in the age of, in the digital age that skill is kind of going away and so I want to be there as a positive role model in these different aspects of young people's lives to continue to grow and become a better person. In so that's how the last 11% of my life has looked like. I know nobody really likes to go up and close about

949 themselves in front of a group of people. I'm certainly one of those people but I really do appreciate all of the time and consideration into this once 950 951 again. So if you have any questions I'd be happy to answer. Thank you. 952 CHAIR: Director Stephenson, do you have any questions of this witness? 953 STEPHENSON: No sir. 954 CHAIR: Any member of the Council? Chief Morrow, you can call your next 955 witness. 956 **CHIEF MORROW:** Ok, then Mayde McGuire and Investigator Matt Franken. 957 CHAIR: Whose first? Who's going to go first? 958 MCGUIRE: I'll go first. 959 Will you move that mike over a little bit between the two of you now? Can CHAIR: 960 you state your name for the record? 961 MCGUIRE: Yes. Mayde McGuire. 962 CHAIR: Can you raise your right hand? Yes. 963 MCGUIRE: 964 CHAIR: Do you swear that the testimony you are about to make at this hearing 965 shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? 966 MCGUIRE: Yes. 967 CHAIR: Go ahead. 968 MCGUIRE: Hello everyone. Again my name is Mayde McGuire. I've been on the 969 Lincoln Police Department for 27 years. The last 10 years as a captain, 970 the last 3 years in charge of the education and personnel unit of which we 971 do recruiting background checks. So I just wanted to run you through our 972 background check for LPD and share with you what we ask. So our 973 application hiring process is made up of four general areas. Essentially

998

the on-line application, we take applications year round. We do hold two academies but we are always bringing in applications. So when those applications come in our recruiting officer reviews the answers. We ask: have you had a DUI in the last five years. We ask about marijuana two years. The narcotics five years. Misdemeanors, felonies, (unintelligible), its basic information. So he reviews those and then he will let people know, you're not qualified due to a misdemeanor or felony or something like that. So if they pass that piece then they go to an assessment center for essentially two days where they do the TABE test or they review that they've passed the TABE. And then we do the PRET, PRET exam. And then they do a panel interview. The panel interview consists of two to three LPD employees where they ask a series of questions. Essentially just getting to know the person. What they've done. What experience they bring. What potential do they have and do we see them as successful as officers. So after they, well the high scorers, you score thirty points on that (unintelligible), there's an overall assessment of five, so one to five. Top points are thirty points and five points and Addie had 27.5 and 4.5 on the interview assessment because they felt she had good character, good motivation. Anyway her work ethic is, was there, her academics, clearly she communicate and was intelligent. So she was moved through. After that piece then we do a phone interview. And the phone interview is several questions. Maybe a hundred questions. It asks everything from, any crime you can imagine, in your entire life and we don't go back ten years, five years whatever, we ask in your entire lifetime if you ever stole anything. In your entire lifetime have you ever committed any crimes that

1023

you weren't caught or what are you most ashamed of that you've done in the past. We ask a series of questions. We ask if they lie or if they omitted things several times throughout this process. So once the background investigator gives us a summary of their report then we'll assess it and we'll decide, do they have good work history, yes they have a good work history. If they don't we assess that. It's usually how many, what they answer on these questions that we'll make a determination. After the background then they're given a conditional offer and then that's where they're sent to a medical, psychologist and they get a polygraph. If they complete all of those then we reassess the person then offer them to the chief for approval for hire. Now where this comes in, I started in the education and personnel unit September of 2022. In around the summer of 2023, Assistant Chief Jackson had notified me that there was a proposal that was going to go to the Governor to change the timeframes. So one year marijuana, three years all other drugs. And he suggested that we look for candidates that may potentially we would want to get a waiver for. So from that time we would assess if they answered yes to the marijuana or narcotic question, if it was just a one time, we would call and ask them for more details. More often than not, well I did it two times or three times. So it always led to more usage if they said they just used one time. The few that we did have that was just one time, we would move them through just to see what else came up in their background and what else they've done. So it wasn't until March of 2024 that Addison Heil came to us. And it was, and she had, I believe first came, I remember my staff had said they had a meet the recruiter event and they said, we have

1047

the person. We have that candidate. And then, because they met Addie Hejl and they said super sharp, outgoing, (unintelligible), this is the one. Because it was. We would have one time, what we thought was one time users, it always led to two or they had something else in their background that was, they got fired from too many jobs or they didn't work at all and they were average students and not doing great and they were out partying and doing drugs to get high. So there was always another component to other applicants that we had looked for to ask for a waiver. This applicant, it's like by far exceeds most of the applications that we get today. I think that's all. Do I have anything else? I'll let Investigator. In regards to the proposal to change the timeframes, I was looking at the Nebraska Examiner, so that it went to the Governor, the Governor had denied it and there's an article, I don't know if Director Tuma has seen this. But, Brian Tuma, the head of the Nebraska Crime Commission, Brian Jackson the Assistant Lincoln Police Chief and chairs the Police Standards Advisory Council said, statistics weren't being kept ones the Governor asked for so what statistics of people not being allowed entrance because of drugs? And they said it would be impossible to know how many potential recruits don't apply once they learn of Nebraska's standards. Jackson compared it to trying to determine how many crimes police prevented today. That's part of the problem said Tuma, a former Superintendent of the Nebraska State Patrol. Extenuating circumstances. One option in Nebraska Tuma said would be to retain the current standards but consider extenuating circumstances as it is allowed in State 1048 rules. In case of a recruit of otherwise good character had violated drug 1049 use standards. I'll let Investigator Matt Franken talk about the polygraph. 1050 CHAIR: Sir, can you state your name for the record? 1051 FRANKEN: Matthew Franken. 1052 CHAIR: Do you swear that the testimony you are about to make at this hearing 1053 shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? 1054 FRANKEN: I do. 1055 CHAIR: Go ahead. 1056 FRANKEN: My name is Matthew Franken. I am currently employed with the Lincoln 1057 Police Department. I was employed originally with the Lincoln Police 1058 Department in May of 1997 when I graduated from the academy. I'm 1059 currently assigned to the Criminal Investigations Unit. My unit is 1060 responsible for the investigation of murder, robbery and serious violent 1061 crimes. In 2007 the department sent me to polygraph school. I took 1062 polygraph originally at Coronado Island which is a little island off the coast 1063 of San Diego California. I trained additionally in Texas, California and 1064 Florida for advanced training in polygraph. I still carry a full case load with 1065 team three just like the other investigators but I also do polygraphs for the 1066 Educational and Personnel Unit. I have cases that have case clearances 1067 in local, state and federal court and local jurisdictions throughout the 1068 southeast part of Nebraska. I have two different polygraph licenses in the 1069 State of Nebraska. I'm licensed as a public examiner so I do polygraphs 1070 for my department. I'm also licensed as a private examiner. I do private 1071 exams for agencies throughout southeast Nebraska. Because my hobby 1072 is work. I availed myself to you guys, mainly at the request of my police

1073 department to answer any questions you might have about the polygraph process with the Lincoln Police Department or Ms. Hejl. I will tell you that I 1074 1075 reviewed all available information and the information regarding Ms. Heil's 1076 polygraph. She has taken in the past, two different polygraphs and both 1077 the (unintelligible) scoring and the algorithm scores she has passed on 1078 both accounts. Both (unintelligible) scoring algorithms. Just as an aside I'll 1079 say if you guys decide to grant this waiver, Ms. Hejl will be serving 1080 alongside me and other members of my department, as I still serve, I still 1081 serve warrants. I still do the things I need to do to catch bad guys at the 1082 Lincoln Police Department and after hearing everything I've heard today I 1083 want to let you know, if you're wondering, that I would have no problem at 1084 all serving beside Ms. Hejl. I will say that since doing polygraphs since 1085 2007 I've seen a variety of people come through. I've seen people who 1086 make what I consider to be a mistake or an error in judgement and I've 1087 also seen what I would consider a pattern of behavior. I would be a good 1088 predictor of deviant behavior. What I see here in this case is a simple 1089 error in judgement and not a pattern of behavior that suggests deviant 1090 behavior that would preclude her serving in law enforcement. Do you 1091 have any questions at all for me regarding the polygraph process and the 1092 background investigation? 1093 CHAIR: Director Stephenson, do you have any questions of either of these two 1094 witnesses? 1095 STEPHENSON: No sir. 1096 CHAIR: Any member of the Council? Thank you. Who wants to go first? 1097 MINARY: I will.

1098 CHAIR: Will you state your name for the record? 1099 MINARY: Jared Minary. 1100 CHAIR: Do you swear that the testimony you are about to make at this hearing 1101 shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? 1102 MINARY: Yes I do. 1103 CHAIR: Thank you. Go ahead. 1104 MINARY: Not to bore you but I feel like telling you who I am and what I do for the 1105 Police Department is kind of important so I'll kind of give you an overview 1106 of how I came to be where I am today. I've been with the Lincoln Police 1107 Department for twenty five years. I originally was hired as a Lincoln Police 1108 officer. I served from 2000 to 2008. In 2008 I also was selected to be on 1109 our crime scene unit. I was later certified through the IAI in 2013, 2011 1110 excuse me and in 2013 I became a forensic video technician. So my day 1111 job is working in the video field and that may be pulling video from 1112 residential or business locations. It may be doing work with that video 1113 which may include looking for suspect in video, creating timeline types of 1114 pieces, maybe doing comparison work. Just last year I certified as a 1115 forensic video analyst. There are thirty five of those in the country and fifty 1116 six in the world. I am proud of it. Sometimes I feel a little understaffed 1117 even for that certification but I'm very proud to have that accomplishment. 1118 Since 2009 I've been on the Lincoln/Lancaster County Crimestoppers 1119 Board. In 2020 I became the representative of the Crimestoppers USA 1120 program. I am a regional director for region nine which includes Kansas, 1121 Nebraska, Missouri, and Oklahoma so I help any of those Crimestoppers 1122 agencies with their programs and any questions they may have. I'm on

1147

the board obviously so I'm a voting member and I usually attend every conference I can every year to help other programs grow and to become successful. I will say that Crimestoppers has become incredibly important to me. I never thought I would be an advocate for Crimestoppers until I got into law enforcement and saw the benefit of it. My video work initially started simply as getting images of people out to Crimestoppers. It created our Crimestoppers program and the method it is now. We started the program putting cases online. I was involved in a lawsuit regarding this and had to testify in a case where someone was falsely posted to our Crimestoppers page. Crimestoppers means a lot to me. I protect that program as much as I can. And anyone that I would put in charge of doing anything with our Crimestoppers program has to have my respect and also I would have to appreciate the fact that they can do things responsibly and ethically especially when they're are posting stuff publicly to the public. I also lead the Retail Coalition for Lincoln which involves all the loss prevention members for all of our big box stores and for some of the smaller stores. We meet monthly with investigators, loss prevention, city and county attorneys and again, this is something I didn't intend to be involved in but over the years has become very important to me. In keeping that information flowing between us and our local loss prevention members. All that being said, Addison was given to our program in July of 2024. When I had started this video journey it became a tidal wave and in 2018 it became too much for one person to do. We hired Becky who you heard the letter from her earlier. In 2020 with the riot situation we had and with an increase in some of the homicide case work I was asked to do

1172

I've moved off pretty much anything misdemeanor and those aren't getting done by myself and now with Becky that is even growing as well and she is unable to get a lot of our misdemeanors done. And it wouldn't be getting done if it wasn't for the help of either interns, injured officers or in this case, once we got Addie. I've seen a lot of people go through our doors as interns. I've seen a lot of injured officers go through our doors and I would say that Addie is probably one of the most impressive people I've seen doing the work that we're doing in our office. And that includes many of our certified law enforcement officers who know our systems. Obviously with examining videos she will sit all day and watch videos looking for a bad guy and finding the best images of their face, their car. and she will not stop. She is a bloodhound. She will track down anything she can on that vehicle. She will look through NCJIS. She will look through our system. Anything that she can do to help us find that suspect. She spends hours going through body cam because we have the ability in our office to actually see other officer's body cams and be able to review. She will say, hey we got this suspect on this case, hey look at that, he was arrested three days later. He's even wearing the same outfit. She's made this, these cases multiple times by doing this. I will say that I've been doing this obviously since 2008 and it has impressed me with some of the things that she's done. I have learned from Addie. With this, one of the key things I do is in video forensics is I do a lot of comparison work. And that made me, that I am identifying somebody based on their vehicle or based on the clothing they wear at the time of the crime. And in a comparison what you're doing is you're taking an image of a suspect from

1197

the crime and comparing that to a known image of when they're arrested or like a vehicle and you are creating in a sense a composite that it would show, here's the similarities between these and making assumptions on a tattoo based on compression. Addie is extremely good at this. And she was never even asked to do this but I've seen some of the things she's done in some of her cases when we identified an individual and she's able to pinpoint specific tattoos and show them very appropriately in documents that I think would be very successful in court in helping with a prosecution. As I said, Crimestoppers is important to me. She is one of the few that will make public posts on our Crimestoppers page. We like to have a lighthearted sense of the title to get people's attention and sometimes those are joking and it is very easy in a joke to go too far and that is what the original Crimestoppers lawsuit was. So as you can see I'm not just going to hand that to anybody. I monitor what they post and I have seen many times where Addie has even corrected other people on their post on, a, maybe we shouldn't post that word because it isn't appropriate, as a matter of fact it just happened just yesterday. And she had to remind me in that sense, maybe we shouldn't use that word on this case. And I greatly respect her desire to protect that program and her ability to use the ethics that she has been taught within our public postings. Obviously with going out and collecting video from residences and businesses I won't waste your time. Obviously you've heard several testimonies on that. I can say I've personally witnessed her do this multiple times and some of them have been hostile and she has been very good at attempting to de-escalate and dealing with maybe some

1222

people that aren't in their right mindset even when we show up to court with video on a case. As I said, the Retail Coalition, when we work on those cases, every month I try to pull stuff together that is stuff that the police department is investigating. Cases we're seeing lots of people that are seen multiple times through video shoplifting at our local stores and we try to get some intelligence on them that we can pass on to our local loss prevention. We also bring those cases to our city and county attorneys because with changes in laws we are not able to prosecute like we used to be and by bringing them up in this meeting we are actually able to work with them to highlight people who are problems for our loss prevention which has helped quell some the frustration that they've been receiving by not getting as many arrests as they used to. And so part of what I've had Addie do lately is she has been pulling so many of the misdemeanor cases, she's helping me isolate individuals that would be good to be discussed at our meetings. So much so that I will sometimes send her stuff and say, hey can you put this in a power point for me. She has been building the power point for the meetings for the last several months now. And in most cases I want to be at the meetings but on one specific case I wasn't able to because I was at a conference and I had enough trust in her that I allowed her to lead that meeting and I was told that it was a very good meeting. So I don't have any lack of judgement on her that she is capable of doing this job as just a street cop. I wrote down some words that I would definitely say I think are valuable for her as a person. She's very dedicated. She is very professional. She, in my opinion, from everything I've seen is an ethical decision maker. She has

1247

attention to detail unlike some of the street officers I know. Her attention to detail is even better. She is an incredibly fast learner. We can show her one thing and it becomes a balloon. She will learn it guickly, put it into practice and the next time you talk to her she's gone far beyond what you've told her how to do previously. She's definitely a problem solver. I've never seen her have anything other than a positive attitude even with dealing in this situation that I'm sure for me even going this type of thing would be very frustrating, she's had nothing but a positive attitude. I've taught classes for crime scene processing, video forensics and search and seizure. I get to see all of our recruits. I am still far more impressed with her than with many of the recruits I've had to deal with in some of these situations and I will say there have been two other people that were from my loss prevention group that I recommended to be officers. Officer Clevenger and Officer Mathewson. I was proud of them to move into the law enforcement field and I hope to be able to be proud of Addie doing the same thing. Just on a personal level, we have a lot of discussion in our lab, in our down time here and there and she comes from a law enforcement family and she has a lot of respect for her father. It's very clear that she loves him and she told me a story once and I want to kind of explain the story because it's important as to her character. Her father, they would do frog leg cookouts and her father wanted to go catch frogs. I don't understand it, I've never done anything like it. Never eaten a frog leg in my life. And she told me how her dad told her to get in the water and to do whatever it is you do to catch a frog with a flashlight and hoard them in and when she gets out of the pond she was covered in leeches. And

1248		being a crime scene tech and seeing some pretty gross stuff, I was still
1249		grossed out by that. A few months later she said, Jared you are never
1250		going to believe what I did last night. And she says, I got back in that
1251		same pond to get frogs. I would have never climbed in that pond. Even all
1252		the disgusting things I've seen in my life and she did it because that was
1253		an important event for her and her father and even though it was
1254		disgusting she did and I don't have any question that she can't deal with
1255		the disgusting things that a law enforcement officer deals with. After that
1256		even for sure. So I will say it's very clear to me that she would make an
1257		excellent officer and as a, she's the same age as my son. And as
1258		someone that kind of feels like a work dad to her I would love nothing
1259		more than to torpedo this and keep her in my lab but as a proud work
1260		father I would love to see her go on and become an excellent officer so
1261		that I can be even more proud of her as she moves through this career.
1262	CHAIR:	Mr. Stephenson, any questions to this witness?
1263	STEPHENSON:	No.
1264	CHAIR:	Any questions from the Council members? Ma'am will you state your
1265		name for the record?
1266	SIMS:	Erin Sims.
1267	CHAIR:	Will you raise your right hand? Do you swear that the testimony that
1268		you're about to make at this hearing should be the truth, the whole truth
1269		and nothing but truth?
1270	SIMS:	I do.
1271	CHAIR:	Go ahead.

SIMS:

1296

I'll make this quick. I am the forensic lab manager at the Lincoln Police Department. I've worked there for forty five years. I was originally hired as an officer. I have twenty seven years commissioned. I was a sergeant for seventeen of those. So I have dealt with disciplinary matters on the law enforcement side. I also, my retirement job is the forensic lab manager so that's a civilian job now. And while I was an officer I, my focus, I did enjoy being in a cruiser but I liked the investigative part of it better so I focused on different types of evidence. I was on the Crime Scene Unit as a supervisor for many years. I am a certified crime scene investigator, a certified blood stain pattern analyst, and to top Jared, I'm one of sixty four worldwide blood stain pattern analyst. I'm very particular about reports. I'm very particular about, anything that has to do with evidence that it's done properly. So ethics is a big deal for me. Just accuracy in reports. Everything that, I have eight employees and occasional interns and light duty officers that come in so every report that they write, every chart that they make for a fingerprint comparison, all the composites that they create, all the videos create I read those. I critique them. I drive them crazy anytime they switch two numbers in a case number or give a name spelled wrong or anything I shoot them all back to them. Nothing goes into our case file that doesn't go past me. I can tell you when Addie first started I was very impressed. She caught on faster than any of the injured officers that we had come through our office. She had never worked with Photo Shop before. You would show her something once and she is off to the races with it. Her investigative skill has also impressed me as far as some of the officers, and I hate to bad mouth them but she really has a

1	1297		passion and a drive and she really focuses on each independent case.
1	1298		During maybe the first couple of months or so, she's been there now for
1	1299		fourteen months, I would catch a misspelling or a transposed of numbers
1	1300		or a date of birth or anything like that and I'd shoot it right back to her. I
1	1301		can tell you that she worked very hard and I haven't sent one back to her
1	1302		in a very long time. And as a matter of fact, a report that I just recently
1	1303		authored on a case, I had her look at so she could find my errors and she
1	1304		did. She did a really good job as far as finding some commas I missed
1	1305		and apostrophes and stuff like that. So I trust her working on all the cases
1	1306		that she's worked. She's just done a fabulous job and I'm going to miss
1	1307		her. I really hope that she is an officer. She has the same sort of drive
1	1308		that I had many years ago when I was young. So I kind of see a little bit of
1	1309		her in me. She's just been a fabulous employee. Part time worker. She
1	1310		has no benefits. She's never called in sick. She's never been late. She
1	1311		has worked past the time, she's flexed her time, she is just very
1	1312		impressive in her work ethic. We're going to miss her. We're going to
1	1313		need to hire. We work about sixteen hundred cases a year so the six
1	1314		hundred and fifty plus that she has done, she's right up there with my
1	1315		other employees and she's working part time. She does a great job, she's
1	1316		just been a really good addition to our unit. That's all I have to say.
1	1317	CHAIR:	Mr. Stephenson?
1	1318	STEPHENSON:	No sir.
1	1319	CHAIR:	Any members of the Council have a question? Chief Morrow, any
1	1320		additional witnesses?
1	1321	CHIEF MORROW:	No sir.

1322	CHAIR:	Can you two swap out with Ms. Hejl and maybe move that microphone
1323		back over between you. Closer to the Chief. Chief Morrow, do you have
1324		any additional evidence or testimony?
1325	CHIEF MORROW:	I don't, other than I would like to point out, because I forgot to point it out,
1326		first on the back of the packet that I provided there are also three
1327		commendations that I attached in there with Addison's permission as that
1328		comes from her personnel files. It is work that she has done that has
1329		been highlighted and she received recognition for.
1330	CHAIR:	Director Stephenson, do you have additional evidence or testimony?
1331	STEPHENSON:	I'll reserve for my closing.
1332	CHAIR:	Chief Morrow, if you have nothing additional at this time you can make
1333		your closing argument.
1334	CHIEF MORROW:	I just want to thank everyone for allowing us an opportunity to be here in
1335		front of you today. To have a discussion. This discussion is very much
1336		about Ms. Addison Hejl but the larger part is the discussion of what we
1337		want the future of law enforcement and how we can potentially better
1338		utilize the resources that we have available to us in Title 79, Chapter 8
1339		and being inclusive of all the aspects of that Chapter. Of course the things
1340		that are concerning to us that we then have to evaluate character and if
1341		we consider the mitigating and extenuating circumstances. Those were
1342		set forth in that chapter for a reason and ignoring them I think does a
1343		disservice not only just to the consideration of applicants in this Council
1344		but overall for us as law enforcement because we use discretion every
1345		day in the work that we do and to give respect when we're wrong and
1346		codes and policies and procedures I think that we have to view them in

1371

totality and make the right decisions. And again to the question that you asked me Chief Reeves, these are conversations we have to have every day on behalf of our organizations and law enforcement. And law enforcement means a lot to me. I shared with you that I also grew up in law enforcement and I'm passionate about it. In making sure that we continue to build law enforcement up to maybe what it was in the glory days. With the nobility, the respect, the relationships within our community to correct wrongs. And every day we have an opportunity to do that. And it is never black and white it is always in the grey that we work when we are making judgements and evaluating facts and circumstances. In this scenario we do that through this Chapter as evaluating character and the person as a whole and not on a singular incident. I think all of us can reflect that and recognize that there's probably moments in our lives that could have defined us and perhaps somebody else stood beside you as they did me in my career and supported me and took me along. And I think that when we take on a leadership role that's exactly what we're taught to do is finding the next generation, supporting, building, mentoring, developing them to sit in these seats when we all retire and to carry on the nobility of this profession because we won't all be here forever. And I can sit here in front of you and confidently say that (unintelligible) that who she is as a twenty one year old compared to who I was as a twenty one year old and I continue to stand by her and believe that she has met all of the elements to say that she is of good sound character and strong integrity. Has the work ethic that perhaps a lot of individuals that we see coming through

1372		our ranks and this is an opportunity to make a decision to, not just for the
1373		Lincoln Police Department but for perhaps one that will continue a
1374		conversation here of potential changes that could be made in the future
1375		within Chapter 8 to further benefit us as we continue to want to regrow
1376		our ranks. Again, thank you for the opportunity to be here.
1377	CHAIR:	Ms. Hejl, do you wish to make a closing argument on your behalf?
1378	HEJL:	No. I just wanted to thank all of you guys. I appreciate it.
1379	CHAIR:	Director Stephenson, you may make your closing argument at this time.
1380	STEPHENSON:	Thank you. Members of the Council, as part of my duties is to ensure the
1381		individuals who apply for law enforcement certification training in the
1382		State of Nebraska meet all statutory requirements, as well as rules and
1383		regulations necessary for admission and subsequent certification. Due to
1384		the previously mentioned administrative rule and regulations, I have no
1385		discretion in the matter and denied Ms. Hejl's application for basic
1386		certification. This denial is being presented to you based upon the facts
1387		presented in this hearing. Thank you.
1388	CHAIR:	Any further questions from the Council? If not, I'd entertain a motion to go
1389		into executive session.
1390	LONDON:	I move that the Council go into executive session based on the prevention
1391		of needless injury to the reputation of Addison Hejl. For the purpose of
1392		executive session we will allow the following to remain in the room; all
1393		Council members and our legal advisor Sara Bockstadter.
1394	REEVES:	You need to excuse Jason.
1395	BOCKSTADTER:	It needs to be part of the motion.
1396	LONDON:	And that Jason Stille recuse himself from executive session.
1		

1397 GRAHAM: Second. 1398 CHAIR: Any discussion? Hearing none let's vote. 1399 GOBEL: Sheriff London? 1400 LONDON: Yes. 1401 GOBEL: Mr. McCarthy? 1402 MCCARTHY: Yes. 1403 GOBEL: Sheriff Osmond? 1404 OSMOND: Yes. 1405 GOBEL: Chief Reeves? CHAIR: 1406 Yes. 1407 GOBEL: Lt. Col. Roby? 1408 ROBY: Yes. 1409 GOBEL: Sgt. Bolzer? 1410 **BOLZER:** Yes. 1411 Chief Graham? GOBEL: 1412 GRAHAM: Yes. 1413 GOBEL: Motion approved. We're in executive session at 11:21 a.m. 1414 CHAIR: At this time I would remind those present in executive session that they 1415 must restrict their discussion in closed session to the issues currently 1416 before the Council. 1417 **BOCKSTADTER:** And let the record that Chief Stille is not present and has recused himself. 1418 CHAIR: The time is 11:21 a.m. and we are in executive session and Deputy Chief 1419 Stille has recused himself and is not present during our executive

session.

1420

CHAIR: The meeting of the Police Standards Advisory Council will come to order.

1422 Is there a motion to come out of executive session?

MCCARTHY: I'll make that motion.

GRAHAM: Second.

CHAIR: Any discussion? Hearing none, let's vote to come out of executive

1426 session.

GOBEL: Mr. McCarthy?

1428 MCCARTHY: Yes.

GOBEL: Sheriff Osmond?

OSMOND: Yes.

GOBEL: Chief Reeves?

CHAIR: Yes.

GOBEL: Lt. Col. Roby?

ROBY: Yes.

GOBEL: Sgt. Bolzer?

BOLZER: Yes.

GOBEL: Chief Graham?

GRAHAM: Yes.

GOBEL: Sheriff London?

1440 LONDON: Yes.

GOBEL: Motion approved. We are out of executive session at 11:39 a.m.

CHAIR: All parties present when the Council went into executive session are

again present. Is there a motion?

1444 OSMOND: Yes. I'll make a motion that we reverse Director Stephenson's denial of application to law enforcement academy based upon the mitigating and 1445 1446 extenuating circumstances that we heard today. 1447 **BOLZER:** I'll second. 1448 CHAIR: Any discussion on the motion? Hearing none, let's vote. 1449 GOBEL: Sheriff Osmond? 1450 OSMOND: Yes. 1451 GOBEL: Chief Reeves? 1452 CHAIR: Yes. 1453 GOBEL: Lt. Col. Roby? 1454 ROBY: Yes. 1455 GOBEL: Sgt. Bolzer? 1456 **BOLZER:** Yes. Chief Graham? 1457 GOBEL: 1458 GRAHAM: Yes. 1459 GOBEL: Sheriff London? 1460 LONDON: Yes. 1461 Mr. McCarthy? GOBEL: 1462 MCCARTHY: Yes. 1463 GOBEL: Motion approved. 1464 CHAIR: Chief Morrow, as you've heard, the Council has granted your appeal. The 1465 Council will prepare written findings of fact and conclusions of law 1466 pertaining to today's hearing and the Council decision. According to Title 1467 79, of the Nebraska Administrative Code, Chapter 8, section 008.02, the 1468 Council will render a decision and reduce it's decision in writing within

1469 ninety days of the hearing unless extended by order of the presiding 1470 officer. Where do you want those findings sent. **CHIEF MORROW:** 1471 I'll take those findings please. Email will work. 1472 CHAIR: Ok. Findings sent to you by email. Is there anything else from either side 1473 that needs to be addressed? 1474 **CHIEF MORROW:** No. 1475 STEPHENSON: No. This hearing is concluded. The time is 11:41 a.m. Ms. Hejl, good luck with 1476 CHAIR: 1477 your law enforcement career.

B. Revocation: Voluntary Surrender

1. Nicholas Chrastil: LR-310-25

Special Assistant Attorney General Jon Hendricks entered one exhibit. Exhibit 1 is the Consent to Revocation of Law Enforcement Certificate and Waiver of Notice and Hearing for Nicholas Chrastil. Mr. Hendricks reported that Mr. Chrastil did not put the date on his Consent to Revocation form. Investigator Gary Reed contacted him by phone to see if he would wish to have another form sent to him. He indicated to Mr. Reed that we could just fill in the date for him but we have not done so. Investigator Gary Reed testified that Mr. Chrastil was contacted by phone and given the opportunity to have a new form sent to him. Mr. Chrastil declined and was given the option to have us fill in the month and the date. Mr. Chrastil agreed that that was fine. Chief Reeves accepted the exhibit and it was entered into the record.

Mr. Hendricks provided the factual summary of the details leading up to the revocation. Mr. Hendricks requested that the Council accept the relinquishment and recommended that Mr. Chrastil's Law Enforcement Officer certificate be revoked based upon 81-1403(6)(b), serious misconduct on the basis of fabrication of evidence and 81-1403(6)(c), a violation of statutory duties and a law enforcement officer's code of ethics.

MOTION

Sheriff London: Based upon the fact the respondent has signed a Consent to Revocation of Law Enforcement Certificate and Waiver of Notice and Hearing and has voluntarily surrendered his certificate, I make a motion that the Council except the surrender of Nicholas Chrastil's Nebraska Law Enforcement certificate based on the recommendation outlined by Jon Hendricks. Said revocation to be effective upon review and acceptance of the surrender by the Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice. Sheriff Osmond seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Chief Reeves, Lt. Col. Roby, Sgt. Bolzer, Chief Graham, Sheriff London, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond. Abstained: Asst. Chief Stille. Motion approved.

2. Jake Dilsaver: LR-314-25

Special Assistant Attorney General Jon Hendricks entered one exhibit. Exhibit 1 is the Consent to Revocation of Law Enforcement Certificate and Waiver of Notice and Hearing for Jake Dilsaver. Chief Reeves accepted the exhibit and it was entered into the record.

Mr. Hendricks provided the factual summary of the details leading up to the revocation. Mr. Hendricks requested that the Council accept the relinquishment and recommended that Mr. Dilsaver's Law Enforcement Officer certificate, Management and Supervision certificates be revoked based upon 81-1403(6)(c), a violation of his oath of office, statutory duties and his law enforcement officer's code of ethics.

MOTION

Chief Graham: Based upon the fact the respondent has signed a Consent to Revocation of Law Enforcement Certificate and Waiver of Notice and Hearing and has voluntarily surrendered his certificates, I make a motion that the Council except the surrender of Jake Dilsaver's Nebraska Law Enforcement certificate, Management certificate and Supervision certificate based on the recommendation outlined by Jon Hendricks. Said revocation to be effective upon review and acceptance of the surrender by the Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice. Mr. McCarthy seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Lt. Col. Roby, Sgt. Bolzer, Chief Graham, Sheriff London, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond, Chief Reeves. Abstained: Asst. Chief Stille. Motion approved.

3. Cameron Milander: LR-290-24

Special Assistant Attorney General Jon Hendricks entered one exhibit. Exhibit 1 is the Consent to Revocation of Law Enforcement Certificate and Waiver of Notice and Hearing for Cameron Milander. Chief Reeves accepted the exhibit and it was entered into the record.

Mr. Hendricks provided the factual summary of the details leading up to the revocation. Mr. Hendricks requested that the Council accept the relinquishment and recommended that Mr. Milander's Law Enforcement Officer certificate be revoked based upon 81-1403(6)(c), a violation of his oath of office, statutory duties and his law enforcement officer's code of ethics.

MOTION

Chief Graham: Based upon the fact the respondent has signed a Consent to Revocation of Law Enforcement Certificate and Waiver of Notice and Hearing and has voluntarily surrendered his certificate, I make a motion that the Council except the surrender of Cameron Milander's Nebraska Law Enforcement certificate based on the recommendation outlined by Jon Hendricks. Said revocation to be effective upon review and acceptance of the surrender by the Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice. Sheriff Osmond seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Asst. Chief Stille, Sgt. Bolzer, Chief Graham, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond, Chief Reeves, Lt. Col. Roby. Abstained: Sheriff London. Motion approved.

4. Bruce Neal: LR-295-24

Special Assistant Attorney General Jon Hendricks entered one exhibit. Exhibit 1 is the Consent to Revocation of Law Enforcement Certificate and Waiver of Notice and Hearing for Bruce Neal. Chief Reeves accepted the exhibit and it was entered into the record.

Mr. Hendricks provided the factual summary of the details leading up to the revocation. Mr. Hendricks requested that the Council accept the relinquishment and recommended that Mr. Neal's Law Enforcement Officer certificate be revoked based upon 81-1403(6)(b), serious misconduct and 81-1403(6)(c), a violation of his law enforcement officer's code of ethics.

MOTION

Sheriff London: Based upon the fact the respondent has signed a Consent to Revocation of Law Enforcement Certificate and Waiver of Notice and Hearing and has voluntarily surrendered his certificate, I make a motion that the Council except the surrender of Bruce Neal's Nebraska Law Enforcement certificate based on the recommendation outlined by Jon Hendricks. Said revocation to be effective upon review and acceptance of the surrender by the Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice. Chief Graham seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Sgt. Bolzer, Chief Graham, Sheriff London, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff London, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond, Chief Reeves, Lt. Col. Roby, Asst. Chief Stille. Motion approved.

1		C.	Revocation Hearing
2			Humberto Herrera: LR-222-21
3	CHAIR:		This revocation hearing before the Police Standards Advisory Council in
4			the matter of State of Nebraska v. Humberto Herrera, Case No. LR-222-
5			21 is called to order. The date is September 17, 2025 and the time is
6			12:03 p.m.
7	CHAIR:		I will note the following Council members are present; myself, Chief Steve
8			Reeves, Sgt. Drew Bolzer, Chief Paul Graham, Sheriff Greg London, Mr.
9			Matthew McCarthy, Sheriff Dan Osmond, Lt. Col. Jeff Roby, Asst. Chief
10			Stille. I will note that the following Council members are not present;
11			Deputy Chief Sherie Thomas with the Omaha Police Department.
12	CHAIR:		I will note that there is a quorum of the Council present, so this hearing
13			may proceed. Attorney Sara Bockstadter is here as legal advisor to the
14			Council. The Council will hear this matter pursuant to Nebraska Revised
15			Statute 81-1403 and Title 79 of the Nebraska Administrative Code,
16			Chapter 9, Revocation of Law Enforcement Officers Certification.
17	CHAIR:		In this case, the complainant has filed a formal revocation complaint
18			against Humberto Herrera, the respondent officer. The allegations for
19			revocation are contained in the complaint filed by the State of Nebraska
20			on or about July 21, 2025. No answer has been filed by the respondent
21			officer. Special Assistant Attorney General, Jonathan Hendricks appears
22			on behalf of the State. Mr. Herrera is not present and there is not counsel
23			representing him.
24	CHAIR:		The Police Standards Advisory Council shall act as the Hearing Board for
25			this appeal. Neither I, nor any other Council member, will be a witness for

26 either side and I am not aware of any matter which I believe may be 27 grounds for challenge against me or any other Council member. Does 28 any Council member have any personal knowledge of this case? Sgt. 29 Bolzer? 30 **BOLZER:** No. 31 CHAIR: Chief Graham? 32 GRAHAM: No. 33 CHAIR: Sheriff London? 34 LONDON: No. CHAIR: 35 Mr. Matthew McCarthy? McCARTHY: No. 36 37 CHAIR: Sheriff Osmond? 38 OSMOND: No. 39 CHAIR: Lt. Col. Roby? 40 ROBY: No. 41 CHAIR: Asst. Chief Stille? STILLE: 42 No. 43 CHAIR: Nor do I. Mr. Hendricks, you may proceed with your case. Do you have 44 any exhibits you wish to offer at the time? 45 I do. May I approach? **HENDRICKS:** 46 CHAIR: Yes. 47 **HENDRICKS:** I have five exhibits. Exhibit number one is a copy of the complaint that 48 was filed in this case. It contains sub-exhibits 1A through 1H which were part of the original complaint. Exhibit two is a letter dated July 22nd of 49 50 2025 which advised Mr. Herrera of today's hearing. Exhibit three is a

51 service return showing that Mr. Herrera was served with the complaint 52 and the notice of hearing on August 26th of 2025. Exhibit four is police 53 reports from the underlying incident by the Omaha Police Department 54 involving Mr. Herrera and his eventual charge. And Exhibit five is an 55 investigator report from Dennis Leonard regarding his investigation into this matter. I offer those five exhibits. 56 57 CHAIR: Let the record reflect that Exhibits one through five have been received 58 and are entered into the record. Mr. Hendrics, does the State have any 59 witnesses to testify? **HENDRICKS:** No. 60 61 CHAIR: Does the State have any further evidence? 62 **HENDRICKS:** No. CHAIR: 63 Does the State rest? The State rests. 64 **HENDRICKS:** CHAIR: 65 Any member of the Council have a question? 66 **HENDRICKS:** I can summarize the case. 67 CHAIR: Go ahead. Mr. Herrera became a law enforcement officer in December of 2015. He 68 **HENDRICKS:** 69 signed a law enforcement officer code of ethics at that time. He was 70 employed at the Omaha Police Department from July 2015 to October of 71 2021. What's contained in the exhibits is; on June 12, 2021, Mr. Herrera 72 was operating a motor vehicle in Omaha Nebraska and in doing so, he 73 struck two parked cars. A resident nearby called 911 and reported seeing 74 a Hispanic male get out of the driver's seat directly after the accident. 75 That witness would later go on to identify Mr. Herrera from a photograph

100

as the person driving the vehicle. Law enforcement arrived on scene and made contact with Mr. Herrera and a female. Mr. Herrera stated that the female was driving at the time of the accident. The female did not make any statements. Law enforcement observed both Mr. Herrera and the female to appear intoxicated and the smell of alcohol. Mr. Herrera and the female then left the area before law enforcement processed the crash. Mr. Herrera's duty belt and firearms were found unsecured in the vehicle. An investigation then took place. It was conducted by the Omaha Police Department which is exhibit four. They were attempting to determine which of the two were the driver. A vehicle inspection revealed that the seat position was an appropriate seat position for someone of Mr. Herrera's size which was 5' 10". They found that it would be very difficult for the female involved, who was 5' tall to reach the pedal. They also looked at seat weight data. The passenger seat of the vehicle was consistent with the female's weight. The vehicle reported as a small adult between 97 and 160 pounds. The female weighed 115 pounds. The driver's seat was consistent with Mr. Herrera's body, which was recorded by the vehicle as an adult, 160 pounds and up. Mr. Herrera's weighed 195. An internal affairs investigation ensued which is summarized in Exhibit five in the investigator's report. During that investigation a surveillance video from minutes before the crash, 8 blocks from the crash site, was retrieved showing Mr. Herrera and the female walking to the vehicle and Mr. Herrera getting into the driver's seat and driving away. During the internal affairs investigation Mr. Herrera was interviewed and stated that he was very intoxicated on the night in question and could not

125

remember anything. When presented evidence showing that he was the driver, he stated he had a gut feeling he was driving but had to believe it was the girlfriend because she had told him. When asked point blank who was driving Mr. Herrera stated, my girlfriend. Mr. Herrera later requested a second interview where he stated that his girlfriend lied to him about being the driver during the accident but that he had no recollection of the event. At the conclusion of the internal affairs investigation it was determined that several violations were sustained against Mr. Herrera including conduct unbecoming of an officer, criminal law violations, failure to cooperate with police personnel, intoxication, mishandling department equipment and violation of the department's policy. Mr. Herrera was dismissed from his employment at the Omaha Police Department. On August 27, 2021 Mr. Herrera was charged in Douglas County District Court with false reporting, leaving the scene of an accident, reckless driving, and colliding with a fixed object. Those court records are provided in Exhibit 1F. Mr. Herrera eventually entered a plea of no contest and was found guilty of reckless driving, a Class 3 Misdemeanor. Pursuant to a plea agreement, he was sentenced to a \$400.00 fine and six months' probation. Overall the State would argue that the evidence shows Mr. Herrera was intoxicated on June 12, 2021, crashed into two parked cars, lied about who the driver was while at the scene, left before a full investigation could be completed and then when later confronted about his dishonesty, took the position that he could not remember anything and that his girlfriend lied to him about being the driver. At this time I would ask the Police Standards Advisory Council to recommend that Mr.

126		Herrara's law enforcement certification be revoked pursuant to, by clear
127		and convincing evidence under 18, 81, excuse me, under 81-1403 (6)(c),
128		a violation of Mr. Herrera's law enforcement code of ethics and oath of
129		office and statutory duties for his dishonesty in the investigation.
130	CHAIR:	Any Council member wish to make a motion on the matter?
131	LONDON:	So moved. Unless, Sara, do we have to go to executive session? So
132		moved.
133	BOCKSTADTER:	You have to state what the motion is.
134	LONDON:	Jon just said it.
135	BOCKSTADTER:	Can you reiterate it though?
136	LONDON:	Oh my God, I don't know if I can. Jon, can you help me out here?
137	HENDRICKS:	Sure. I'm asking the Council to recommend the revocation of his law
138		enforcement officer certificate based under 81-1406 (c), sorry, 81-1403
139		(6)(c), a violation of the officer's code of ethics, law enforcement officer's
140		oath of office, excuse me, oath of office, law enforcement officer code of
141		ethics or his statutory duties.
142	LONDON:	So moved. Jon, thank you.
143	BOLZER:	Second.
144	CHAIR:	Any discussion on the motion? Hearing none, let's vote.
145	GOBEL:	Chief Graham?
146	GRAHAM:	Yes.
147	GOBEL:	Sheriff London?
148	LONDON:	Yes.
149	GOBEL:	Mr. McCarthy?
150	McCARTHY:	Yes.

151 GOBEL: **Sheriff Osmond?** 152 OSMOND: Yes. Chief Reeves? 153 GOBEL: 154 REEVES: Yes. 155 GOBEL: Lt. Col. Roby? 156 ROBY: Yes. 157 GOBEL: Asst. Chief Stille? 158 STILLE: Yes. 159 GOBEL: Sqt. Bolzer? 160 **BOLZER:** Yes. 161 Motion approved. GOBEL: 162 CHAIR: A motion to revoke the respondent's law enforcement certificate has been 163 sustained and will now be forwarded along with the findings of fact and 164 conclusions to the Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and 165 Criminal Justice for final action at a subsequent public hearing. The date 166 is contingent upon the preparation of the findings and their review and 167 approval by this body. I would anticipate the Crime Commission will 168 review this at its next quarterly meeting in Lincoln on October 17, 2025. 169 Notice of the Crime Commission hearing will be provided to the parties. 170 CHAIR: Is there anything else Mr. Hendricks that needs to be addressed? 171 **HENDRICKS:** No. May I be excused?

Yes. This hearing is concluded at 12:14 p.m.

172

CHAIR:

D. Waiver of Supervision In Lieu OF NLETC Supervision

1. Jason Drager: Lincoln Police Department

2. Alex Salcedo: Lincoln Police Department

Director Mark Stephenson reported that Sergeants Drager and Salcedo completed the IACP Front Line Supervision course along with supplemental course training to meet the core requirements set out by the State of Nebraska in December of 2024. Director Stephenson recommended that the Council approve the request to accept the training for Sergeants Drager and Salcedo

MOTION

Chief Graham: So moved. Sheriff Osmond seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Sheriff London, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond, Chief Reeves, Lt. Col. Roby, Sgt. Bolzer, Chief Graham. Abstained: Asst. Chief Stille. Motion approved.

E. Academy Instructor Requests

1. Legal Original

Katie Jadlowski: Omaha Police Department Ryan Wiesen: Omaha Police Department

After review by the NLETC staff, Deputy Director Gene Boner recommended that the Council approve the legal original academy instructor applications for both Katie Jadlowski and Ryan Wiesen of the Omaha Police Department.

MOTION

Mr. McCarthy: I will make the motion to approve the Legal Original Instructor applications for Katie Jadlowski and Ryan Wiesen of the Omaha Police Department. Asst. Chief Stille seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond, Chief Reeves, Lt. Col. Roby, Asst. Chief Stille, Sgt. Bolzer, Chief Graham, Sheriff London. Motion approved.

2. Professional Original

Julia Sandman: Lincoln Police Department Amanda Cooley: Omaha Police Department

After review by the NLETC staff, Deputy Director Gene Boner recommended that the Council approve the professional original academy instructor applications for

both Julia Sandman of the Lincoln Police Department and Amanda Cooley of the Omaha Police Department.

MOTION

Mr. McCarthy: I'll make the motion to approve the Professional Original Instructor applications for Julia Sandman and Amanda Cooley as recommended by Deputy Director Boner. Lt. Col. Roby seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Sheriff Osmond, Chief Reeves, Lt. Col. Roby, Sgt. Bolzer, Chief Graham, Sheriff London, Mr. McCarthy. Abstained: Asst. Chief Stille. Motion approved.

3. Professional Renewal

Joseph Nickerson: Omaha Police Department Marlene Reider: Omaha Police Department Miranda Vaughan: Omaha Police Department

After review by the NLETC staff, Deputy Director Gene Boner recommended that the Council approve the renewal applications for Joseph Nickerson, Marlene Reider, and Miranda Vaughan of the Omaha Police Department.

MOTION

Sheriff London: So moved. Chief Graham seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Chief Reeves, Lt. Col. Roby, Asst. Chief Stille, Sgt. Bolzer, Chief Graham, Sheriff London, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond. Motion approved.

Agonov

F. Hiring Bonus Grant Approvals

Nama

<u>iname</u>	<u>Agency</u>
Connor Larson	Cass Co SO
Zackery Adams	Falls City PD
Trevor Kvasnicka	Fillmore Co SO
Taylor Murphy	Fillmore Co SO
Dylan Sackschewsky	Lancaster Co SO
Andy Manzo	Lexington PD
Devon Stethem	Lincoln Co SO
Bryce Olsen	Lyons PD
William Barnes	Nebraska City PD
Nathan Miles	Nebraska City PD
Brett Greenfield	NE Game & Parks
Benjamin Keller	NE Game & Parks
Justin Pritchard	Polk Co SO

Shyvonne Tyndall Thurston Co SO Sarah Young Valentine PD Brennan Manley Webster Co SO

Director Mark Stephenson reported that the compliance team vetted the applications listed under 5 F, utilizing the NLETC database. It was determined that all the applicants have met the requirements to receive the Hiring Bonus Grant. Mr. Stephenson recommended that the Council approve the Hiring Bonus Grants for the applicants listed under item 5 F in the agenda.

MOTION

Sheriff Osmond: I will make a motion to approve the Hiring Bonus Grants for those listed on the agenda as presented by Mark Stephenson. Mr. McCarthy seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Lt. Col. Roby, Asst. Chief Stille, Chief Graham, Sheriff London, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond, Chief Reeves. Abstained: Sgt. Bolzer. Motion approved.

VI. Other Business

A. Informational Items

- 1. Trainees: The trainees were listed on the agenda for the Council's information. No action needed.
- 2. NCO's Completed 80 hours of training: The NCO's who have completed 80 hours of training were listed on the agenda for the Council's information. No action needed.

Chief Reeves asked if there were any public comments. There were none. Due to a change in legislation it will be required to offer time for public comments at each PSAC meeting instead of guarterly.

B. Date, Time & Location of Next Meeting

October 15, 2025, 9:00 A.M., Library, Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center, 3600 North Academy Road, Grand Island, Nebraska 68801.

Hearing no further business, Chief Reeves adjourned the meeting at 12:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven D. Gobel, Secretary to the Police Standards Advisory Council