

Good Life. Great Service.

COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

AGENDA

POLICE STANDARDS ADVISORY COUNCIL

DATE:

August 13, 2025

TO:

Police Standards Advisory Council

FROM:

Mark Stephenson, Director

SUBJECT:

Meeting Wednesday, August 20, 2025, 9:00 A.M. Library, Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center 3600 North Academy Road, Grand Island, Nebraska 68801

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. APPROVAL OF JULY 16, 2025 P.S.A.C. MINUTES

III. REPORTS

A. Director - N.L.E.T.C.

B. Deputy Director of Training - N.L.E.T.C.

C. Nebraska State Patrol Training Academy

D. Crime Commission Report

IV. OLD BUSINESS

V. NEW BUSINESS

A. Appeal Hearing: Denial To Attend Basic Training-Voting Item

George Jackson-Cheyenne County Sheriff's Office: (25-PSAC-107)







B. Revocation: Voluntary Surrender-Voting Item

1. Adam Cooper: LR-277-24

2. Robby Jackson: LR-288-24

3. Jason Miller: LR-303-25

4. Steven Zieg: LR-285-24

C. Waiver of Supervision In Lieu Of NLETC Supervision

1. Joshua Black: Douglas County Sheriff's Office-Voting Item

2. Peter Coffey: Douglas County Sheriff's Office-Voting Item

3. Jarrod Wineinger: Douglas County Sheriff's Office-Voting Item

D. Extension of 1 Year Requirement for Management Attachment 2

1. Kreighton Baxter: Clay County Sheriff's Office-Voting Item

- 2. Kyle Hansen: Frontier County Sheriff's Office-Voting Item
- 3. Casey Ricketts: Nebraska Racing and Gaming Commission-Voting Item
- 4. Derek Herron: Thurston County Sheriff's Office-Voting Item

E. Academy Instructor Requests

Attachment 3

Attachment 1

- 1. <u>Professional Original-Voting Item</u> Scott Eveland: Lincoln Police Department
- 2. <u>Professional Renewal-Voting Item</u>
 John Fencl: Lincoln Police Department

F. Explosive Detector Dog Judge Approval-Voting Item

Attachment 4

Jason Prante: Nebraska State Patrol

G. Temporary Suspension of EVO/DVO Time Requirements-Voting Item

Gene Boner: Deputy Director/NLETC

H. Hiring Bonus Grant Approvals-Voting Item

Name Agency Anthony Wielgus Columbus PD Christofer Thompson Gering PD Julia Slade Imperial PD Ethan Brock Johnson Co SO Ethan Kastens Lancaster Co SO Brandon Livingston Lancaster Co SO Jaime Lopez Lancaster Co SO Nathaniel Hutton Lincoln Co SO Brendan Whitted Nebraska City PD Stuart Hasemann Norfolk PD Joseph Beeson Schuyler PD

I. NCO's Eligible for Automatic Extension

Name Agency Eathen Sanchez Box Butte Co SO Jose Ambriz Broken Bow PD Wyatt Woodward Broken Bow PD Ty Racek Brown Co SO Martavius Pennington Columbus PD Justin Schwisow Garfield Co SO Haley Walker Hitchcock Co SO Jaime Shirley Sherman Co SO Abigail Fraas Sidney PD Tori Adams York Co SO

J. Public Comment

VI. OTHER BUSINESS

A. Informational Items

1. Trainees

Agency Name Christopher Laake Alliance PD Mitchell Raasch Boyd Co SO Alexander Keller Butler Co SO Butler Co SO Colton Rahe Noah Anderson Central City PD Cherry Co SO Nathan Fiala George Jackson Cheyenne Co SO Zachary Brennfoerder Clay Co SO Kyle Sneed Clay Co SO

Johny Cruz Grand Island PD Kody Kerr Grand Island PD Eli Sattley Grand Island PD Blake Stewart Grand Island PD Tyler Gunderson Hall Co SO Hall Co SO Rene Lopez Joseph Dearth Hamilton Co SO Tyler Moll Harlan Co SO DeAnthony Moretti Harlan Co SO Shania Daugherty Jefferson Co SO Donaven Nolze Kimball Co SO Jason Kloepping McCook PD Chance Eickenberry Minden PD Douglas Chicas Norfolk PD Grant Gilsdorf Norfolk PD North Platte PD Preston Beller Kaleb Bomberger North Platte PD Isaiah Estrada North Platte PD Gracie Rock Ord PD Leeesia Christiansen Otoe Co SO Clinton Stenka Webster Co SO Silverio Barrios West Point PD

2. NCO's Completing 80 Hours of Training

Name Agency Broken Bow PD Jose Ambriz Wyatt Woodward Broken Bow PD Noah Anderson Central City PD Preston Beller North Platte PD Isaiah Estrada North Platte PD Kyle Norman North Platte PD Jaime Shirley Sherman Co SO Tori Adams York Co SO

B. Date, Time & Location of Next Meeting

September 17, 2025, 9:00 a.m., Library, Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center, 3600 North Academy Road, Grand Island, Nebraska 68801



COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

REGULAR MEETING OF THE POLICE STANDARDS ADVISORY COUNCIL July 16, 2025

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman, Chief Steve Reeves called the meeting to order at 9:00 A.M. Legal notice of the meeting was published in the Lincoln Journal Star on July 9, 2025. Roll call; Chief Paul Graham, Mr. Matthew McCarthy, Sheriff Dan Osmond, Chief Steve Reeves, Lt. Col. Jeff Roby, Asst. Chief Jason Stille and Deputy Chief Sherie Thomas. Sheriff Greg London arrived at 9:21 a.m. Sgt. Drew Bolzer was absent from the meeting.

II. APPROVAL OF JUNE 18, 2025 P.S.A.C. MINUTES

Chief Reeves entertained a motion to approve the June 18, 2025 PSAC minutes.

MOTION

Mr. McCarthy: I'll make a motion to approve June's minutes. Sheriff Osmond seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond, Chief Reeves, Lt. Col. Roby, Chief Graham. Abstained: Asst. Chief Stille, Deputy Chief Thomas. Motion approved.

III. REPORTS

A. Director's Report

Director Mark Stephenson reported on the following:

- Investigator Dennis Leonard retired on July 11, 2025. Instructor Gary Reed is the new Investigator at NLETC.
- JTA Vendor: The paperwork to obtain a vendor for the Job Task Analysis
 has been submitted to DAS. It was entered into E1. Waiting for approval to
 move forward.
- Physical Task Testing: Per the request of the Council, NLETC has implemented the new testing with the current basic and the

Mark Stephenson Director

Law Enforcement Training Center

3600 North Academy Road Grand Island, Nebraska 68801 OFFICE 308-385-6030

1 07/16/2025 PSAC



Reciprocity/Reactivation courses. The instructors have reported that they have not had any problems. After the next basic runs through the test, Director Stephenson will bring the results to the Council.

• LEAR Update: Jessica Wagoner presented some numbers. 6.1 million dollars have been paid out to officers so far. Approximately 827 tier 2 applications have been submitted. There have been 63 requests for Hiring Bonuses with \$472,000.00. Sheriff Osmond asked how long it would take to receive the tier 2 payments. Jessica Wagoner responded that the applications are processed daily in house, they are sent to accounting in Lincoln weekly. Executive Director Tuma responded that the applications go to State Accounting then, after approval, they come back to the Commission to process the payments.

B. Deputy Director's Report

Due to Deputy Director Gene Boner's absence, Director Mark Stephenson reported:

- 220th Basic Training Session: Started April 28th with 48 students. Currently 41 students are enrolled. They are in the 11th week of training.
- The following training was held at NLETC:
 - o Firearms Instructor Recertification: June 17, 2025 with 8 attendees.
 - Patrol Rifle Instructor Recertification: June 18, 2025 with 4 attendees.
 - o Online Management: July 7-25, 2025 with 14 attendees.
 - Firearms Instructor Recertification: July 15, 2025 with 6 attendees.
 - Patrol Rifle Instructor Recertification: July 16, 2025 with 6 attendees
- New Instructor: Eric Javins began July 7, 2025 as the DUI/SFST/Crash Investigations instructor. Eric spent 13 years with the Grand Island Police Department and the last 8 years with the Game & Parks Commission.

Chief Reeves asked if construction was on track. Executive Director Tuma responded that Matt Shaw the project manager reported that they are on schedule or maybe ahead of schedule and at least 1 million dollars under budget. Anticipating all aspects of the project should be completed on time and under budget.

C. Nebraska State Patrol Training Academy

Sgt. Clayton Dishong reported on the following:

- Camp 72 started on July 7, 2025 with 23 recruits. Currently 20 recruits are enrolled. Covered and upcoming topics of instruction were presented.
- Completed the last session of in-service training.
- The Training Academy will be hosting an Amber Alert Training July 29-30, 2025.

D. Crime Commission Report

Executive Director Bryan Tuma reported the following:

• Congressional Action: Grants: The Burn Jag and C.O.P.S. grants should have an increase in funding for most programs.

IV. Old Business

NO OLD BUSINESS

V. New Business

A. Revocation Hearing

2 Grant Gentile: LR 222-21

3 CHAIR: Mr. Hendricks?

1

10

11

4 Hendricks: Thank you Mr. Chairperson. I want to ask the Council to enter an order of

5 dismissing this case without prejudice, meaning it can be re-filed. The

reason is we haven't been able to give personal service on Mr. Gentile.

7 There were personal service attempts and his attorney that was involved

prior, we've reached out to, but he was unhelpful in locating him. Due to

9 our regulations that require a hearing within sixty days of filing, we're

unable to meet that so I'd ask the Council to dismiss this complaint at this

time without prejudice so that it can be re-filed once he is located.

12 **OSMOND:** I would make a motion to dismiss this case without prejudice.

13 McCarthy: I'll second.

14 CHAIR: Any discussion? Hearing none, let's vote.

15 GOBEL: Sheriff Osmond?

16 **OSMOND**: Yes...

17 **GOBEL:** Chief Reeves?

18 CHAIR: Yes.

19 GOBEL: Lt. Col. Roby?

20 ROBY: Yes.

21 GOBEL: Asst. Chief Stille?

22 **STILLE**: Yes.

23 GOBEL: Deputy Chief Thomas?

24 THOMAS: I'll abstain.

GOBEL: Chief Graham?

GRAHAM: Yes.

GOBEL: Motion approved.

Chief Reeves changed the order of the agenda items.

C. Revocation-Voluntary Surrender

Wyatt Martian: LR-286-24

Special Assistant Attorney General Jon Hendricks entered one exhibit. Exhibit 1 is the Consent to Revocation of Law Enforcement Certificate and Waiver of Notice and Hearing for Wyatt Martian. Chief Reeves accepted the exhibit, and it was entered into the record.

Mr. Hendricks provided the factual summary of the details leading up to the revocation. Mr. Hendricks requested that the Council accept the relinquishment and recommended that Mr. Martian's Law Enforcement Certificate be revoked based upon Nebraska Revised Statute 81-1403(6)(c), due to a violation of Mr. Martian's oath of office, code of ethics and statutory duties.

MOTION

Mr. McCarthy: Based upon the fact that the respondent has signed a Consent to Revocation of Law Enforcement Certificate and Waiver of Notice and Hearing and has voluntarily surrendered his certificate, I make a motion that the Council accept the surrender of Wyatt Martian's Nebraska Law Enforcement certificate based on the recommendation outlined by Jon Hendricks. Said revocation to be effective upon review and acceptance of the surrender by the Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice. Deputy Chief Thomas seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Chief Reeves, Lt. Col. Roby, Asst. Chief Stille, Deputy Chief Thomas, Chief Graham, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond. Motion approved.

D. Omaha Police Department Supervision Course

Director Mark Stephenson reported that the Omaha Police Department has sent a request to conduct their own Supervision course in-lieu-of the NLETC Supervision course. The 40 hour course was evaluated and found to meet the core requirements for Supervision training. Director Stephenson recommended that the Council approve the request.

MOTION

Sheriff Osmond: I would make a motion that we approve the Omaha Police Department to conduct its own Supervision course in place of the NLETC Supervision course. Chief Graham seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Lt. Col. Roby, Asst. Chief Stille, Chief Graham, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond, Chief Reeves. Abstained: Deputy Chief Thomas. Motion approved.

E. Waiver of Supervision In-Lieu-Of NLETC Supervision

Brady Tucker: Washington County Sheriff's Office

Director Mark Stephenson reported that Sgt. Brady Tucker is requesting a waiver for attending the NLETC Supervision course. Sgt. Tucker attended a 24 hour Supervision course and has a master's degree. Director Stephenson recommended the approval of the waiver.

MOTION

Sheriff Osmond: I'll make a motion that we approve the waiver of the Supervision of NLETC's Supervision course for Brady Tucker of the Washington County Sheriff's Office. Chief Graham seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Asst. Chief Stille, Deputy Chief Thomas, Chief Graham, Sheriff London, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond, Chief Reeves, Lt. Col. Roby. Motion approved.

F. Extension of the 1 Year Requirement for Supervision

- 1. Jacob Metcalf: McCook Police Department
- 2. James Wright: McCook Police Department

Director Mark Stephenson reported that Sgt. Metcalf and Sgt. Wright were both on the wait list for the June 2025 course and requested an extension to attend the 2026 course. Director Stephenson recommended the approval of the extensions.

MOTION

Chief Graham: I make a motion to extend the 1-year requirement for Supervision for Sgt. Jacob Metcalf and Sgt. James Wright of the McCook Police Department. Lt. Col. Roby seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Deputy Chief Thomas, Chief Graham, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond, Chief Reeves, Lt. Col. Roby, Asst. Chief Stille. Motion approved.

G. Academy Instructor Requests

General Renewal

7 07/16/2025 PSAC

Cory Townsend-Nebraska State Patrol

After review by the NLETC staff, Director Stephenson recommended that the Council approve the renewal of the general academy instructor certification for Cory Townsend.

MOTION

Mr. McCarthy: I'll make the motion to approve the General Renewal instructor status of Cory Townsend from the Nebraska State Patrol. Sheriff Osmond seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Chief Graham, Sheriff London, Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond, Chief Reeves, Asst. Chief Stille, Deputy Chief Thomas. Abstained: Lt. Col. Roby. Motion approved.

B. Appeal Hearing

1	B.	1. Michael Real: Red Willow County Sheriff's Office (25-PSAC-105)
2	CHAIR:	The matter now before the Police Standards Advisory Council is the Red
3		Willow County Sheriff's Office on behalf of its applicant, Michael Real v.
4		the Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center, Case Number 25 PSAC
5		105.
6	CHAIR:	I will now call the meeting to order at 9:23 a.m. I will note that the following
7		Council members are present; myself, Chief Steve Reeves, Lt. Col. Jeff
8		Roby, Chief Paul Graham, Sheriff Greg London, Sheriff Dan Osmond, Mr.
9		Matthew McCarthy, Deputy Chief Sherie Thomas, and Asst. Chief Jason
10		Stille. I will note the following Council members are not present; Sgt. Drew
11		Bolzer of the Lancaster County Sheriff's Office. I will also note that there is
12		a quorum of the Council is present, so this hearing may proceed. Attorney
13		Sara Bockstadter is here as legal advisor to the Council.
14	CHAIR:	The Council has been asked by the Petitioner, Red Willow County
15		Sheriff's Office, to consider reversing Director Stephenson's denial of Mr.
16		Real's entrance into the Basic Law Enforcement Officer certification
17		course
18	CHAIR:	The Council is here pursuant to its authority as stated in Nebraska
19		Revised Statute 81-1403, its related statutes and Title 79 of the Nebraska
20		Administrative Code.
21	CHAIR:	I will note that the petitioner, Sheriff Kevin Darling is present along with the
22		petitioner's applicant Michael Real. Sheriff Darling, are you represented by
23		counsel?

24	DARLING:	No I am not.
25	CHAIR:	Mr. Real, are you represented by Counsel?
26	REAL:	No sir.
27	CHAIR:	Sheriff Darling, since the Red Willow County has appealed the Director's
28		decision, I will direct the rest of the proceedings to you in a moment.
29	CHAIR:	The Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center's Director Mark
30		Stephenson is present without counsel and will present the case on behalf
31		of the Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center. Does either party
32		contest the Council's authority to hear this type of case? Mr. Stephenson?
33	DIRECTOR:	No sir.
34	CHAIR:	Sheriff?
35	DARLING:	No sir.
36	CHAIR:	Title 79 of the Nebraska Administrative Code, Chapter 8, specifies the
37		requirements that must be met by an applicant in order to be eligible for
38		the Basic Law Enforcement Officer Certification course. Pursuant to Title
39		79, Chapter 8, section 008.01, upon request of an applicant or agency, the
40		Council will consider a hearing in order to determine whether the
41		applicant meets the minimum qualification for admission into a certification
42		course. The burden during the appeal hearing is on the applicant to
43		demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that he meets admission
44		qualifications.
45	CHAIR:	Per Title 79, Chapter 13, section 004.02G, the issues of this appeal will be
46		limited to those raised in the request for review and reconsideration as
		10

47		provided in sections 004.02C and the Director's written decision in
48		response. The decision of the Council is final.
49	CHAIR:	Sheriff Darling, I want to go over your rights in this Administrative Hearing.
50		You have the right to be heard, either in person or by counsel who you
51		hire at your own expense. You have a right to notice; this is to receive a
52		statement from the State, the Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center,
53		detailing or justifying its actions in this matter. Do you understand these
54		rights?
55	DARLING:	Yes sir.
56	CHAIR:	Did you receive such notice via letters from Director Stephenson stating
57		his reasons for the denial of Michael Real's basic application?
58	DARLING:	I did.
59	CHAIR:	Did you notice any defects in those letters that prejudiced you from
60		presenting your case?
61	DARLING:	No.
62	CHAIR:	Additionally, you have a right to present evidence at this hearing, a right to
63		the Council's findings and the right to seek judicial review thereafter. Do
64		you understand these rights?
65	DARLING:	Yes sir.
66	CHAIR:	The Police Standards Advisory Council shall act as the Hearing Board for
67		this appeal. Neither I, nor any other Council member, will be witness for
68		either side and I am not aware of any matter which I believe may be
69		grounds for challenge by either side against me or the other members. As
		44

70		it pertains to your appeal of the Director's decision to your applicant's
71		entrance into the basic officer certification course I have not had any
72		personal information conveyed to me nor have I had any personal
73		knowledge of this case. Does any Council member have any personal
74		knowledge of petitioner's appeal for entrance in the basic officer
75		certification course? Lt. Col. Jeff Roby?
76	ROBY:	No.
77	CHAIR:	Chief Graham?
78	GRAHAM:	No.
79	CHAIR:	Sheriff London?
80	LONDON:	No.
81	CHAIR:	Sheriff Osmond?
82	OSMOND:	No.
83	CHAIR:	Mr. McCarthy?
84	McCARTHY	:No.
85	CHAIR:	Deputy Chief Sherie Thomas?
86	THOMAS:	No.
87	CHAIR:	Asst. Chief Stille?
88	STILLE:	No.
89	CHAIR:	Does either side have any further questions or challenge for cause against
90		any member of the Council?
91	DIRECTOR:	No sir.
92	DARLING:	No sir.

Director Stephenson, do you have any procedural documents for this case CHAIR: 93 and if so, please present them to the Council. 94 **DIRECTOR:** I do. May I approach? 95 CHAIR: Yes. 96 DIRECTOR: I have two exhibits that I ask to be accepted into evidence at this time. 97 Both are contained in a single binder. The first exhibit is the admission 98 documents for Michael Real. The second exhibit is the documents for the 99 denial packet. I will note that in the denial packet there's a, the very last 100 tab is supporting documents that is the information provided to me by 101 Sheriff Darling when he requested reconsideration with Mr. Real's account 102 of what happened, and several letters of recommendation provided for 103 that reconsideration, and they are under the supporting documents tab. 104 Sheriff Darling, have you received what's been marked as Exhibits 1 and CHAIR: 105 2? 106 DARLING: Yes. 107 Do you have any objections to the receipt of these items into evidence? CHAIR: 108 109 DARLING: No. Exhibits 1 and 2 will be received. CHAIR: 110 Does either side wish to address any further preliminary matters? 111 CHAIR: **DIRECTOR:** No sir. 112 113 **DARLING:** No sir.

CHAIR: 114 Sheriff Darling, as the petitioner, you may make an opening statement at 115 this time. Keep in mind, this is just an opening statement. You can present 116 your case here in a moment. DARLING: 117 Just as an opening, the defense's outline of criminal history from 25 + 118 years ago, Mr. Real is not the person he was at that time. I am frankly 119 surprised after his initial interview that there was a criminal history. During 120 and just immediately after, we initially started the process, and the criminal 121 history was there, and we worked through that. So Mr. Real worked for me at the jail as a corrections officer. He's done a stellar job. He acts now as 122 123 a person that I got to know during the initial interview and (unintelligible) to 124 be a law enforcement officer and he applied for that position. 125 CHAIR: Thank you. Mr. Stephenson, do you have an opening? DIRECTOR: Members of the Council, Mr. Real was appointed as a Deputy Sheriff for 126 127 the Red Willow County Sheriff's Office on January 16, 2025 and has 128 applied for the Basic Police Academy Training Course. I have reviewed 129 Mr. Real's application packet. The review consisted of comparing the 130 information supplied by Mr. Real and his agency, as well as additional 131 background information against the standards set forth in Nebraska 132 Administrative Law, Title 79 Chapter 8. The basis for denial of Mr. Real's 133 application is founded on the following grounds; Nebraska Code Title 79. 134 Chapter 8, Section 005.01F states, "The applicant has never been 135 convicted by any state, the United States, or by any foreign government of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term of one year or more. An 136

applicant will not be disqualified if such conviction has been overturned or reversed by a court of competent jurisdiction or a pardon has been obtained." On page 3 of Mr. Real's Personal Character Affidavit for the Nebraska Law Enforcement Certification Program question 5 reads, "I have not been convicted of a felony or any crime which carried a possible penalty of one year or more in prison or jail, or any crime which would have carried out such a penalty if committed in Nebraska (Class 1 Misdemeanor)". Mr. Real responded "False" to this question. Mr. Real did not provide any details to the event other than to say, "Times got hard, and I fell in with the wrong people and I had lost sight of what was right." On page 4 of the Personal Character Affidavit for the Nebraska Law Enforcement Certification program, Section III, Criminal Violations, Mr. Real listed the original charge as Possession with the Intent to Deliver on 12/21/1997. The amended charge as Attempted and Class A Misdemeanor. Mr. Real's Nebraska criminal history report show the original charge of Possession of a Controlled Substance with Intent/Marijuana, Class 4 Felony. The amended charge and convictions shows Attempt of a Class 4 Felony, Class 1 Misdemeanor, Mr. Real's Class 1 Misdemeanor conviction is in conflict with Nebraska Administrative Law, Title 79, Chapter 8, Section 005.01F. For this reason, Mr. Real's application for the Basic Police Academy training was denied. Thank you. Now it is time that further evidence can be presented to the CHAIR: Council. This may include written evidence, witness testimony and/or any

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160		unsworn statements by yourself or any other person. Sheriff Darling do
161		you have any written evidence for us to consider on behalf of your agency
162		or Mr. Real?
163	DARLING:	Beyond the exhibits that we sent in, the references, the letter of
164		explanation from Mr. Real I believe is Exhibit 2. So you have those items.
165	CHAIR:	Ok. Sheriff Darling, do you have any additional evidence or testimony to
166		offer?
167	DARLING:	No. I'll back that off. I do have. I didn't find out until, I have been off a
168		couple of days for personal reasons, I found out this morning that within
169		the corrections facility at our jail that Mr. Real received a promotion to
170		sergeant. So he's doing the job that I am expecting him to do.
171	CHAIR:	Mr. Real, do you have any evidence or testimony that you wish to present
172		on behalf of yourself?
173	REAL:	My evidence is presented there as Exhibit 2. As far as an oral statement,
174		based on the admitting "false" to the, "have you ever been convicted of a
175		felony", I will say that I was just not paying attention to the whole
176		statement when I read that. It was actually true. I had just seen the felony
177		portion of that statement, I read it and focused on felony, and I was not
178		convicted of one, so I put false. It was my negligence. I should have been
179		more thorough in reading through the process.
180	CHAIR:	Director Stephenson, do you have any additional evidence or testimony to
181		offer?
182	DIRECTOR:	No sir.

184 training center's response? DARLING: No. 185 Sheriff Darling, you may make your closing argument at this time. 186 CHAIR: My closing it refers to the exhibits that I would like to explain. The first is a 187 DARLING: letter to the board that, although he was charged with possession and the 188 intent to distribute, the situation was that he was turned in by, for whatever 189 reason, he wasn't physically distributing anything. He became a stash spot 190 for those that were. He didn't catch on to it quickly enough and was initially 191 charged with that. I don't think that's who he is, or who he was. Followed 192 by his years of service in the Army National Guard, his recommendations, 193 commendations. I discovered in all of the interviews that I did with his 194 references, talked about his military bearing and that's what I see every 195 day. Regardless, he's grown up and turned into a better person and I think 196 he can share those experiences and maybe (unintelligible). 197 Mr. Real, do you wish to make a closing argument of behalf of yourself? CHAIR: 198 Yes sir. What happened on that date that is recorded in that written 199 REAL: statement, I was very immature, small town boy in a big town. I was too 200 prideful. My explanation in my first primary letter was, I'm not the type of 201 person to go into depth about myself, I don't put my feelings out there very 202 well. I am a very cut and dried person, I apologize I should have been 203 more, had a better explanation of that but there is no excuse for what I did 204 at that time. I don't make excuses about it. It was wrong. I was, in part, 205

Sheriff Darling, do you have anything additional to offer in light of the

CHAIR:

183

206		cowardly. I guess because of my age and immaturity. I have learned a lot
207		since then. I have gone outside, since that incident, I have gone and
208		helped fellow veterans, people in my community. That situation has taught
209		me a lot, and I see the signs of it and now especially working in
210		corrections. I try to help people get past, move away from those scenes. I
211		am not always successful, but I do try. I believe this incident has given me
212		a different outlook when it comes to law enforcement. I have that
213		experience and to be able to identify and maybe help deter people from
214		further actions in the wrong direction. That's all I have.
215	CHAIR:	Director Stephenson, you may make your closing argument at this time.
216	DIRECTOR:	Thank you sir. Mr. Real disclosed all necessary information and provided
217		the requested legal documents which appear before you today in Exhibits
218		1 and 2. This case is being presented to you based upon that information.
219	CHAIR:	Mr. Real, I don't have that information in front of me, how old were you at
220		the time of the events took place?
221	REAL:	20 years old sir.
222	CHAIR:	And how old are you now?
223	REAL:	48.
224	CHAIR:	What was your service in the National Guard?
225	REAL:	I believe it was 19 Kilo. As an armor crew member with McCook National
226		Guard, first 95 th Armor Division and then as an 88 Mike I did tours in the
227		Balkans, Kuwait and then after the military I served with the Department of
228		Justice as a sub-contractor working overseas for 7 years.

229	CHAIR:	What was your total service time?
230	REAL:	8 years.
231	CHAIR:	8 years. Are there any questions from the Council for either side?
232	LONDON:	This was 28 years ago?
233	REAL:	Yes sir.
234	LONDON:	And it was, was it marijuana?
235	REAL:	Yes sir.
236	LONDON:	How much did you have?
237	REAL:	I'm not for sure. I can't remember the details off of my head.
238	LONDON:	You said it was about an ounce?
239	REAL:	An ounce, I think. I don't have the citation in front of me. I know that it was
240		an ounce, I'm not sure. I can't verify the amount. It's been twenty years
241		since I've seen the citation.
242	LONDON:	Were you doing drugs at the time?
243	REAL:	I was smoking, yes.
244	LONDON:	When is the last time that you smoked?
245	REAL:	(Unintelligible)
246	LONDON:	Have you had any other drug issues since then?
247	REAL:	No sir. None.
248	OSMOND:	When you talk about, I have two questions, what did you do to make
249		yourself better from that? How did you get past that? And you talk about
250		helping other people, what do you do to help other people?

251 REAL: It was a big disappointment with my family and a lot of my friends when 252 they found out what happened. And I kind of hit a low point. That was my 253 low point. After the incarceration, L-was incarcerated for a few days and 254 then I bonded out. I knew that I couldn't go back home. I remained 255 homeless for a while. In the city of Lincoln until a friend of mine happened 256 to come along and I stayed with him for a few days and then I was able to 257 make arrangements to come back to McCook. And from there, during this 258 time I was in the military and some of the senior NCOs that were in my 259 unit, after a good, pardon my language, an ass chewing, they took me 260 under their wing and straightened me up. And from there, within that first 261 year I was nominated for a soldier of the month award with the Nebraska 262 National Guard and by the end of the year I was nominated for Soldier of 263 the Year. I just focused on my military going forward. After my deployment 264 to the Balkans, coming home there was a few individuals we served with 265 that had some incidents over there, they had PTSD, they'd fallen off, they 266 kind of lost their way, so to speak. I was there to try and help them as best 267 as I could. I do the same thing currently now in the jail. I have one former 268 inmate that is currently seeking treatment. He's in either here in Grand 269 Island or in Minnesota, I can't remember but I got him help through the VA 270 to get him straightened out. I wish there was more that I could do but

272 **GRAHAM**: You did eight years?

273 **REAL**: Yes sir.

271

when I see it I try to help people out. I just try to avoid getting in trouble.

274	GRAHAM:	What was your rank when you got out?
275	REAL:	I should have got my 5, so E-4.
276	STILLE:	I guess I have a question for the Sheriff. You mentioned that you were
277		surprised by the criminal history statement, and it wasn't reflective of what
278		you saw in the interview with Mr. Real. Either your interview or your staff's
279		interview, what do you see as attributes, values, that you saw in him in the
280		interview that aligned with your values at the sheriff's office?
281	DARLING:	The respect, the feeling of a servant's attitude, I mentioned it a few times,
282		his military bearing has always been in the fore front. Willing to do what he
283		needs to do. He mentioned that he's pretty cut and dry and straight
284		forward. This is what we have to do, let's get it done. Mission oriented is, I
285		guess, a good term for it. He's someone I thought I could work with. Again,
286		keeping in line with my thoughts and my direction for the agency I thought
287		he fit that bill. And he's grown up he's from a different generation from
288		young kids today and a different mentality and that's difficult to find.
289	THOMAS:	I have a question. I haven't seen the exhibit yet, but I believe in something
290		that was said or read, said that times got hard and maybe that was a
291		reason for you being involved in, my question is, you said you were a
292		stash house? Can you kind of explain how you, just a little more details
293		about
294	REAL:	I was currently working with, I was in the training program for Burlington
295		Northern Santa Fe Railroad conductor program. Had been doing that for
296		6-7 months and they let a bunch of us go. The class was not supposed to
		21

297		be that big in the Nebraska Division where I was. I decided that I wanted
298		to stay in Lincoln. So I did and there was a lifestyle that I was having
299		involved working for the railroad that I wasn't able to afford. Kind of get
300		down on myself. That's when I started smoking the marijuana and, I hate
301		to say it but, the people that were in my neighborhood at the time were, I
302		didn't know, were gang affiliated. They took advantage of my immaturity
303		and my unknowledge of the world and I thought that they were leaving the
304		stuff just there for me, whatever, and come to find out that wasn't the
305		case. Which I found out later on after I was arrested. It was, I kind of fell
306		into the hole, I don't know what you want to call it, I don't have the word for
307		it. That's what I mean by hard times. It was, they were helping to provide
308		for me, they were using me to keep their drugs in the house.
309	THOMAS:	So what were they helping to provide for you?
310	REAL:	Well I never had a need for any food. I had rides anywhere I needed to go.
311		They bought food. We had parties. Everything else. They even paid the
312		rent at times.
313	THOMAS:	But you had no idea that they were stashing things in your house?
314	REAL:	I had no idea.
315	LONDON:	So if I am reading that right, on your personal character affidavit, so, you
316		referred to this before #3 where you marked true? You said you
317		mismarked something.

REAL:	This one here, #3 where it said have you been convicted of a felony. I
	focused on the felony words, I had never been convicted of a felony, so I
	marked false.
LONDON:	The bottom line that, you included your incident on, in 1997 you were
	arrested in 2004, that was part of your original application?
REAL:	Yes.
ROBY:	So to be clear on your conviction, it's a Class 1 Misdemeanor off of that
	original felony charge?
REAL:	Yes sir.
ROBY:	Were you actively distributing any of the marijuana?
REAL:	No sir.
ROBY:	Ok.
CHAIR:	So you said you got caught with an ounce but what kind of weight was
	going through the house?
REAL:	I can't say to that sir. I don't, I didn't understand any of that stuff that was
	going on. I mean, they said, here's an ounce and I was smoking regularly
	so it was my person consumption I wasn't selling or anything like that. I
	didn't know what to do. I hadn't gotten involved in what they were doing
	outside of my house.
CHAIR:	Did anyone else get arrested?
REAL:	No sir.
CHAIR:	Any other questions?
OSMOND:	I have one for the Sheriff. Do you polygraph in your interviews?
	LONDON: REAL: ROBY: REAL: ROBY: CHAIR: CHAIR: CHAIR: CHAIR:

341	DARLING:	No.
342	CHAIR:	Anything else? Is there a motion to go into executive session?
343	LONDON:	I would move that the Council go into executive session based on the
344		prevention of needless injury to the reputation of Michael Real and for the
345		purpose of executive session we will allow the following to remain in the
346		room; all Council members and our legal advisor Sara Bockstadter.
347	McCARTHY	Second.
348	GOBEL:	Sorry, who was second?
349	THOMAS:	McCarthy.
350	CHAIR:	Any discussion? Hearing none, let's vote.
351	GOBEL:	Sheriff London?
352	LONDON:	Yes.
353	GOBEL:	Mr. McCarthy?
354	McCARTHY	':Yes.
355	GOBEL:	Sheriff Osmond?
356	OSMOND:	Yes.
357	GOBEL:	Chief Reeves?
358	CHAIR:	Yes.
359	GOBEL:	Lt. Col. Roby?
360	ROBY:	Yes.
361	GOBEL:	Asst. Chief Stille?
362	STILLE:	Yes.
363	GOBEL:	Deputy Chief Thomas?
		24

364 THOMAS: Yes. GOBEL: Chief Graham? 365 Yes. GRAHAM: 366 Motion approved. We are in executive session at 9:48 a.m. GOBEL: 367 The meeting of the Police Standards Advisory Council will come to order. CHAIR: 368 The time is 10:19. Is there a motion to come out of executive session? 369 I make a motion to come out of executive session. GRAHAM: 370 I'll second. OSMOND: 371 A motion and a second to come out of executive session. Any discussion? CHAIR: 372 Hearing none, let's vote. 373 Mr. McCarthy? GOBEL: 374 McCARTHY: Yes. 375 Sheriff Osmond? GOBEL: 376 **OSMOND**: Yes. 377 Chief Reeves? GOBEL: 378 Yes. 379 CHAIR: Lt. Col. Roby? GOBEL: 380 Yes. ROBY: 381 Asst. Chief Stille? GOBEL: 382 Yes. STILLE: 383 **Deputy Chief Thomas?** 384 GOBEL: THOMAS: Yes. 385 Chief Graham? GOBEL: 386

387	GRAHAM:	Yes.
388	GOBEL:	Sheriff London?
389	LONDON:	Yes.
390	GOBEL:	Motion approved. We are out of executive session at 10:20 a.m.
391	CHAIR:	All parties are present when the Council went into executive session are
392		again present. Is there a motion?
393	LONDON:	Based upon clear and convincing evidence presented in the hearing today
394		in case number 25-PSAC-105 I hereby move that the Council affirms the
395		decision of the Director denying Michael Real's admission into basic
396		training on the basis that Mr. Real does not meet the qualifications for
397		admission.
398	ROBY:	I'll second that.
399	CHAIR:	Motion and a second. Any discussion on the motion? Hearing none, let's
400		vote.
401	GOBEL:	Sheriff Osmond?
402	OSMOND:	Yes.
403	GOBEL:	Chief Reeves?
404	CHAIR:	Yes.
405	GOBEL:	Lt. Col. Roby?
406	ROBY:	Yes.
407	GOBEL:	Asst. Chief Stille?
408	STILLE:	Yes.
409	GOBEL:	Deputy Chief Thomas?

26 07/16/2025 PSAC

410	THOMAS:	Yes.
411	GOBEL:	Chief Graham?
412	GRAHAM:	Yes.
413	GOBEL:	Sheriff London?
414	LONDON:	Yes.
415	GOBEL:	Mr. McCarthy?
416	McCARTHY	':Yes.
417	GOBEL:	Motion approved.
418	CHAIR:	Sheriff Darling, as you have heard, the Council has upheld the Director's
419		decision and denied your request for Mr. Real's admission into the basic
420		officer certification course. The Council will prepare written findings of fact
421		and conclusion of law pertaining to today's hearing and the Council's
422		decision. Where do you want the finding sent?
423	DARLING:	Red Willow County Sheriff's Office.
424	CHAIR:	To the Sheriff's Office?
425	DARLING:	Yes sir.
426	CHAIR:	Do you want those findings sent to you by US Mail or via email?
427	DARLING:	Email is fine.
428	CHAIR:	I'll think you'll find in those, find some guidance in those findings.
429		According to Title 79 of the Nebraska Administrative Code, Chapter 8,
430		section 008.02, the Council will render a decision and reduce its decision
431		in writing within 90 days of the hearing unless extended by order of the

4	432		presiding officer. Is there anything else from either side that needs to be
4	433		addressed?
2	434	DIRECTOR:	No sir.
2	435	DARLING:	No sir.
4	436	CHAIR:	This hearing is concluded. The time is 10:22 a.m.

1	The Council took a break from 10:23 a.m. to 10:30 a.m.		
2	B.	2. Aibor Huerta: South Sioux City Police Department (25-PSAC-106)	
3	CHAIR:	The matter now before the Police Standards Advisory Council is the Sioux	
4		City, South Sioux City Police Department on behalf of its applicant, Aibor	
5		Huerta versus the Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center, Case	
6		number 25-PSAC-106. I will now call the hearing to order at 10:30 a.m.	
7	CHAIR:	I will note that the following Council members are present; myself Chief	
8		Steve Reeves, Lt. Col. Jeff Roby, Chief Paul Graham, Sheriff Greg	
9		London, Sheriff Dan Osmond, Mr. Matthew McCarthy, Deputy Chief	
10		Sherie Thomas and Asst. Chief Jason Stille. I will note the following	
11		Council members are not present; Sgt. Drew Bolzer of the Lancaster	
12		County Sheriff's Office.	
13	CHAIR:	I will note that there is a quorum of the Council present, so this hearing	
14		may proceed. Attorney Sara Bockstadter is here as legal advisor to the	
15		Council.	
16	CHAIR:	The Council has been asked by the Petitioner, South Sioux City Police	
17		Department to consider reversing Director Stephenson's denial of Mr.	
18		Huerta's entrance into the Basic Law Enforcement Officer Certification	
19		course.	
20	CHAIR:	The Council is here pursuant to its authority as stated in Nebraska	
21		Revised Statute 81-1403, its related statutes and Title 79 of the Nebraska	
22		Administrative Code. I will note that the petitioner, Chief Edward Mahon is	

23 present along with, and the petitioner's applicant Aibor Huerta is not 24 present. Chief Mahon are you presented by Counsel? 25 MAHON: No. CHAIR: 26 Chief Mahon, since South Sioux City Police Department has appealed the Director's decision, I will direct the rest of the proceedings to you in a 27 28 moment. The Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center's Director Mark 29 Stephenson, who is present without counsel, will present the case on 30 behalf of the Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center. Does either 31 party contest the Council's authority to hear this type of case? **DIRECTOR:** No sir. 32 MAHON: No sir. 33 34 CHAIR: Title 79 of the Nebraska Administrative Code, Chapter 8, specifies the 35 requirements that must be met by an applicant in order to be eligible for 36 the Basic Law Enforcement Certification course. Pursuant to Title 79, 37 Chapter 8, section 008.01, upon request of an applicant or agency, the 38 Council will conduct a hearing in order to determine whether the applicant 39 meets the minimum qualification for admission into a certification course. 40 The burden during the appeal hearing is on the applicant to demonstrate 41 by clear and convincing evidence that he meets admission qualifications. Per Title 79, Chapter 13, section 004.02(G), the issues of this appeal will 42 43 be limited to those raised in the request for review and reconsideration as 44 provided in section 004.02(C) and Director's written decision in response. 45 The decision of the Council is final.

46	CHAIR:	Chief Mahon, I want to go over your rights in this Administrative Hearing.
47		You have the right to be heard, either in person or by counsel who will hire
48		at your own expense. You have a right to notice; that is to receive a
49		statement from the State, the Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center
50		detailing or justifying its actions in this matter. Do you understand those
51		rights?
52	MAHON:	Yes sir.
53	CHAIR:	Did you receive notice via letters from Director Stephenson stating his
54		reasons for denial of Aibor Huerta's basic application?
55	MAHON:	Yes sir.
56	CHAIR:	Did you notice any defects in those letters that prejudiced you from
57		presenting your case?
58	MAHON:	I did not.
59	CHAIR:	Additionally, you have a right to present evidence at this hearing, a right to
60		the Council's findings and the right to seek judicial review thereafter. Do
61		you understand these rights?
62	MAHON:	l do.
63	CHAIR:	The Police Standards Advisory Council shall act as the Hearing Board for
64		this appeal. Neither I, nor any other Council members, will be witness for
65		either side and I am not aware of any matter which I believe may be
66		grounds for challenge by either sided against me or the other members.
67		As it pertains to your appeal of the Director's decision to your applicant's
68		entrance into the basic officer certification course I have not had any
		31

personal information conveyed to me nor do I have any personal 69 70 knowledge of this case. Does any Council member have any personal 71 knowledge of the petitioner's appeal for entrance into the basic officer 72 certification course? Lt. Col. Roby? ROBY: No. 73 Chief Graham? 74 CHAIR: 75 GRAHAM: No. Sheriff London? CHAIR: 76 LONDON: 77 No. CHAIR: Sheriff Osmond? 78 79 OSMOND: No. Mr. McCarthy? 80 CHAIR: McCARTHY: Nope. 81 CHAIR: Deputy Chief Thomas? 82 83 THOMAS: No. CHAIR: Asst. Chief Stille? 84 STILLE: 85 No. 86 CHAIR: Does either side have any further questions or challenge for cause against any member of the Council? 87 **DIRECTOR:** No sir. 88 MAHON: No sir. 89 90 CHAIR: Director Stephenson, do you have any procedural documents for this case? And if so, please present them to the Council at this time. 91

32 07/16/2025 PSAC

92	DIRECTOR:	Yes I do. May I approach?
93	CHAIR:	Yes.
94	DIRECTOR:	I have two exhibits, both are contained in this binder. Exhibit 1 would be
95		the application for admission documents. The second Exhibit is the denial
96		packet which includes the correspondence between myself and the Sioux
97		City Police Department, also the last tab for the supporting documents,
98		these were documents provided by Chief Mahon; letters of
99		recommendation being submitted with his request for reconsideration.
100	CHAIR:	Chief Mahon, do you have any objection to the receipt of these?
101	MAHON:	I do not.
102	CHAIR:	Let the record reflect that Exhibits 1 and 2 are received. Does either side
103		wish to address any further preliminary matters?
104	DIRECTOR:	No sir.
105	MAHON:	No sir.
106	OLIAID	Chief Mahon, as the petitioner, you may make an opening statement at
106	CHAIR:	
107	CHAIR:	this time. Keep in mind this is an opening statement. You can present your
	CHAIR:	
107	MAHON:	this time. Keep in mind this is an opening statement. You can present your
107 108		this time. Keep in mind this is an opening statement. You can present your case in a moment.
107 108 ₂ 109		this time. Keep in mind this is an opening statement. You can present your case in a moment. Yes sir. In September of '24 we began the application process for our civil
107 108 109 110		this time. Keep in mind this is an opening statement. You can present your case in a moment. Yes sir. In September of '24 we began the application process for our civil service list for hires. On October 5 th of that same year we began testing.
107 108 - 109 110 111		this time. Keep in mind this is an opening statement. You can present your case in a moment. Yes sir. In September of '24 we began the application process for our civil service list for hires. On October 5 th of that same year we began testing. After testing background checks were completed. November 6 th Mr.
107 108 - 109 110 111 112		this time. Keep in mind this is an opening statement. You can present your case in a moment. Yes sir. In September of '24 we began the application process for our civil service list for hires. On October 5 th of that same year we began testing. After testing background checks were completed. November 6 th Mr. Huerta was placed on the list. March 24 th , the start date of his being hired.

115 denied. We decided to move his application to the September camp to give us more time to go through FTO with him, find out more of additional 116 117 background checking and then reapply for the September camp. 118 CHAIR: Thank you. Director Stephenson, do you wish to make an opening 119 statement? 120 **DIRECTOR:** Yes sir. Members of the Council, Mr. Huerta was appointed as a police 121 officer by the South Sioux City Police Department on March 6th, 2025 and 122 has applied for the Basic Police Academy training course. I have reviewed 123 Mr. Huerta's application packet. The review consisted of comparing the 124 information supplied by Mr. Huerta and his agency, as well as additional 125 background information against the standards set forth in Nebraska 126 Administrative Law, Title 79, Chapter 8. The basis for denial of Mr. Huerta's application is founded on the following grounds; Nebraska 127 128 Administrative Code Title 79, Chapter 8, section 005.02(A)(6)(b) states, 129 "Has not used marijuana for any purpose in the last two (2) years." On page 3 of the Personal Character Affidavit for the Nebraska Law 130 131 Enforcement Certification, question 1 states, "I have not used marijuana for any purpose in the two years preceding this application for admission 132 to certification training/testing." Mr. Huerta responded, "False". Mr. Huerta 133 134 state on page 12, "he used marijuana while on vacation in Colorado in January of 2024." Mr. Huerta's admission to using marijuana 18 months 135 136 prior to the application is in direct conflict with Nebraska Administrative 137 Law Title 79, Chapter 8, section 005.02(A)(6)(b). For this reason Mr.

Huerta's application to the Basic Police Academy Training course was 138 denied. 139 Thank you. Now is the time further evidence can be presented to the CHAIR: 140 Council. This may include written evidence, witness testimony, and/or any 141 unsworn statements by yourself or any other person. Chief Mahon, do you 142 have any written evidence for us to consider on behalf of your agency or 143 Mr. Huerta other than what's been received at this point. 144 I do not. 145 MAHON: Chief Mahon, do you have any additional evidence or testimony to offer? CHAIR: 146 I do. As I said earlier we began the process to gather a list together on MAHON: 147 September 18th. Mr. Huerta did fully complete all of the appropriate 148 paperwork we required. He did admit that he did use marijuana. I believe 149 on that date he said January 12th he went to the Colorado on vacation. 150 Where it is legal. He did consume, I think he smoked a joint or a marijuana 151 cigarette. And we went through the Civil Service Commission. That was 152 discussed. Everybody felt that due to his other abilities he was put on the 153 list. We did so. Subsequently, we had somebody leave us on March 24th 154 and he was offered the position. According to the academy, this is no 155 one's fault but my own, I wasn't aware of the 2 years. I knew that he had 156 used marijuana. In the background we found nothing that was derogatory 157 whatsoever. He's worked for corrections, I believe in Lincoln. He got 158 extremely excellent (unintelligible) and reviews. In going through the 159 interview process, he was just that kind of person we want at South Sioux. 160

161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182

183

He is bilingual from the Hispanic community. South Sioux City is very mixed. Many different cultures. He wants to come to South Sioux, he went to, he advised me that he went to Lincoln for corrections because he wanted to get law enforcement experience. But he did so. He applied because his main goal and intent was to come back to South Sioux and work in his community to be close to his family and some friends and be in the community. He doesn't wish to leave. I guess that's his main goal. In the interviews with the officers, the review boards, he had excellent scores, and we started the training program he got nothing but good reviews. In the FTO I forwarded you his paperwork. He comes in early. No negative, he comes in with little law enforcement experience which is to be expected. You can't bring somebody in thinking they are going to be (unintelligible) where he rates high on, is how he deals with people, how he talks to people, how he relates and his ability to take constructive criticism and try to work hard to be. So everything you can teach, he has. And he's exactly what, the kind of officer we need at South Sioux. The ones that are from the community that will stay here and not go to another jurisdiction because of more money. Again, it's not his fault that I was unaware of the two year. I take full responsibility on that. We removed him from May because I believe, after talking to the director, it's just too soon. We were hopeful that with the totality of the circumstances and hopefully. possibly get an exemption to get him into September. We are currently a 20 man department. We are a 20 officer department. Our patrol base will

184		be 16. Due to losses at South Sioux City, with one coming up for
185		retirement we are going to be 25% down and so to get individuals to stay
186		and get them certified so they can get out there, it's kind of paramount for
187		us at this time. I would like to just say, I hope you do have some
188		consideration because I think he would be an excellent officer, and I
189		understand rules and regulations I just hope you have some
190		consideration.
191	CHAIR:	Director Stephenson, do you have any questions of the Chief?
192	DIRECTOR	: No sir.
193	CHAIR:	Council members, do you have any questions?
194	LONDON:	Was January 12 th of 2024?
195	MAHON:	2024.
195 196	MAHON: GRAHAM:	2024. One time use?
196	GRAHAM:	One time use?
196 197	GRAHAM:	One time use? That was his last use. I think before, I can be quite frank, I think he used
196 197 198	GRAHAM:	One time use? That was his last use. I think before, I can be quite frank, I think he used some in high school. I think there's occasional use but since he redirected
196 197 198 199	GRAHAM:	One time use? That was his last use. I think before, I can be quite frank, I think he used some in high school. I think there's occasional use but since he redirected himself and especially trying to go into law enforcement, that was the last
196 197 198 199 200	GRAHAM:	One time use? That was his last use. I think before, I can be quite frank, I think he used some in high school. I think there's occasional use but since he redirected himself and especially trying to go into law enforcement, that was the last time he used and we don't have a polygraph so the truth is the truth and I
196 197 198 199 200 201	GRAHAM:	One time use? That was his last use. I think before, I can be quite frank, I think he used some in high school. I think there's occasional use but since he redirected himself and especially trying to go into law enforcement, that was the last time he used and we don't have a polygraph so the truth is the truth and I think that the Civil Service Commission and everybody that did interviews
196 197 198 199 200 201 202	GRAHAM:	One time use? That was his last use. I think before, I can be quite frank, I think he used some in high school. I think there's occasional use but since he redirected himself and especially trying to go into law enforcement, that was the last time he used and we don't have a polygraph so the truth is the truth and I think that the Civil Service Commission and everybody that did interviews and review boards thought that sometimes, I put it in my letter, the truth is

206		deny that he did use. I thought initially that it was a gummy, but I believe
207		correctly that it would have been a marijuana cigarette.
208	STILLE:	Chief, can you outline what your hiring and background process entails?
209	MAHON:	Ok. They make an application process and then it has a criminal history.
210		Everything that the Civil Service Commission desires. Then once we go
211		through the initial screening which would have said that he smoked
212		marijuana at this date, they decide to kick out or not kick out, so our Civil
213		Service said in their opinion that would be understandable or at least
214		allowable at that time. Then it goes to our investigators and a background
215		is done. With any persons that they said for their background; past
216		employers, high school. It is as in depth as we can get with the people that
217		return our calls. I know that we had very strong recommendation from
218		corrections and other employees, employers that had him. Very
219		trustworthy. We never had anything that didn't come from Aibor that was
220		negative. He admitted to two things. He got fired from a job when he was
221		a young man and didn't show up for work or something and the smoking
222		of marijuana.
223	CHAIR:	I know you said it, but where was he working when you hired him?
224	MAHON:	Corrections I believe in Lincoln.
225	OSMOND:	Why isn't he here today?
226	MAHON:	You know, again my error. I just knew what had happened and this is the
227		first appeal we've ever had, and I've never came down. I didn't know the,
228		probably in retrospect, that was my second mistake. He should be here.
		38

229		He should be able to speak for himself and that was my error. I had the
230		factual basis presented to you but in retrospect that's on me.
231	ROBY:	Chief with this September training course that you are trying to get into,
232		when does that graduate and what's your FTO look like?
233	MAHON:	Well the FTO, we can get him through, we started the FTO process after
234		the May academy and he should be pretty close to finishing that out, he
235		should be able, I believe when that process is done he won't really have
236		any weeks left after September to get caught up on. To be quite frank,
237		with the 12 th date we would really love to get him into September. Should
238		that not happen then my next question would be, the next camp, as you
239		well know is January 4 ^{th,} so we have, best case, 10 more days. That
240		causes me great concern because he put his faith in our department in
241		May and I don't know what I can do to hold on to him if we don't get him in
242		in September or January and he left a very good job to be home. That's
243		my biggest concern. Not only is he a good quality candidate but that's
244		concerning. And I would like direction on that depending on what you guys
245		decide.
246	CHAIR:	Thank you.
247	MAHON:	Yes sir.
248	CHAIR:	Any other questions?
249	OSMOND:	These are questions that I would ask him if he was here, but do you know
250		if he's done anything, what did he do so he doesn't smoke marijuana
251		anymore, do you know?

252	MAHON:	I do not know. He made a decision during his early, he's made a choice
253		and a direction. It's a process now. Why he did in January, I don't know.
254	OSMOND:	Do you of anything that he does in the community, like helping the
255		community, attending certain things, positive social contributions?
256	MAHON:	Yes. He's very into soccer, He was in soccer before in South Sioux. That's
257		one of his passions. He would like to get back into the school as an officer,
258		maybe an SRO. To get back and do some things with the community and
259		with children. He is also interested in, with our diverse community, there
260		are many, many opportunities to do outreach in all of the different, there
261		are numerous non-profits that need officers, but they need to be the right
262		officers that can really promote, not only themselves but the police
263		department in inter-racial cooperation. Right now it's a very good point.
264		Even with the worse problems in the nation we have a very good
265		community relations with our community, different communities and that's
266		basically due to the officer's mindset and we need as many of those as we
267		can.
268	CHAIR:	Anything else?
269	CHAIR:	Chief, do you have any further evidence?
270	MAHON:	No sir.
271	CHAIR:	Director Stephenson, do you have any additional evidence or testimony to
272		offer?
273	DIRECTOR:	No I do not. Just a closing.
274	CHAIR:	Chief Mahon, you may make your closing argument.
		40

275	MAHON:	I fully understand the rules and directives of the State and the board. I
276		would hope that you would again, not to be too redundant, but the totality
277		of the circumstances aren't meaningless. What this gentleman can give to
278		us, the circumstances, where it occurred and when it occurred and would
279		just like your (unintelligible).
280	CHAIR:	Thank you. Director Stephenson, you may make your closing arguments.
281	DIRECTOR:	Yes sir. Mr. Huerta disclosed all necessary information and provided the
282		requested legal documents which appear before you today in Exhibits 1
283		and 2 and this case is being presented to you based on that information.
284	CHAIR:	Are there any further questions from the Council for either side? Is there a
285		motion to go into executive session?
286	OSMOND:	I would move that the Council go into executive session based on the
287		prevention of needless injury to the reputation of Aibor Huerta and for the
288		purpose of the executive session we will allow the following to remain in
289		the room; all Council members and legal advisor Sara Bockstadter.
290	LONDON:	Second.
291	CHAIR:	Any discussion on the motion? Hearing none, let's vote.
292	GOBEL:	Chief Reeves?
293	CHAIR:	Yes.
294	GOBEL:	Lt. Col. Roby?
295	ROBY:	Yes.
296	GOBEL:	Asst. Chief Stille?
297	STILLE:	Yes.

298	GOBEL:	Deputy Chief Thomas?
299	THOMAS:	Yes.
300	GOBEL:	Chief Graham?
301	GRAHAM:	Yes.
302	GOBEL:	Sheriff London?
303	LONDON:	Yes.
304	GOBEL:	Mr. McCarthy?
305	McCARTHY	':Yes.
306	GOBEL:	Sheriff Osmond?
307	OSMOND:	Yes.
308	GOBEL:	Motion approved. We are in executive session at 10:51 a.m.
309	CHAIR:	The meeting of the Police Standards Advisory Council will come to order.
310		Is there a motion to come out of executive session?
311	GRAHAM:	I make a motion to come out of executive session.
312	OSMOND:	I'll second that.
313	CHAIR:	Any discussion on the motion? Hearing none let's vote.
314	GOBEL:	Lt. Col. Roby?
315	ROBY:	Yes.
316	GOBEL:	Asst. Chief Stille?
317	STILLE:	Yes.
318	GOBEL:	Deputy Chief Thomas?
319	THOMAS:	No.
320	GOBEL:	We are just coming out of executive session.
		42

321	THOMAS:	Oh, yes.
322	GOBEL:	Chief Graham?
323	GRAHAM:	Yes.
324	GOBEL:	Sheriff London?
325	LONDON:	Yes.
326	GOBEL:	Mr. McCarthy?
327	McCARTHY	:Yes.
328	GOBEL:	Sheriff Osmond?
329	OSMOND:	Yes.
330	GOBEL:	Chief Reeves?
331	CHAIR:	Yes.
332	GOBEL:	Motion approved. We are out of executive session at 11:29 a.m.
333	CHAIR:	All parties present when the Council went into executive session are again
334		present. Is there a motion?
335	LONDON:	Yes. Based upon clear and convincing evidence presented in the hearing
336		today in case number 25-PSAC-106 I hereby move that the Council affirm
337		the decision of the Director denying Aibor Huerta's admission into basic
338		training on the basis that Mr. Huerta does not meet the qualifications for
339		admission.
340	ROBY:	I'll second that.
341	CHAIR:	A motion and a second. Any discussion on the motion? Hearing none let's
342		vote.
343	GOBEL:	Asst. Chief Stille?

STILLE: 344 No. Deputy Chief Thomas? 345 GOBEL: THOMAS: No. 346 GOBEL: Chief Graham? 347 348 GRAHAM: Yes. Sheriff London? 349 GOBEL: 350 LONDON: Yes. Mr. McCarthy? 351 GOBEL: McCARTHY: No. 352 353 GOBEL: Sheriff Osmond? OSMOND: No. 354 Chief Reeves? GOBEL: 355 356 CHAIR: Yes. 357 GOBEL: Lt. Col. Roby? ROBY: Yes. 358 GOBEL: That is a tie. Four, I have four yes and four no. 359 360 SARA: So the motion fails. 361 GOBEL: Ok. 362 CHAIR: Chief Mahon, as you have heard the Council has granted your appeala Sorry Chair. So if the motion fails then what is the end result? 363 LONDON: 364 SARA: The motion dies. 365 LONDON: My motion dies? 366 SARA: Correct.

367	OSMOND:	Yes.
368	CHAIR:	Ok.
369	SARA:	So now someone else can make a motion, you can make the same
370		motion. If you need discussion.
371	LONDON:	If my motion dies, eventually PSAC is going to have to make some kind of
372		a decision, so the director knows what to do.
373	SARA:	But you are not prevented from bringing the same motion again if you so
374		desire. Somebody has just got to bring another motion as to what we are
375		doing with this issue today. You can table it for further discussion or
376		evaluation and reschedule it for the next PSAC meeting. You could make
377		a motion to deny the decision of the Director, or your other option is to
378		make a motion to uphold the Director's decision.
379	CHAIR:	If you table it and carry it to the next meeting, can any further evidence be
380		presented by either side?
381	SARA:	No.
382	THOMAS:	If we table to next month can we request that the applicant be present?
383	SARA:	The evidence has been closed, and you have to have a hearing within
384		sixty days of the appeal. So we've had our hearing so it's just coming
385		down to what do we do with it. Are you needing further time to think about
386		it? And come back with a decision. You have a decision to make today. It
387		will all depend on the motion that's brought up.
388	McCARTHY	:I make a motion to table it to next month.
389	LONDON:	If we table it, what's the purpose of tabling it?

390	McCARTHY	:We are at the time now that I don't see that changing in the next 30
391		seconds.
392	LONDON:	But why would that change next month?
393	McCARTHY	': I don't know.
394	OSMOND:	Can we go back into executive session to discuss it more?
395	McCARTHY	:Can we go back into executive session?
396	SARA:	I don't know why you couldn't. There hasn't been a decision reached. And
397		if you feel like there needs to be further discussion.
398	McCARTHY	:I withdraw my motion.
399	CHAIR:	Mr. McCarthy will withdraw his motion to table.
400	OSMOND:	I would move that the Council goes into executive session based on the
401		prevention of needless injury to the reputation of Aibor Huerta and for the
402		purpose of executive session we will allow the following to remain in the
403		room; all Council members and legal advisor Sara Bockstadter.
404	LONDON:	I'll second that.
405	CHAIR:	Any discussion on the motion? Let's vote.
406	GOBEL:	Deputy Chief Thomas?
407	THOMAS:	Yes.
408	GOBEL:	Chief Graham?
409	GRAHAM:	Yes.
410	GOBEL:	Sheriff London?
411	LONDON:	Yes.
412	GOBEL:	Mr. McCarthy?

McCARTHY: Yes. 413 GOBEL: Sheriff Osmond? 414 OSMOND: Yes. 415 Chief Reeves? GOBEL: 416 417 CHAIR: Yes. Lt. Col. Roby? GOBEL: 418 ROBY: 419 Yes. GOBEL: Asst. Chief Stille? 420 STILLE: Yes. 421 Motion approved. We are back in executive session at 11:34 a.m. 422 GOBEL: Will the Police Standards Advisory Council come to order. Is there a 423 CHAIR: 424 motion to come out of executive session? GRAHAM: I make a motion to come out. 425 426 STILLE: Second. 427 GOBEL: Who was second? ROBY: Stille? 428 Any discussion on the motion to come out of executive session? Hearing 429 CHAIR: none, let's vote. 430 Chief Graham? GOBEL: 431 432 GRAHAM: Yes. Sheriff London? 433 GOBEL: LONDON: Yes. 434 GOBEL: Mr. McCarthy? 435

436	McCARTHY	':Yes.
437	GOBEL:	Sheriff Osmond?
438	OSMOND:	Yes.
439	GOBEL:	Chief Reeves?
440	CHAIR:	Yes.
441	GOBEL:	Lt. Col. Roby?
442	ROBY:	Yes.
443	GOBEL:	Asst. Chief Stille?
444	STILLE:	Yes.
445	GOBEL:	Deputy Chief Thomas?
446	THOMAS:	Yes.
447	GOBEL:	Motion approved. We are out of executive session at 11:49 a.m.
447 448	GOBEL: CHAIR:	Motion approved. We are out of executive session at 11:49 a.m. All parties present when the Council went into executive session are again
448		All parties present when the Council went into executive session are again
448 449	CHAIR:	All parties present when the Council went into executive session are again present. Is there a motion?
448 449 450	CHAIR:	All parties present when the Council went into executive session are again present. Is there a motion? Yes. Based upon clear and convincing evidence presented in the hearing
448 449 450 451	CHAIR:	All parties present when the Council went into executive session are again present. Is there a motion? Yes. Based upon clear and convincing evidence presented in the hearing today in case number 25-PSAC-106, I hereby move that the Council affirm
448 449 450 451 452	CHAIR:	All parties present when the Council went into executive session are again present. Is there a motion? Yes. Based upon clear and convincing evidence presented in the hearing today in case number 25-PSAC-106, I hereby move that the Council affirm the decision of the Director denying Aibor Huerta's admission into basic
448 449 450 451 452 453	CHAIR:	All parties present when the Council went into executive session are again present. Is there a motion? Yes. Based upon clear and convincing evidence presented in the hearing today in case number 25-PSAC-106, I hereby move that the Council affirm the decision of the Director denying Aibor Huerta's admission into basic training on the basis that Mr. Huerta does not meet the qualifications for
448 449 450 451 452 453 454	CHAIR:	All parties present when the Council went into executive session are again present. Is there a motion? Yes. Based upon clear and convincing evidence presented in the hearing today in case number 25-PSAC-106, I hereby move that the Council affirm the decision of the Director denying Aibor Huerta's admission into basic training on the basis that Mr. Huerta does not meet the qualifications for admission.
448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455	CHAIR: LONDON: ROBY:	All parties present when the Council went into executive session are again present. Is there a motion? Yes. Based upon clear and convincing evidence presented in the hearing today in case number 25-PSAC-106, I hereby move that the Council affirm the decision of the Director denying Aibor Huerta's admission into basic training on the basis that Mr. Huerta does not meet the qualifications for admission. I'll second the motion.

458 OSMOND: I think in this case, in order for us to overturn the Director's decision, the denial, there's a lot of mitigating circumstances that have to be met and 459 there's been a lot of discussion on those today and I, after more 460 discussion, I'm not sure that we've heard enough of those. 461 Any other discussion on the motion? Hearing no further discussion, let's CHAIR: 462 vote. 463 GOBEL: Sheriff London? 464 LONDON: Yes. 465 GOBEL: Mr. McCarthy? 466 467 McCARTHY: No. Sheriff Osmond? GOBEL: 468 469 OSMOND: Yes. GOBEL: Chief Reeves? 470 CHAIR: Yes. 471 Lt. Col. Roby? 472 GOBEL: 473 ROBY: Yes. Asst. Chief Stille? 474 GOBEL: 475 STILLE: No. GOBEL: Deputy Chief Thomas? 476 THOMAS: No. 477 GOBEL: Chief Graham? 478 GRAHAM: Yes. 479 GOBEL: Motion approved. 480

481	CHAIR:	Chief Mahon, as you've heard, the Council has upheld the Director's
482		decision and denied your request for Mr. Huerta's admission into the basic
483		officer certification course. Should you choose to re-apply and should an
484		appeal happen again we strongly encourage you to bring your candidate.
485	MAHON:	Yes sir.
486	CHAIR:	The Council will prepare written findings of fact and conclusions of law
487		pertaining to today's hearing and the Council's decision. Where do you
488		want your findings sent?
489	MAHON:	The South Sioux City Police Department. You can do it electronically too.
490	CHAIR:	Ok. According to Title 79 of the Nebraska Administrative Code, Chapter 8,
491		section 008.02, the Council will render a decision and reduce its decision
492		in writing within 90 days of the hearing, unless extended by order of the
493		presiding officer. Is there anything else from either side that needs to be
494		addressed?
495	DIRECTOR:	No sir.
496	MAHON:	Could I ask a couple of questions sir?
497	CHAIR:	Go ahead.
498	MAHON:	The timeframe between now and the next application period for, I assume,
499		the January academy, what status can I keep him? Can I keep him
500		working at the police department in any capacity?
501	CHAIR:	I'm going to defer to
502	MAHON:	And if I apply again, if we put his application for the January 4th academy,
503		will that trigger another hearing here?
		50 07/16/2025

504	CHAIR:	I would suggest that you get with Mr. Stephenson on some time frames.
505	MAHON:	Ok. And just a last statement. I know that this is a very difficult thing that
506		you guys do. I appreciate your consideration, and I will do everything that I
507		can for our department so that this doesn't come up again. I will do
508		everything I can to (unintelligible) for our person and I should have had
509		him here and should we re-apply again and if we are denied, he will be
510		here.
511	CHAIR:	Thank you. This hearing is concluded. The time is 11:53 a.m.

H. Hiring Bonus Grant Approvals

Name Agency Robert Rusk Chase Co SO Edna Medina-Rosales Dawson Co SO Nathan Karr Hamilton Co SO Nikolaus Statz Hastings PD Deitrik Brueggeman Ogallala PD Jonathan Dickman Seward Co SO Jonathan West Seward Co SO

Tommy Nguyen UNL PD David Wobken York PD

Jessica Wagoner reported that the compliance team vetted all of the applications listed under item H using the NLETC database. It was determined that all of the applicants listed have met the requirements except for Nathan Karr. Jessica Wagoner requested that the Council make a determination on Mr. Karr. Mr. Karr was hired by the Merrick County Sheriff's Office on 8/13/2024. Merrick County pulled him from basic training 12/5/2024 approximately a week before graduation. He was in good standing. Then he was hired by Hamilton County Sheriff's Office on 12/18/2024. He had to only complete RADAR training to receive a law enforcement certificate. He completed RADAR and received his certificate on January 29, 2025. After discussion and reviewing the statute the Councill made the following motion.

MOTION

Sheriff London: I will make the motion that we approve the hiring bonus grants for everyone listed on the agenda. Chief Graham seconded the motion.

Voting in favor: Mr. McCarthy, Sheriff Osmond, Lt. Col. Roby, Asst. Chief Stille, Deputy Chief Thomas, Chief Graham, Sheriff London. Voting against: Chief Reeves. Motion approved.

VI. Other Business

A. Informational Items

- 1. Trainees: The trainees were listed on the agenda for the Council's information. No action needed.
- 2. NCO's Completed 80 hours of training: The NCO's who have completed 80 hours of training were listed on the agenda for the Council's information. No action needed.

B. Date, Time & Location of Next Meeting

August 20, 2025, 9:00 A.M., Library, Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center, 3600 North Academy Road, Grand Island, Nebraska 68801.

Hearing no further business, Chief Reeves adjourned the meeting at 11:59 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven Gobel, Secretary to the Police Standards Advisory Council