[North Central Nebraska Juvenile Services]

Comprehensive Juvenile Services

Community Plan

July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2025

[Holt, Boyd, Brown, and Wheeler Counties]

Prepared By: Coordinator of the Plan and Program Director
Trisha Ruzek
88042 484th Ave
Atkinson, NE 68713
402-340-3177
trisha.holtjuvserv@gmail.com

Chair of Team: County Supervisor's Board Chairman
William Tielke
88154 483rd Ave
Atkinson, NE 68713
402-336-4196
wjtielke@telebeep.com

Council/ Board Chair: Kelli Munoz
Diversion Coordinator
88154 483rd Ave
O'Neill, NE 68763
402-336-4196
kellimunoz1980@gmail.com

Introduction

HOLT COUNTY

Holt County is the larger county of the three, both in terms of size and population. Holt County encompasses 2,413 square miles and the county seat of O'Neill, the population 4,887 is the major commercial center of the county. The other towns in the county are Atkinson (2,212), Stuart (590), Ewing (387), and Chambers (268). The county is bordered by 8 other rural counties. The county is traversed northwest and southeast by State Highway 20 and 275. State Highway 281 divides the county going north and south. Highway 11 runs north and south through Atkinson. Highway 95 connects 281 and 11 on the south side of the county.

*Source: U.S. Census 2010

BOYD COUNTY

Boyd County is an exclusively rural county of an estimated 1,982 residents and encompasses 544 square miles, its county seat is Butte. There are 3 communities in Boyd County. These are Spencer (455), Butte (326) and Lynch (245). The Niobrara River runs through the southern part of the county, west to east, separating it from Holt County. State highway 281 divides the county going north and south through Spencer. Highway 11 runs north and south through Butte.

*Source: U.S. Census 2010

BROWN COUNTY

Brown County is also an exclusively rural county with an estimated population of 3,145 as of the 2010 US Census encompasses 1,225 square miles. Its county seat is Ainsworth (1,728). The other towns in the county are Long Pine(305) and Johnstown(64). The Niobrara River borders the north part of the county, separating it from Keya Paha County. State Highway 20 runs east and west through the county. Highway 7 runs south and highway 183 runs north.

*Source: U.S. Census 2010

WHEELER COUNTY

Wheeler County is also an exclusively rural county and an extremely low populated county with an estimated population of 818. Its county seat is Bartlett. The only other town in this county is Ericson. State Highway 281 divides the county going north and south.

*Source: U.S. Census 2010

Data Summary

Holt County

Youth Level

- Holt County has higher than state averages of youth who qualify for free/reduced lunch, a little less ½ of the students in the last two years.
- Graduation rates are very high for Holt County the last 5 years.
- More 12th grade youth report losing sleep from worry compared to the state.
- More 10th and 12th graders report higher rates of use of alcohol, tobacco, and vaping compared to the state; 10th graders also report higher rates of binge drinking.
- 6.7% of 12th graders report gang involvement.
- Arrest rates have gone down for all age groups in Holt County...
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer
 picture of RED. Compared to the overall population of Holt County, Hispanic youth are
 overrepresented in all system points except for having multiple charges filed and probation
 underrides.
- Once referred, youth of all race/ethnicity are enrolling and successfully completing diversion at the rate to which they were referred.

Family Level

- Holt County has a higher rate of extreme poverty compared to the state
- Number of people with bachelor's degrees is lower compared to the state; this could be a result of residents going to college in a different community and not returning to Holt County.
- The number of youths with access to computers is higher than the state average, but access to internet is lower.
- 8th and 10th graders report feeling less supported by adults at home compared to the state.
 - All grades report higher rates of support from adults at school comparted to the state.
- ¾ of abuse/neglect cases are unfounded.

 Youth perceptions of community attitudes on alcohol use is lower than the state for all grades; 10th and 12th graders also report lower perceptions of community attitudes on alcohol and cigarettes.

Community Level

- Youth perceptions of community attitudes on alcohol use is lower than the state for all grades; 10th and 12th graders also report lower perceptions of community attitudes on alcohol and cigarettes.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice,
 or other treatment).
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; however, access to counsel is similar to the state average, but still lower than ideal.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
- Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
- It is not clear why all first-time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.

Community Team Level

- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- This pertains to gender as well as race/ethnicity.
- On the survey –no probation, law enforcement, attorneys, treatment providers, child welfare, or elected officials in your team–but perhaps they did not respond to the survey.
- The number of people on the team the survey was sent to is small, so you may want to consider growing the team to include other system points.
- 1/3 of people who responded indicated they do not feel heard on the team.

Boyd County

Youth level

- Boyd County has higher than state averages of youth who qualify for free/reduced lunch, a little more than ½ of the students in the last five years.
- Graduation rates are very high for Boyd County the last 5 years.
- There is no arrest data for Boyd County as it was not reported to the NCC
- This community has not participated in the NRPFSS since 2010 and should consider participating in the next survey year (2020) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.

Family level

- Boyd County has a higher rate of extreme poverty compared to the state
- The number of youths with access to computers is higher than the state average and access to internet is higher.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFSS since 2010 and should consider
 participating in the next survey year (2020) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs,
 supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- ¾ of abuse/neglect cases are unfounded.

Community Level

• Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Policy, Legal and System Level

- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
- Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
- It is not clear why all first-time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.

Community Team Level

- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- This pertains to gender as well as race/ethnicity.
- On the survey –no probation, law enforcement, attorneys, treatment providers, child welfare, or elected officials in your team–but perhaps they did not respond to the survey.
- The number of people on the team the survey was sent to is small, so you may want to consider growing the team to include other system points.
- 1/3 of people who responded indicated they do not feel heard on the team.

Brown County

Youth level

- Brown County has higher than state averages of youth who qualify for free/reduced lunch, a little less than ½ of the students in the last five years.
- Graduation rates are very high for Brown County the last 5 years.
- Arrest rates for all age groups has gone down the last two years.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFSS since 2010 and should consider
 participating in the next survey year (2020) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs,
 supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer
 picture of RED. Compared to the overall population of Brown County, Native American
 youth are overrepresented at probation underride, intake, and successful probation; Black
 youth are overrepresented at probation override and probation intake, and Hispanic youth
 are overrepresented at all diversion points.
- Race/ethnicity data is missing at the court system points for about 15% of cases.

Family level

- Boyd County has a higher rate of poverty compared to the state
- The number of youths with access to computers is higher than the state average as is access to internet.
- Almost ¾ of abuse/neglect cases are unfounded.

Community Level

- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice,
 or other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved
 because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than
 older cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is very low in this community. With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
- Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
- It is not clear why all first-time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.

Community Team Level

- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- This pertains to gender as well as race/ethnicity.
- On the survey –no probation, law enforcement, attorneys, treatment providers, child welfare, or elected officials in your team–but perhaps they did not respond to the survey.
- The number of people on the team the survey was sent to is small, so you may want to consider growing the team to include other system points.
- 1/3 of people who responded indicated they do not feel heard on the team.

Wheeler County

Youth Level

- Wheeler County has a higher than state average of youth who qualify for free/reduced lunch, a little more than half of the students in the last two years.
- Graduation rates are very high in Wheeler County.
- Arrest rates area very low.

Family Level

- Wheeler County has a slightly higher poverty rate compared to the state
- There were no domestic violence cases reported
- Reported child abuse cases are very low

Community Level

• Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases

should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older cases.

• Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Policy, Legal and System Level

- There are no curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately or have few citations for these offenses.
- With respect to the diversion program that will become in effect, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allow warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - o Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable.
 - Have a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statue.

Community Team Level

 Wheeler County did not receive CBA funding in either 2019 or 2020 when the two Collective Impact surveys were completed, so there is no data available.

Comprehensive List of Services

	SYSTEM POINT	PREVENTION
(includes programs that aim to inte	rvene before and af	ter problematic behaviors are identified)
Program/ Agency Name	Eligible age	Risk or need
Alcoholics Anonymous	Any age	Drug/alcohol use
Narcotics Anonymous	Any age	Drug/alcohol use

Building Blocks for Community	Foster	Mental health disorders, Defiance of
Enrichment	children/families	Authority, Previous Victimization
Nebraska Teammates	9-18	Mentoring and school support
Oasis Counseling International	Any age/ families	Drug/Alcohol use, Learning disabilities, Anxiety/Depression, Mental health disorders, Antisocial attitudes, Sensation seeking, Defiance of authority, Lack of concern for others, Inappropriate use of time, Previous victimization, Lack of supervision, Lack of discipline, Low parental warmth, Parental hostility, Abusive parents, Parental substance use, Poor parental & early childhood health, Family violence
Heartland Counseling Services	Any age/ families	Drug/Alcohol use, Learning disabilities, Anxiety/Depression, Mental health disorders, Antisocial attitudes, Sensation seeking, Defiance of authority, Lack of concern for others, Inappropriate use of time, Previous victimization, Lack of supervision, Lack of discipline, Low parental warmth, Parental hostility, Abusive parents, Parental substance use, Poor parental & early childhood health, Family violence
Bright Horizons	Adults	Family violence
Counseling & Enrichment Center	Any age/ families	Drug/Alcohol use, Learning disabilities, Anxiety/Depression, Mental health disorders, Antisocial attitudes, Sensation seeking, Defiance of authority, Lack of concern for others, Inappropriate use of time, Previous victimization, Lack of supervision, Lack of discipline, Low parental warmth, Parental hostility, Abusive parents, Parental substance use, Poor parental & early childhood health, Family violence
Hampton Behavioral Health	Any age/ families	Drug/Alcohol use, Learning disabilities, Anxiety/Depression, Mental health disorders, Antisocial attitudes, Sensation seeking, Defiance of authority, Lack of concern for others, Inappropriate use of time, Previous victimization, Lack of supervision, Lack of discipline, Low parental warmth, Parental hostility, Abusive parents, Parental substance use,

		Poor parental & early childhood health,
		Family violence
Vocational Rehabilitation Services	16-Adults with	Life-skills/job readiness
	disabilities	
Thoendel Learning Center	5-18	Learning disabilities
O'Neill Public Schools Developing	4-12	Lack of supervision, education,
Eagles- after school program		mentoring
Central Nebraska Community Action	Any age/	Poor prenatal & early childhood health,
Partnership	families	Early childhood education
Boyd/Holt County Juvenile Services –	11-18	Truancy, Low Achievement, Low
Truancy Program		attachment

SYSTEM POINT: DIVERSION SERVICES (diversion and services available to youth on diversion)				
Program/ Agency Name	Eligible age	Risk or need		
Diversion	11-18	Drug/Alcohol use, Learning disabilities, Anxiety/Depression, Mental health disorders, Antisocial attitudes, Sensation seeking, Defiance of authority, Lack of concern for others, Inappropriate use of time, Previous victimization, Life-skills, Truancy, Low Achievement, & Low		
Nebraska Teammates	9-18	attachment Adoptories and selections		
		Mentoring and school support		
Alcoholics Anonymous	Any age	Drug/alcohol use		
Narcotics Anonymous	Any age	Drug/alcohol use		
Oasis Counseling International	Any age/ families	Drug/Alcohol use, Learning disabilities, Anxiety/Depression, Mental health disorders, Antisocial attitudes, Sensation seeking, Defiance of authority, Lack of concern for others, Inappropriate use of time, Previous victimization, Lack of supervision, Lack of discipline, Low parental warmth, Parental hostility, Abusive parents, Parental substance use, Poor parental & early childhood health, Family violence		
Heartland Counseling Services	Any age/ families	Drug/Alcohol use, Learning disabilities, Anxiety/Depression, Mental health disorders, Antisocial attitudes, Sensation seeking, Defiance of authority, Lack of concern for others, Inappropriate use of time, Previous victimization, Lack of supervision, Lack of discipline, Low		

	Abusive parents, Parental substance use, Poor parental & early childhood health, Family violence
Any age/ families	Drug/Alcohol use, Learning disabilities, Anxiety/Depression, Mental health disorders, Antisocial attitudes, Sensation seeking, Defiance of authority, Lack of concern for others, Inappropriate use of time, Previous victimization, Lack of supervision, Lack of discipline, Low parental warmth, Parental hostility, Abusive parents, Parental substance use, Poor parental & early childhood health, Family violence
Any age/ families	Drug/Alcohol use, Learning disabilities, Anxiety/Depression, Mental health disorders, Antisocial attitudes, Sensation seeking, Defiance of authority, Lack of concern for others, Inappropriate use of time, Previous victimization, Lack of supervision, Lack of discipline, Low parental warmth, Parental hostility, Abusive parents, Parental substance use, Poor parental & early childhood health, Family violence
16-Adults with disabilities	Life-skills/job readiness
5-18	Learning disabilities
Any age/ families	Poor prenatal & early childhood health, Early childhood education
	Any age/ families Any age/ families 16-Adults with disabilities 5-18 Any age/

KNOWN GAPS IN SERVICES

(include any programs that allow youth to remain in the community after any contact with law enforcement)

Program/ Agency Name	Eligible age	Risk or need
After School Programs for Jr/Sr high	12-18	Support social, emotional, cognitive, and
students		academic development, reduce risky
		behaviors, promote physical health, and
		provide a safe and supportive environment
		for children and youth.
Mentoring	Any age	Models positive social skills and facilitates
		interpersonal connections beyond family.
		Helps young people interpret and manage life

Counseling based life-skills	Any age	challenges, including relationships with peers and parents. Facilitates meaningful conversations that boost cognitive skills and provides perspective. Strengthens self-regulation, one's ability to manage emotions and impulses—to think before acting. Promotes identity development, a key task of adolescence, through modeling core qualities that contribute to human thriving, like empathy, curiosity, resourcefulness, and resilience. Opens doors to new ways of thinking, resources, and opportunities. Fosters self-efficacy—a belief in oneself.
programs	, my age	therapists, teens suffering from mental health disorders or addiction can learn to listen, focus, set goals, work towards achieving them and in the process, gain various practical skills that are necessary to lead a successful adult life.
Substance Abuse treatment	Under 16	Adolescent/teen-specific rehab or treatment is designed specifically to help teens address substance abuse through a treatment program that provides medical drug detox and counseling, but also helps to build teens' self-esteem and confidence. Rehab programs are beneficial for teens who need a safe, structured environment.
Intensive Outpatient Services	Any age	Intensive Outpatient Services for Adolescents Participants benefit from a less intensive and therapeutic after-school program. Treatment is designed to help those with emotional or behavioral issues whose symptoms may be too acute for traditional therapy but do not require inpatient hospitalization.
Alternatives to detention placement	Under 18	Alternatives to detention placement are for the youth who require secure confinement in smaller homelike facilities that prioritize ageappropriate rehabilitation.

Community Analysis and Response (CAR) Final Worksheet

COMMUNITY ANALYSIS & RESPONSE WORKSHEET Holt County				
Identified Need	Existing Program, Agency or Resource	Eligible age	Does this program accomplish the desired change? If no, what is missing?	
EXAMPLE:				
22.7% of 12 th grade students report losing sleep due to worry	Schools, counseling services, & Teammates	All ages	The school systems in Holt county have teamed with Heartland counseling to aid students with mental and behavioral health issues. (possibly the higher percentage is due to lack of buy in from students and families. Our team could help promote this service)	
EXAMPLE:				
21.3% of 10 th grade students and 40.4% of 12 th grade students report using alcohol	Counseling services, Alcoholic's anonymous, & Juvenile diversion	All ages	Counseling, AA, & Juvenile diversion can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to bring more activities to the area)	
8.0% of 10 th grade	Counseling services,	All ages	Counseling, AA, & Juvenile	
students report binge drinking	Alcoholics anonymous, & Juvenile diversion	7 til ages	diversion can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other	

			community leaders to bring
			more activities to the area)
EXAMPLE:			
16.0% of 10 th grade students and 18.0% of 12 th grade students report using tobacco	Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, & schools	All ages	Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, and schools can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to bring more activities to the area as well as talking to local health departments, radio stations, tv stations, local media and news to promote anti-tobacco campaigns).
EXAMPLE:			anti-tobacco campaigns).
28.0% of 10 th grader students & 48.3% of 12 th grade students report vaping	Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, & schools	All ages	Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, and schools can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to bring more activities to the area as well as talking to local health departments, radio stations, tv stations, local media and news to promote anti-vaping campaigns).
6.7% of 12 th grade students report gang involvement	Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, schools, & Law enforcement	All ages	Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, schools, & Law enforcement can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the

EVANDI E-			county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to bring more activities to the area. It is popular belief that this number was an overstatement on the half of the students, local law enforcement does not believe there is any gang activity in our area)
85.6% of 8 th grade students and 82.4% of 10 th grade students report having a supportive adult at home	Parenting class through O'Neill public schools, counseling, and Central Nebraska Community Action Partnership	All ages /families	Parenting class through O'Neill public schools, counseling, and Central Nebraska Community Action Partnership can all accomplish the desired change (possibly the higher percentage is due to lack of buy in from students and families. Our team could help promote this service)
86.5% of 8 th grade students 73% of 10 th grade students & 66.3% of 12 th grade students report the use of alcohol is viewed as wrong/very wrong	Schools, Juvenile diversion, law enforcement, & North Central District Health Department	All ages	Schools, Juvenile diversion, law enforcement, & North Central District Health Department can all accomplish the desired change (our program can talk to these agencies, radio stations, tv stations, local media, and news to promote campaigns about alcohol use).
82.4% of 10 th grade students & 76.4% of 12 th grade students report the use of tobacco is viewed as wrong/very wrong	Schools, Juvenile diversion, law enforcement, & North Central District Health Department	All ages	Schools, Juvenile diversion, law enforcement, & North Central District Health Department can all accomplish the desired change (our program can talk to these agencies, radio stations, tv stations, local media, and news to

					promote campaigns about tobacco use).
COMMUNITY ANALYSIS & RESPONSE WORKSHEET Boyd County					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		БОУ	u Cour	ity	
Identified Need		isting Program, ncy or Resource	Eligible	e age	Does this program accomplish the desired change? If no, what is missing?
EXAMPLE:					
Boyd County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2010)	Boyd	County schools	All ages	S	This program can accomplish desired change (Our program can encourage the Boyd county schools to participate in the survey in the future).
EXAMPLE:	Davis	I Carrata ala aniffia	All a sa		This was supplied
Boyd County sheriff did not report to NCC 2015 – 2018.	office	l County sheriff's e	All ages	S	This program can accomplish desired change (Our program can encourage the Boyd county sheriff's office to report to NCC in the future).
COMMUNITY ANALYSIS & RESPONSE WORKSHEET					
		Brov	vn Cou	inty	
Identified Need		Existing Progr Agency or Reso		Eligible age	Does this program accomplish the desired change? If no, what is missing?
EXAMPLE:					
Brown County did not participate in the Nebr Risk and Protective Fac Survey (last was 2010)		Brown County scl	hools	All ages	This program can accomplish desired change (Our program can encourage the Brown county schools to participate in the survey in the future).
EXAMPLE:					
Brown County sheriff d not report to NCC 2015 2018.		Brown County sh office	eriff's	All ages	This program can accomplish desired change (Our program can encourage the Brown county sheriff's office to report to NCC in the future).

COMMUNITY ANALYSIS & RESPONSE WORKSHEET Wheeler County					
Identified Need	Does this program accomplish the desired change? If no, what is missing?				
Wheeler County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2010) Wheeler County schools Wheeler County schools		All ages	This program can accomplish desired change (Our program can encourage the Wheeler county schools to participate in the survey in the future).		
Wheeler County sheriff did not report to NCC 2015 – 2018.	Wheeler County sheriff's office	All ages	This program can accomplish desired change (Our program can encourage the Wheeler county sheriff's office to report to NCC in the future).		

Gaps to be Filled Worksheet

GAPS IN THE CONTINUUM							
Brief Data Snapshot	Existing Program, Agency or Resource	Eligible age	Does this program accomplish the desired change? If no, what is missing?				
82.4% of 10 th grade students & 76.4% of 12 th grade students report the use of tobacco is viewed as wrong/very wrong	Schools, counseling services, & Teammates	All ages	Schools, Juvenile diversion, law enforcement, & North Central District Health Department can all accomplish the desired change (our program can talk to these agencies, radio stations, tv stations, local media, and news to				

22.7% of 12th grade students report losing sleep due to worry Schools, counseling services, & Teammates Teammates All ages counseling to aid students with mental and behavioral health issues. (possibly the higher percentage is due to lack of buy in from students and families. Our team could help promote this service) 21.3% of 10th grade students report using alcohol 8.0% of 10th grade students report binge drinking Counseling services, Alcoholics anonymous, & Juvenile diversion 8.0% of 10th grade students report binge drinking Counseling services, Alcoholics anonymous, & Juvenile diversion Counseling services, All ages All ages Counseling services, All ages All ages Counseling services, Juvenile diversion started with other community leaders to bring more activities to the area) Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, and schools can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to bring more activities to the area) Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, and schools can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to bring more activities to the area as well as talking to local health departments, radio stations, tv stations, local media and news to promote antitobacco campaigns).				promote campaigns about tobacco use).
Students and 40.4% of 12th grade students report using alcohol 8.0% of 10th grade students report binge drinking 16.0% of 10th grade students and 18.0% of 12th grade students are port using tobacco 16.0% of 10th grade students and 18.0% of 12th grade students are port using tobacco 18.0% of 10th grade and 18.0% of 12th grade students and 18.0% of 12th grade	students report losing	services, &	All ages	have teamed with Heartland counseling to aid students with mental and behavioral health issues. (possibly the higher percentage is due to lack of buy in from students and families. Our team could help promote this
students report binge drinking Alcoholics anonymous, & Juvenile diversion 16.0% of 10 th grade students and 18.0% of 12 th grade students are port using tobacco All ages Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, & schools All ages Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, and schools can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to bring more activities to the area as well as talking to local health departments, radio stations, tv stations, local media and news to promote antitobacco campaigns).	students and 40.4% of 12 th grade students	Alcoholics anonymous, &	All ages	diversion can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to
students and 18.0% of 12 th grade students report using tobacco 8 schools diversion, and schools can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to bring more activities to the area as well as talking to local health departments, radio stations, tv stations, local media and news to promote anti- tobacco campaigns).	students report binge	Alcoholics anonymous, &	All ages	diversion can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to
	students and 18.0% of 12 th grade students	Juvenile diversion,	All ages	Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, and schools can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to bring more activities to the area as well as talking to local health departments, radio stations, tv stations, local media and news to promote anti-

28.0% of 10 th grader students & 48.3% of 12 th grade students report vaping	Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, & schools	All ages	Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, and schools can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to bring more activities to the area as well as talking to local health departments, radio stations, tv stations, local media and news to promote antitobacco campaigns).
6.7% of 12 th grade students report gang involvement	Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, schools, & Law enforcement	All ages	Counseling services, Juvenile diversion, schools, & Law enforcement can all accomplish the desired change (the problem is possibly a lack of recreational activities available to youth in the county. Our team could aid in getting the conversation started with other community leaders to bring more activities to the area. It is popular belief that this number was an overstatement on the half of the students, local law enforcement does not believe there is any gang activity in our area)
85.6% of 8 th grade students and 82.4% of 10 th grade students report having a supportive adult at home	Parenting class through O'Neill public schools, counseling, and Central Nebraska Community Action Partnership	All ages /families	Parenting class through O'Neill public schools, counseling, and Central Nebraska Community Action Partnership can all accomplish the desired change (possibly the higher percentage is due to lack of buy in from students and families. Our team could help promote this service)
86.5% of 8 th grade students 73% of 10 th grade students & 66.3% of 12 th grade students report the use of alcohol is viewed as wrong/very wrong	Schools, Juvenile diversion, law enforcement, & North Central District Health Department	All ages	Schools, Juvenile diversion, law enforcement, & North Central District Health Department can all accomplish the desired change (our program can talk to these agencies, radio stations, tv stations, local media, and news to promote campaigns about alcohol use).

List of Team Members

Description of Team:

The Holt/Boyd Juvenile Justice Community Team is comprised of representatives from probation, local schools, county court, county government, education and the general community. Our team meets quarterly and as needed to process business items of juvenile services. The team has in depth discussions on our priorities as well as what goals have been met. The group has been meeting since 2002 and has a chairman and secretary.

List of team members with contact information:

William Tielke, Chairman Kelli Munoz Laura Reynoldson County Board Supervisor Diversion Coordinator County Court 88154 483rd Ave 128 N 6th Street 128 N 6th Street 128 N 6th Street Atkinson, NE 68713 O'Neill, NE 68763 O'Neill, NE 68763 402-336-4196 402-336-6254 402-336-2847

wjtielke@telebeep.com kellimunoz1980@gmail.com laura.reynoldson@nebraska.gov

Trisha Ruzek Diane Gilg

J.S. Program Director Retired Public Educator

88042 484th Ave 212 Ash Street Atkinson, NE 68713 O'Neill, NE 68763 402-340-3177 402-340-0003

trisha.holtjuvserv@gmail.com dianegilg@yahoo.com

Tara Sprigler-Price Pam Charf

Chief Probation Officer Public Defender's Office

128 N 6th, Box 4 128 N 6th Street O'Neill, NE 68763 O'Neill, NE 68763 402-336-2594 402-336-2847

tara.sprigler-price@nebraska.gov pamcharf@gmail.com

Nikki Davis Becky Corkle

Probation Officer O'Neill Public Schools

128 N 6th 540 E Hynes O'Neill, NE 68763 O'Neill, NE 68763 402-336-2594 402-336-1544

<u>nikki.davis@nebraska.gov</u> <u>beckycorkle@oneillschools.org</u>

Appendices

Appendix A: Completed Community Needs Assessment (CNA)

Appendix B: Approval Letter/minutes from Governing Board

Appendix C: Multi-County or Tribe Group MOUs

Appendix D: Other Appendix as identified by the community team

Holt County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	23
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	23
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b	24
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 2019) ^b	_ 26
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^{\rm c}$	- 26
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	27
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	29
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	29
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	29
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹	30
Family Level	32
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	33
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	33
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means h	34
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	34
Community Level	35

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	35
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	35
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	36
Policy, Legal and System Level	37
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	37
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$	37
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	38
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	38
Community Team Level	41
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	41
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	41
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	42
References and Resources	45
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	46

Youth Level

- Hispanic youth are over-represented in chronic absenteeism compared to Holt County demographics and school enrollment.
 - While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report for Native American, Asian, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- Holt County has higher than state averages of youth who qualify for free/reduced lunch, a little less ½ of the students in the last two years.
- Graduation rates are very high for Holt County the last 5 years.
- More 12th grade youth report losing sleep from worry compared to the state.
- More 10th and 12th graders report higher rates of use of alcohol, tobacco, and vaping compared to the state; 10th graders also report higher rates of binge drinking.
- 6.7% of 12th graders report gang involvement.
- Arrest rates have gone down for all age groups in Holt County.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture
 of RED. Compared to the overall population of Holt County, Hispanic youth are
 overrepresented in all system points except for having multiple charges filed and probation
 underrides.
- Once referred, youth of all race/ethnicity are enrolling and successfully completing diversion at the rate to which they were referred.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Holt	581	82.8%	10.2%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	4.8%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Holt	549	92.0%	5.3%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	1.1%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African America n	Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014	Holt			0.12				87.81	
- 2015		1,641	10.18%	%	0.18%	0.61%	0.06%	%	1.04%
2013	Nebraska	312,28		2.43				68.20	
		1	17.74%	%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	%	3.38%
2015	Holt			0.18				88.22	
- 2016		1,622	10.05%	%	0.18%	0.18%	0.06%	%	1.11%
	Nebraska	315,54		2.53				67.72	
		2	18.08%	%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	%	3.47%
2016	Holt			0.48				87.20	
- 2017		1,672	10.59%	%	0.18%	0.30%	0.06%	%	1.20%
	Nebraska	318,85		2.66				66.92	
		3	18.61%	%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	%	3.59%
2017	Holt			0.70				86.45	
- 2018		1,720	10.41%	%	0.12%	0.70%	0.00%	%	1.63%
	Nebraska	323,39		2.76				66.50	
		1	18.80%	%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	%	3.78%

2018	Holt	1,717	10.83%	0.70 %	0.23%	0.23%	0.00%	86.60 %	1.40%
2019	Nebraska	325,98 4	19.13%	2.83	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02	3.91%

Table 3.
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014 - 2015	Holt	134	<mark>17.91%</mark>	*	*	*	*	82.09 %	*
2013	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64 %	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61 %	4.68%
2015	Holt	123	<mark>25.20%</mark>	*	*	*	*	74.80 %	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	<mark>25.73%</mark>	1.55 %	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68 %	4.83%
2016	Holt	104	<mark>26.92%</mark>	*	*	*	*	73.08 %	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66 %	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66 %	4.92%
2017	Holt	152	19.74%	*	*	*	*	80.26 %	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	<mark>26.81%</mark>	1.77 %	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37 %	2389
2018	Holt	102	14.71%	*	*	*	*	85.29 %	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76 %	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27 %	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014- 2015	Holt	1,641	14.26%	*	5.06%	<mark>48.08%</mark>
2013	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	<mark>44.53%</mark>
2015- 2016	Holt	1,622	13.81%	*	3.27%	<mark>42.85%</mark>
2010	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016- 2017	Holt	1,672	14.06%	*	4.84%	<mark>43.66%</mark>
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	<mark>44.76%</mark>
2017- 2018	Holt	1,720	15.87%	*	4.48%	<mark>47.62%</mark>
2010	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	<mark>46.24%</mark>
2018- 2019	Holt	1,717	15.55%	*	4.60%	<mark>49.27%</mark>
2013	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	<mark>45.42%</mark>

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^{\circ}$

County	Total in Las	t 5 Years	Yearly Av	Graduation		
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Holt	549	583	22.0	23.3	<mark>94.2%</mark>	<mark>48</mark>

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes

County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Holt	Loss of sleep from worry	12.3%	18.9%	<mark>22.7%</mark>
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	<mark>21.6%</mark>
Holt	Depressed	24.3%	27.0%	30.3%
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Holt	Considered/Attempted suicide	14.0%	17.6%	6.8%
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Holt	Current alcohol	9.3%	<mark>21.3%</mark>	<mark>40.4%</mark>
Nebraska		9.8%	<mark>20.1%</mark>	<mark>34.2%</mark>
Holt	Current binge drinking	0.9%	<mark>8.0%</mark>	13.5%
Nebraska		1.3%	<mark>6.2%</mark>	15.0%
Holt	Current marijuana	0.9%	4.0%	11.2%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Holt	Current tobacco	2.8%	<mark>16.0%</mark>	18.0%
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	<mark>15.3%</mark>
Holt	Current vaping	6.5%	28.0%	<mark>48.3%</mark>
Nebraska		10.4%	<mark>24.7%</mark>	<mark>37.3%</mark>
Holt	Hopeful for future (past week)	82.6%	81.3%	78.7%
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

^{**}JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8. $\label{table 8.}$ Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis $^{\rm e}$

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Arrestee Age		All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	
Jurisdiction by Geography			HOLT COUN	İTY			
Arrest Offense	-						
Total	<mark>199</mark>	<mark>121</mark>	-39.20	<mark>26</mark>	<mark>19</mark>	-26.92	
Rape Total	-	4	-	-	0	-	
Robbery Total	2	-	-100.00	0	-	-	
Aggravated Assault Total	2	-	-100.00	0	-	-	
Burglary Total	4	4	0.00	0	1	-	
Larceny-Theft Total	8	5	-37.50	1	3	200.00	
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-	
Other Assaults	17	13	-23.53	3	0	-100.00	
Fraud	3	1	-66.67	0	-	-	
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	2	1	-50.00	0	-	-	
Vandalism	2	-	-100.00	2	-	-100.00	
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	2	4	100.00	0	1	-	
Prostitution Total for Summary	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-	

Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	12	2	-83.33	1	1	0.00
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	6	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Drug Violations – Possession	33	17	-48.48	5	5	0.00
NIBRS Unable to Classify	-	1	-	-	-	-
Offenses Against Family and Children	1	2	100.00	0	0	-
Driving Under the Influence	23	17	-26.09	0	0	
Liquor Laws	29	9	-68.97	8	2	-75.00
Disorderly Conduct	2	14	600.00	0	3	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	48	26	-45.83	5	2	-60.00
Runaways	1	1	0.00	1	1	0.00

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) $^{\rm d}$

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Holt	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	1.9%	2.7%	<mark>6.7%</mark>
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) $^{\rm g}$

	Holt			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%

Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M =, SD =, M = 5.64, SD = 3.65, (55, 0-17		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

Table 14.
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) |

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	Wh ite
Law enforcement contact					1			
Youth taken to temporary custody								-
Youth issued citation/referral	133							
Youth referred to diversion	<mark>4</mark>	0%	0%	0%	<mark>25%</mark>	0%	0%	75 %
Youth enrolled in diversion	<mark>4</mark>	0%	0%	0%	<mark>25%</mark>	0%	0%	75 %
Successful completion diversion	4	0%	0%	0%	<mark>25%</mark>	0%	0%	75 %

Youth with multiple charges	14	0%	0%	0%	7.10%	0%	0%	92. 90 %
Filed on in adult court	26	0%	0%	0%	11.50%	0%	0%	88. 50 %
RAI Override: More Severe	7	0%	0%	0%	<mark>14.30%</mark>	0%	0%	85. 70 %
RAI Override: Less Severe	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100 %
Probation intake	38	0%	0%	0%	<mark>15.80%</mark>	0%	0%	84. 20 %
Successful probation	58	0%	0%	0%	<mark>12.10%</mark>	0%	0%	87. 90 %
Revocation of probation	11	0%	0%	0%	18.20%	0%	0%	81. 80 %
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

^{*}Holt County sheriff only partially reported to NCC in 2017

Measurement		Holt	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	8.0%	14.8%
	Number of children 12- 17 below 185% poverty	301	43,814
	Percent of children 12- 17 below 185% poverty	37.8%	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>21.2%</mark>	31.3%
	County rank	43	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	22.8%	23.0%
	County rank	63	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	92.7%	91.1%
	County Rank	42	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	97.1%	96.9%
	County rank	68	-
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	<mark>87.8%</mark>	91.0%
	County rank	65	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	87.8%	90.8%
	County Rank	63	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	3,259	498,567
	Total households	4,435	754,063
	Owner %	73.5%	66.1%
	Renters	1,176	255,496
	Renter %	26.5%	33.9%

Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	125	40,465
	Total households	4,435	754,063
	No vehicle %	2.8%	5.4%

Family Level

- Holt County has a higher rate of extreme poverty compared to the state
- Number of people with bachelor's degrees is lower compared to the state; this could be a result of residents going to college in a different community and not returning to Holt County.
- The number of youth with access to computers is higher than the state average, but access to internet is lower.
- 8th and 10th graders report feeling less supported by adults at home compared to the state.
 - o All grades report higher rates of support from adults at school comparted to the state.
- ¾ of abuse/neglect cases are unfounded.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Holt	Adult at home who listens	<mark>85.6%</mark>	<mark>82.4%</mark>	86.5%
Nebraska		<mark>87.3%</mark>	<mark>85.0%</mark>	85.6%
Holt	Adult at school who listens	86.1%	88.0%	91.0%
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17. Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means $^{\rm h}$

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Holt	1	1	10	7
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18.
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Holt	162	34%	13%	<mark>76%</mark>
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

Community Level

- Youth perceptions of community attitudes on alcohol use is lower than the state for all grades;
 10th and 12th graders also report lower perceptions of community attitudes on alcohol and cigarettes.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment).
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19.
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Holt	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	4	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	0	1,639
Other Assaults	<mark>13</mark>	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Holt	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	97.1%	89.2%	96.6%
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Holt	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	<mark>86.5%</mark>	<mark>73.0%</mark>	<mark>66.3%</mark>

Nebraska		<mark>89.1%</mark>	<mark>80.4%</mark>	<mark>68.7%</mark>
Holt	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	95.2%	<mark>82.4%</mark>	<mark>76.4%</mark>
Nebraska		92.9%	<mark>89.0%</mark>	<mark>78.7%</mark>

Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	29	40	<mark>72.5%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	151	323	46.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	51	67	76.1%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	231	430	53.7%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; however, access to counsel is similar to the state average, but still lower than ideal.
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - It is not clear why all first-time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Holt	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	60.0% 79.9%	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardian's ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Holt	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	1	352

Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

	Holt					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	1	0	2	3
3B - Uncontrollable	0	2	0	1	1	4
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	2	0	0	1	3

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$

	Holt	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first time offenders to diversion	No	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%

File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they	Not sure	Always: 47.7%
are unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	No	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$100 (but can be waived or	Yes: 86.4%
	reduced, if needed)	No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	Yes; may include additional	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge	activities, depending on what they are not compliant with	No: 25.0%
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; sealed at successful	Yes: 59.1%
	completion and records are sealed when closed in JCMS	No: 22.7%
	sealed when closed in JCIVIS	Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 75.0%.
- Mean score on Collective Impact is higher than in 2019 and higher than the state average in all areas
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
 - This pertains to gender as well as race/ethnicity.
- On the survey –no probation, law enforcement, attorneys, treatment providers, child welfare, or elected officials in your team–but perhaps they did not respond to the survey.
 - The number of people on the team the survey was sent to is small, so you may want to consider growing the team to include other system points.
- 1/3 of people who responded indicated they do not feel heard on the team.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Holt/Boyd/Brown		Nebi	raska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	11	8	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	6	6	221	345
Response rate	54.6%	<mark>75.0%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores P

	Holt/Boyd/B	Brown	Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	5.17	<mark>6.17</mark>	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	4.75	<mark>5.83</mark>	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	4.71	<mark>5.83</mark>	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	4.94	<mark>5.83</mark>	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	4.95	<mark>6.00</mark>	5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	Holt/Boyd/Brown		Neb	raska
	N = 6	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male			101	29.3%
Female	6	100%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39	1	16.7%	68	19.6%
40-49	1	16.7%	88	25.4%
50-59	2	33.3%	90	25.8%
60 and over	2	33.3%	44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%

Race/Ethnicity				
White	5	83.3%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	1	16.7%	63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	2	33.3%	98	28.4%
No	4	66.7%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point*				
Law enforcement	1	11.1%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	2	22.2%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion	2	22.2%	55	12.6%
Probation	2	22.2%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem			8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%
Treatment provider			40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%

Community based program	2	22.2%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	4	66.7%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	2	33.3%	75	21.7%

Boyd n = 2, Brown n = 1, Holt n = 6 (two people named more than one county they represent).

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- ^e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 g Diversion programs
- ^h **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: <u>https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf</u>

¹Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System

Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^mCourt Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed		
Dismissed or Dropped	4	5	80.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			

Filed in Juv. Court	40	54	74.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	20	21	95.2%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	64	80	80.0%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	7	8	87.5%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		1	
Filed in Juv. Court	49	76	64.5%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	17	20	85.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	73	104	70.2%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	5	40.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			

Filed in Juv. Court	28	42	66.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	32	49	65.3%

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	12	15	80.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	27	85	31.8%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	6	6	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	45	106	42.5%

2019	Number of charges Sealed		
Dismissed or Dropped	4	7	57.1%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			

Filed in Juv. Court	7	66	10.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	6	18	33.3%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	17	91	18.7%

Brown County

Table of Contents

Y	outh Level	51
	Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	51
	School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b	52
	Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^{\circ}$	- 54
	Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	55
	Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	56
	Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	56
	Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	57
	Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹	58
F	amily Level	59
	Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) $^{\rm a}$	60
	Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	61

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h	61
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	62
Community Level	63
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	63
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	63
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	64
Policy, Legal and System Level	65
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	65
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	65
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	66
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$	66
Community Team Level	69
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	69
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	69
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	70
References and Resources	73
Appendix: Sealed Records by Year	75

Youth Level

- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- Brown County has higher than state averages of youth who qualify for free/reduced lunch, a little less than ½ of the students in the last five years.
- Graduation rates are very high for Brown County the last 5 years.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFSS since 2010 and should consider participating in the next survey year (2020) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- Arrest rates for all age groups has gone down the last two years; there is no arrest data information under 18 years old.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture
 of RED. Compared to the overall population of Brown County, Native American youth are
 overrepresented at probation underride, intake, and successful probation; Black youth are
 overrepresented at probation override and probation intake, and Hispanic youth are
 overrepresented at all diversion points.
 - o Race/ethnicity data is missing at the court system points for about 15% of cases.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Brown	81	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Brown	169	96.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) $^{\rm b}$

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014 - 2015	Brown	477	1.05%	0.00 %	2.10%	0.21%	0.00%	95.39 %	1.26%
2013	Nebraska	312,28 1	17.74%	2.43 %	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20 %	3.38%
2015 - 2016	Brown	483	2.48%	0.00 %	2.28%	0.21%	0.00%	93.58 %	1.45%
2010	Nebraska	315,54 2	18.08%	2.53 %	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72 %	3.47%
2016 - 2017	Brown	462	3.46%	0.00 %	2.16%	0.22%	0.00%	92.64 %	1.52%
2017	Nebraska	318,85 3	18.61%	2.66 %	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92 %	3.59%
2017 - 2018	Brown	443	3.84%	0.00 %	2.26%	0.23%	0.00%	92.78 %	0.90%
2018	Nebraska	323,39 1	18.80%	2.76 %	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50 %	3.78%
	Brown	429	5.59%	0.00 %	3.26%	0.23%	0.00%	90.21 %	0.70%

Ī	2018	Nebraska								
	-		325,98		2.83				66.02	
	2019		4	19.13%	%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	%	3.91%
	2013		7	13.1370	70	1.5570	0.0370	0.1370	70	3.51

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	America n Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African America n	Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014 - 2015	Brown	11	*	*	*	*	*	100.00 %	*
2013	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64 %	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015	Brown	11	*	*	*	*	*	100.00 %	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55 %	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016 - 2017	Brown	15	*	*	*	*	*	100.00 %	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66 %	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017 - 2018	Brown	15	*	*	*	*	*	100.00 %	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77 %	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018	Brown	12	*	*	*	*	*	100.00 %	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76 %	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019)

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch	
2014- 2015	Brown	477	13.21 %	*	*	<mark>44.86%</mark>	
	Nebraska	312,281	13.66 %	0.76%	5.97%	<mark>44.53%</mark>	
2015- 2016	Brown	483	14.49 <mark>%</mark>	*	*	41.82%	
	Nebraska	315,542	13.64 <mark>%</mark>	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%	
2016- 2017	Brown	462	14.72 <mark>%</mark>	*	*	<mark>45.02%</mark>	
	Nebraska	318,853	13.80 <mark>%</mark>	0.93%	6.99%	<mark>44.76%</mark>	
2017- 2018	Brown	443	17.38 <mark>%</mark>	*	*	45.82%	
	Nebraska	323,391	15.87 <mark>%</mark>	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%	
2018- 2019	Brown	429	16.78 <mark>%</mark>	*	3.03%	<mark>46.39%</mark>	
	Nebraska	325,984	16.13 <mark>%</mark>	0.85%	6.78%	<mark>45.42%</mark>	

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Las	t 5 Years	Yearly Av	erages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Brown	167	177	33.4	35.4	<mark>94.4%</mark>	43

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Brown	Loss of sleep from worry			
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Brown	Depressed			
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Brown	Considered/Attempted suicide			
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Brown	Current alcohol			
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Brown	Current binge drinking			
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Brown	Current marijuana			
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Brown	Current tobacco			
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Brown	Current vaping			
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Brown	Hopeful for future (past week)			

Nebraska	72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

^{*}Brown County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis ^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Brown	Youth Reported Gang Involvement		I	
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

^{*}Brown County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 12.
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages	Under 18

Summary Arrest Date	2018 2019 2018 - 2019 Growth %		2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	
Jurisdiction by Geography			BROWN	COUNT	Υ	
Arrest Offense	1					
Total	<mark>85</mark>	<mark>50</mark>	-41.18	0	0	-
Aggravated Assault Total	3	1	-66.67	0	0	-
Larceny-Theft Total	2	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Other Assaults	5	1	-80.00	0	0	-
Fraud	1	2	100.00	0	0	-
Embezzlement	-	1	-	-	0	-
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	3	5	66.67	0	0	-
Drug Violations - Possession	34	15	-55.88	0	0	-
Driving Under the Influence	29	17	-41.38	0	0	-
Liquor Laws	2	4	100.00	0	0	-
Disorderly Conduct	1	3	200.00	0	0	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	4	1	-75.00	0	0	-

Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) $^{\rm g}$

	Brown			All NYS Counties			
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2	
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%	
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%	
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%	

Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M	! =, SD = -	-,	<i>M</i> = 5.64, <i>SD</i> = 3.65, 0-17		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

Table 14.
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	9							
Youth referred to diversion	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Youth enrolled in diversion	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Successful completion diversion	1	0%	0%	0%	<mark>100%</mark>	0%	0%	0%
Youth with multiple charges	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	<mark>14.30%</mark>	85.70 %

Filed on in adult	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	<mark>16.70%</mark>	83.30 %
RAI Override: More Severe	4	0%	0%	<mark>75%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	25%
RAI Override: Less Severe	3	<mark>33.30%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	66.70
Probation intake	1 0	10%	0%	<mark>50%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	40%
Successful probation	2	<mark>7.70%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	92.30
Revocation of probation	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

^{*}Brown County sheriff did not report to NCC in 2017

Family Level

- Boyd County has a higher rate of poverty compared to the state
- Number of people with bachelor's degrees is lower compared to the state; this could be a result of residents going to college in a different community and not returning to Brown County.
- The number of youth with access to computers is higher than the state average as is access to internet (ranked 6th overall).
- Almost ¾ of abuse/neglect cases are unfounded.

Table 15. Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) $^{\rm a}$

Measurement		Brown	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	21.5%	14.8%
	Number of children 12- 17 below 185% poverty	120	43,814
	Percent of children 12- 17 below 185% poverty	<mark>56.9%</mark>	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	19.8%	31.3%
	County rank	61	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	22.2%	23.0%
	County rank	72	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	92.0%	91.1%
	County Rank	54	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	99.3%	96.9%
	County rank	35	-
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	97.5%	91.0%
	County rank	6	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	97.5%	90.8%
	County Rank	6	-

Housing	Owner-occupied households	1,064	498,567
	Total households	1,434	754,063
	Owner %	74.2%	66.1%
	Renters	370	255,496
	Renter %	25.8%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	55	40,465
	Total households	1,434	754,063
	No vehicle %	3.8%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Brown	Adult at home who listens			
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Brown	Adult at school who listens			
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

^{*}Brown County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Brown	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Brown	75	36%	15%	<mark>70%</mark>
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

Community Level

- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Brown	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	1	1,639
Other Assaults	1	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Brown	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	1	1	

Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Brown	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol			
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Brown	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes			-
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

^{*}Brown County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	35	49	71.4%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	95	129	73.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	14	17	82.4%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	144	199	72.4%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is very low in this community. With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - It is not clear why all first-time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Brown	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	20.0-39.9%	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

Brown	Nebraska

Curfew Court Filing	0	352

Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

	Brown						
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	1	0	0	0	1	
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	2	0	0	2	
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0	

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Brown	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first time offenders to diversion	No	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%

File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Not sure	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	No	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$100 (but can be waived or	Yes: 86.4%
	reduced, if needed)	No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	Yes; may include additional	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge	activities, depending on what they are not compliant with	No: 25.0%
	·	Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; sealed at successful	Yes: 59.1%
	completion and records are sealed when closed in JCMS	No: 22.7%
	c closed in selvis	Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 75.0%.
- Mean score on Collective Impact is higher than in 2019 and higher than the state average in all areas
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
 - This pertains to gender as well as race/ethnicity.
- On the survey –no probation, law enforcement, attorneys, treatment providers, child welfare, or elected officials in your team–but perhaps they did not respond to the survey.
 - The number of people on the team the survey was sent to is small, so you may want to consider growing the team to include other system points.
- 1/3 of people who responded indicated they do not feel heard on the team.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Holt/Boy	yd/Brown	Nebi	raska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	11	8	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	6	6	221	345
Response rate	54.6%	<mark>75.0%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Holt/Boyd/B	Brown	Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Sco	ore	Mean	Score
Common agenda	5.17	<mark>6.17</mark>	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	4.75	<mark>5.83</mark>	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	4.71	<mark>5.83</mark>	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	4.94	<mark>5.83</mark>	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	4.95	<mark>6.00</mark>	5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- Mutually reinforcing activities: Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.

Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Holt/Boyd/Brown		Neb	raska
	N = 6	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male			101	29.3%
Female	6	100%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39	1	16.7%	68	19.6%
40-49	1	16.7%	88	25.4%
50-59	2	33.3%	90	25.8%

60 and over	2	33.3%	44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	5	83.3%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	1	16.7%	63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	2	33.3%	98	28.4%
No	4	66.7%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement	1	11.1%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	2	22.2%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion	2	22.2%	55	12.6%
Probation	2	22.2%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem			8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%

Treatment provider			40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	2	22.2%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	4	66.7%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	2	33.3%	75	21.7%

Boyd n = 2, Brown n = 1, Holt n = 6 (two people named more than one county they represent).

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- ^e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 § Diversion programs
- ^h **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: <u>https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf</u>

¹Child abuse and neglect

^j Community violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^mCourt Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.

Appendix: Sealed Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	1		
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	1		
Filed in Juv. Court	32	39	82.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	3	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	35	42	83.3%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	0	1	0.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	1	1	
Filed in Juv. Court	23	31	74.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	4	25.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	24	40	60.0%

2017	Number of charges	Total Number of	Sealed (%)
	Sealed	charges	

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)	
Dismissed or Dropped	22	24	91.7%	
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ				
Filed in Juv. Court	13	26	50.0%	
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	4	100.0%	
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court				
Total	39	54	72.2%	
Dismissed or Dropped	12	12	100.0%	
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ				
Filed in Juv. Court	27	29	93.1%	
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	3	100.0%	
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court				
Total	42	44	95.5%	

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	1	12	8.3%

Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	0	4	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	3	100.0%
Thed invidure court (ivi or i)	J	J	100.070
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	4	19	21.1%

Boyd County

outh Level	79
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	79
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b	80
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	80
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	– 81
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^{\circ}$	- 82
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	83
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	84
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	84
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	85
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	86
amily Level	87

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, ar Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	nd 87
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	89
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means h	89
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	89
Community Level	91
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	91
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	91
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	92
Policy, Legal and System Level	93
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	93
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	93
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	94
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020)	94
Community Team Level	96
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	96
Collective Impact Survey Scores p	96
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	97
References and Resources	100
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	102

Youth Level

- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- Boyd County has higher than state averages of youth who qualify for free/reduced lunch, a little more than ½ of the students in the last five years.
- Graduation rates are very high for Boyd County the last 5 years.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFSS since 2010 and should consider participating in the next survey year (2020) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- There is no arrest data for Boyd County as it was not reported to the NCC.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED. Compared to the overall population of Boyd County, Black youth are overrepresented at probation underrides, intake, and revocation.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Boyd	114	91.2%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Boyd	124	91.1%	0.0%	2.4%	3.2%	0.0%	3.2%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014 - 2015	Boyd	349	2.58%	0.29 %	2.58%	0.57%	0.29%	91.69 %	2.01%
2013	Nebraska	312,28 1	17.74%	2.43 %	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20 %	3.38%
2015	Boyd	352	2.84%	0.00 %	0.85%	0.57%	0.28%	92.90 %	2.56%
2016	Nebraska	315,54 2	18.08%	2.53 %	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72 %	3.47%
2016	Boyd	335	2.99%	0.00 %	1.19%	0.00%	0.00%	92.84 %	2.99%
2017	Nebraska	318,85	18.61%	2.66 %	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92 %	3.59%
2017	Boyd	342	5.26%	0.00 %	1.17%	0.00%	0.00%	91.52 %	2.05%
2018	Nebraska	323,39 1	18.80%	2.76 %	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50 %	3.78%
2018	Boyd	343	5.54%	0.00 %	1.46%	0.00%	0.00%	90.67 %	2.33%
2019	Nebraska	325,98 4	19.13%	2.83	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02 %	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	America n Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African America n	Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014	Boyd	14	*	*	*	*	*	100.00 %	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64 %	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015	Boyd	18	*	*	*	*	*	100.00 %	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55 %	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016	Boyd	16	*	*	*	*	*	100.00 %	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66 %	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017	Boyd	21	*	*	*	*	*	100.00 %	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77 %	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018	Boyd	15	*	*	*	*	*	100.00 %	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76 %	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 - 2019) $^{\rm b}$

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014- 2015	Boyd	349	14.33 %	*	*	53.30%
	Nebraska	312,281	13.66 %	0.76%	5.97%	<mark>44.53%</mark>
2015- 2016	Boyd	352	12.78 %	*	*	51.70%
	Nebraska	315,542	13.64 %	0.90%	5.90%	<mark>44.23%</mark>
2016- 2017	Boyd	335	12.84 %	*	*	57.01%
	Nebraska	318,853	13.80 %	0.93%	6.99%	<mark>44.76%</mark>
2017- 2018	Boyd	342	12.87 %	*	*	<mark>54.97%</mark>
	Nebraska	323,391	15.87 %	0.88%	6.59%	<mark>46.24%</mark>
2018- 2019	Boyd	343	13.41 %	*	*	<mark>60.35%</mark>
	Nebraska	325,984	16.13 %	0.85%	6.78%	<mark>45.42%</mark>

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^{\circ}$

County	Total in Las	t 5 Years	Yearly Av	erages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	ı
Boyd	111	117	23.6	24.4	<mark>94.9%</mark>	38

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes

County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) $^{\rm d}$

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Boyd	Loss of sleep from worry			
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Boyd	Depressed			
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Boyd	Considered/Attempted suicide			
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Boyd	Current alcohol			
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Boyd	Current binge drinking			
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Boyd	Current marijuana			
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Boyd	Current tobacco			
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Boyd	Current vaping			
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Boyd	Hopeful for future (past week)			
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

^{*}Boyd County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2010)

^{**}JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Ta	b	le	7	

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis ^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Boyd	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	1	1	
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

^{*}Boyd County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2010)

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages Under 18			der 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018 2019 2018 - 2019 Growth %		
Jurisdiction by Geography Arrest Offense	BOYD COUNTY					

Total	2	2	0.00	0	0	-
Driving Under the Influence	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Liquor Laws	1	1	0.00	0	0	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	-	1	-	-	0	-

Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) $^{\rm g}$

		Boyd			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2	
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%	
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%	
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%	
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%	
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%	
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%	
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%	
Mean Score	<i>N</i>	1 =, SD = -	-,	<i>M</i> = 5.	64, <i>SD</i> = 3.6	55, 0-17	

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

Table 14.
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) |

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	0							
Youth referred to diversion								
Youth enrolled in diversion								
Successful completion diversion								
Youth with multiple charges	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	<mark>100%</mark>	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	2	0%	0%	<mark>50%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	50%

Successful probation	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Revocation of probation	8	0%	0%	12.50 %	0%	0%	0%	87.50 %
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

^{*}Boyd County sheriff did not report to NCC 2015 - 2018

Family Level

- Boyd County has a higher rate of extreme poverty compared to the state
- Number of people with bachelor's degrees is lower compared to the state; this could be a result of residents going to college in a different community and not returning to Boyd County.
- The number of youth with access to computers is higher than the state average (100% great!) and access to internet is higher.
- ¾ of abuse/neglect cases are unfounded.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Boyd	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	6.8%	14.8%
	Number of children 12- 17 below 185% poverty	108	43,814

	Percent of children 12- 17 below 185% poverty	<mark>57.8%</mark>	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>18.2%</mark>	31.3%
	County rank	73	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	22.8%	23.0%
	County rank	64	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	94.6%	91.1%
	County Rank	19	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	100.0%	96.9%
	County rank	<mark>1</mark>	-
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	91.0%	91.0%
	County rank	50	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	91.0%	90.8%
	County Rank	45	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	734	498,567
	Total households	903	754,063
	Owner %	81.3%	66.1%
	Renters	169	255,496
	Renter %	18.7%	33.9%

Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	38	40,465
	Total households	903	754,063
	No vehicle %	4.2%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) $^{\rm d}$

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Boyd	Adult at home who listens			
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Boyd	Adult at school who listens			
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

^{*}Boyd County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2010)

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated	Aggravated	Simple Domestic	Simple Domestics
	Domestic Assaults	Domestic Assaults	Assaults Reported	Assaults Cleared by
	Reported	Cleared by Arrest		Arrest or
		or Exceptional		Exceptional Means
		Means		
Boyd	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18.
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Boyd	16	56%	0%	<mark>78%</mark>

Nebraska 36,480 33.4% 16.0% 68.3	%
----------------------------------	---

Community Level

- Boyd County has 100% of dismissed or dropped cases being sealed.
 - O Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Boyd	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter		34
Rape		264
Robbery		367
Aggravated Assault		1,639
Other Assaults		8,782

Data reported as 0, or not reported

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) $^{\rm d}$

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Boyd	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana		1	
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%

Boyd	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol			
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Boyd	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes			
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

^{*}Boyd County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2010)

Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	11	11	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		1	
Filed in Juv. Court	20	30	66.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	7	7	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	0	3	0.0%
Total	38	51	74.5%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. Many cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; we were not able to get data regarding access to counsel for Boyd County.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - It is not clear why all first-time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Boyd	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	No Juvenile Court Cases	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Boyd	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352

Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 - 2019) $^{\rm m}$

		Boyd				
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Uncontrollable	0	1	0	0	0	1
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	1	0	0	0	1

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B – Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}\,$

	Boyd	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first time offenders to diversion	<mark>No</mark>	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the time of the referral to diversion	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%

File a juvenile's charges if they are unsuccessful on diversion	Not sure	Always: 47.7% Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	No	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$100 (but can be waived or	Yes: 86.4%
	reduced, if needed)	No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	Yes; may include additional	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge	activities, depending on what they are not compliant with	No: 25.0%
	·	Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; sealed at successful	Yes: 59.1%
	completion and records are sealed when closed in JCMS	No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 75.0%.
- Mean score on Collective Impact is higher than in 2019 and higher than the state average in all areas
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
 - o This pertains to gender as well as race/ethnicity.
- On the survey –no probation, law enforcement, attorneys, treatment providers, child welfare, or elected officials in your team–but perhaps they did not respond to the survey.
 - The number of people on the team the survey was sent to is small, so you may want to consider growing the team to include other system points.
- 1/3 of people who responded indicated they do not feel heard on the team.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Holt/Boyd		Nebi	raska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	11	8	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	6	6	221	345
Response rate	54.6%	<mark>75.0%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Holt/Boyd		Nebra	aska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020		
	Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	5.17	<mark>6.17</mark>	5.29	5.69		
Mutually reinforcing	4.75	<mark>5.83</mark>	5.37	5.50		
Shared measurement	4.71	<mark>5.83</mark>	5.21	5.45		
Continuous communication	4.94	<mark>5.83</mark>	5.49	5.55		
Backbone agency	4.95	<mark>6.00</mark>	5.52	5.78		

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.

Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Holt/Boyd		Neb	raska
	N = 6	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male			101	29.3%
Female	6	100%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39	1	16.7%	68	19.6%
40-49	1	16.7%	88	25.4%
50-59	2	33.3%	90	25.8%

60 and over	2	33.3%	44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	5	83.3%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	1	16.7%	63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	2	33.3%	98	28.4%
No	4	66.7%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement	1	11.1%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	2	22.2%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion	2	22.2%	55	12.6%
Probation	2	22.2%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem			8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%

Treatment provider			40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	2	22.2%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	4	66.7%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	2	33.3%	75	21.7%

Boyd n = 2, Brown n = 1, Holt n = 6 (two people named more than one county they represent).

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- ^e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 g Diversion programs
- ^h **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: <u>https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf</u>

¹Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^mCourt Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	4	7	57.1
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	6	6	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	10	13	76.9%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	4	4	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	-1		
Filed in Juv. Court	8	14	57.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	12	18	66.7%

2017	Number of charges	Total Number of	Sealed (%)
	Sealed	charges	

Dismissed or Dropped	7	7	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	8	8	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	0	3	0.0%
Total	15	18	83.3%

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	0	1	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	1	2	50.0%

2019	Number of charges	Total Number of	Sealed (%)
	Sealed	charges	

Dismissed or Dropped	 	
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	 	
Filed in Juv. Court	 	
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	 	
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	 	
Total	 	

Wheeler County

Table of Contents

outh Level	106
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates 2014-2018) ^a	
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	106
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	107
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 20 2019) °	
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	108
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d	109
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change f	109
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	110
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	111
amily Level	112

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d	113
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h	113
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i	113
Community Level	114
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j	114
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	114
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	114
Policy, Legal and System Level	115
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n	115
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	115
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	115
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (20	20) °116
Community Team Level	117
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates p	117
Collective Impact Survey Scores p	117
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	118
References and Resources	119
Appendix: RED Descriptives	121
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	126

Youth Level

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic	Total Count	Non- Hispanic	Hispanic or	Diagr	American	Asian or Pacific	2+
Area Name Nebraska	108,494	White 70.4%	Latino 16.2%	Black 5.7%	Indian 1.4%	Islander 2.0%	Races 4.4%
Wheeler	40	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Females

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Wheeler	20	90.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2.
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) b

Yea	Geograp	Total	Hispan	Asia	Americ	Black	Native	White	Two
r	hic Area	Count	ic	n	an	or	Hawaii		or
					Indian	African	an or		More
					or	Americ	Other		Race
					Alaska	an	Pacific		S
					Native		islande		
							r		
201				0.00				97.83	0.00
4-	WHEELER	92	2.17%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%	%
201	Nebraska	312,28		2.43				68.20	3.38
5		1	17.74%	%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	%	%
201				0.00				97.85	0.00
5-	WHEELER	93	2.15%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%	%
201	Nebraska	315,54		2.53				67.72	3.47
6		2	18.08%	%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	%	%

201				0.00				96.04	0.00
6-	WHEELER	101	3.96%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%	%
201	Nebraska	318,85		2.66				66.92	3.59
7		3	18.61%	%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	%	%
201				0.00				95.54	0.00
7-	WHEELER	112	4.46%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%	%
201	Nebraska	323,39		2.76				66.50	3.78
8		1	18.80%	%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	%	%
201				0.00				90.91	0.00
8-	WHEELER	99	9.09%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%	%
201	Nebraska	325,98		2.83				66.02	3.91
9		4	19.13%	%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	%	%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b

Yea r	Geograp hic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteei sm	Hispan ic	Asia n	Americ an Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African Americ an	Native Hawaii an or Other Pacific islande r	White	Two or More Race s
201	WHEELER	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4- 201 5	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64 %	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61 %	4.68 %
201	WHEELER	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5- 201 6	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55 %	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68	4.83
201	WHEELER	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6- 201 7	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66 %	4.92 %
201	WHEELER	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
7- 201 8	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37 %	2389
201	WHEELER	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
8- 201 9	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76 %	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27 %	5.23 %

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the \ast represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 - 2019) $^{\rm b}$

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	WHEELER	92	*	*	*	57.61%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	WHEELER	93	*	*	*	43.01%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	WHEELER	101	*	*	*	51.49%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	WHEELER	112	17.86%	*	*	51.79%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	WHEELER	99	12.12%	*	*	53.54%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^{\circ}$

County	Total in Las	t 5 Years	Yearly Av	erages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	n/a
Wheeler	34	35	6.8	7.0	97.1%	13

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Wheeler	Loss of sleep from worry	-	-	
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Wheeler	Depressed	-	•	•
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Wheeler	Considered/Attempted suicide	-	-	-
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Wheeler	Current alcohol	-		•
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Wheeler	Current binge drinking	-	-	-

Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Wheeler	Current marijuana	•	•	-
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Wheeler	Current tobacco	-	-	-
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Wheeler	Current vaping	-	-	-
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Wheeler	Hopeful for future (past week)	-	-	-
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

^{*}County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey for 2018

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis ^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) $^{\rm d}$

		8 th	10 th	12 th
WHEELER	Youth Reported Gang Involvement		-	-
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

^{*}County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey for 2018

Table 12.Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages	Under 18

Summary Arrest Date	201	2019	2018 - 2019	2018	2019	2018 - 2019		
	8	8 Growth % Growth % Growth % Growth %						
Jurisdiction by Geography			WHEELE	R COU	NIY			
Arrest Offense								
Total	1	1	0.00	-	-	-		
Rape Total	-	-	ı	-	ı	-		
Aggravated Assault Total	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Burglary Total	-	-	-	-		-		
Larceny-Theft Total	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Other Assaults	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Forgery and Counterfeiting	-	ı	-	-	ı	-		
Fraud	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Embezzlement	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Vandalism	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Drug Violations – Possession	1	1	0.00	-	-	-		
NIBRS Unable to Classify	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Offenses Against Family and Children	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Driving Under the Influence	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Liquor Laws	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Disorderly Conduct	-	-	-	-	-	-		
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Table 13.
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Wheeler			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%

Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score				M = 5.6	4, SD = 3.	65, 0-17

Statewide n = 1512

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

Table 14.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

See Appendix for yearly data

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	0				1			
Youth referred to diversion		-			-	1		
Youth enrolled in diversion								
Successful completion diversion		1		I	1	1		
Youth with multiple charges				-				
Filed on in adult court					1			
RAI Override: More Severe		-		-	-	-		
RAI Underride: Less Severe		-			-			
Probation intake								
Successful probation								
Revocation of probation								
Youth in OJS custody								

OJS custody:	 	 	 	
placed in				
detention				
Youth booked	 	 	 	
into detention				
Youth booked	 	 	 	
into detention				
more than once				

Family Level

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Wheeler	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	16.7%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	16	43,814
	Percent of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	34.8%	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	17.3%	31.3%
	County rank	83	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	30.1%	23.0%
	County rank	9	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	95.2%	91.1%
	County Rank	14	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	100.0%	96.9%
	County rank	1	=
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	96.7%	91.0%
	County rank	9	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	96.7%	90.8%
	County Rank	8	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	252	498,567

	Total households	357	754,063
	Owner %	70.6%	66.1%
	Renters	105	255,496
	Renter %	29.4%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no	5	40,465
	vehicle available		
	Total households	357	754,063
	No vehicle %	1.4%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) $^{\rm d}$

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Wheeler	Adult at home who listens	1	-	-
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Wheeler	Adult at school who listens	-	-	-
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

^{*}County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey for 2018

Table 17. Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) $^{\rm h}$

	Aggravated	Aggravated	Simple	Simple
	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestics
	Assaults	Assaults Cleared	Assaults	Assaults Cleared
	Reported	by Arrest or	Reported	by Arrest or
		Exceptional		Exceptional
		Means		Means
Wheeler	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Wheeler	2	50%	0%	100%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

Community Level

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j

Type of Violence	Wheeler	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter		34
Rape		264
Robbery		367
Aggravated Assault		1,639
Other Assaults		8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) $^{\rm d}$

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Wheeler	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	-	-	-
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Wheeler	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	-	-	-
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Wheeler	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	-	-	-
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

^{*}County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey for 2018

Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis $(2015 - 2019)^m$

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped		-	
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and			
Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total			

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Wheeler	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	N/A	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation $(2015 - 2019)^m$

	Wheeler	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352

Table 24.Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Wheeler					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A - Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	0	0	0
3C – Mentally III and	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dangerous						

Nebraska

Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and	22	48	37	22	23	306
Dangerous						

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^\circ$

	Wheeler	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are	-	Yes: 27.3%
first time offenders to		No: 63.6%
diversion		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at		Yes: 18.2%
the time of the referral to		No: 70.5%
diversion		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if	-	Always: 47.7%
they are unsuccessful on		Sometimes: 47.7%
diversion		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	-	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make	-	Yes: 86.4%
a juvenile ineligible for		No: 9.1%
diversion		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	-	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	-	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	-	Yes: 86.4%
		No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses	-	Yes: 47.7%
prior to discharge		No: 25.0%
		Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	-	Yes: 59.1%
		No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

Community Team Level

Table 26.

Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Who	eeler	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
Number of surveys sent	1	1	1407	780	
Number of completed surveys	1	1	221	345	
Response rate			28.3%	24.5%	

Table 27.Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Wheele	r	Nebra	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda			5.29 5.69	
Mutually reinforcing			5.37	5.50
Shared measurement			5.21	5.45
Continuous communication			5.49	5.55
Backbone agency			5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- Shared measurement: Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all
 participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other
 accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	Wheeler		Nebi	raska
			N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male			101	29.3%
Female			229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
3				
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49			88	25.4%
50-59			90	25.8%
60 and over			44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%
g				
Race/Ethnicity				
White			230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name			63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
·····os·····g				0.070
Previous System Involvement				
Yes			98	28.4%
No			242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
·····ee······g				11.170
System Point *				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education			65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion			55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				1.070
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%
Treatment provider			40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program			109	25.0%
Elected official or government		+	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	<u></u>		6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Dackbone or system improvement			J	U.1 /0

Other		16	3.7%
Voice on Team			
Feel heard	 	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	 	75	21.7%

References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a Home owner/transportation: Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- ^e **Referral to and utilization of services:** Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
- ^g Diversion programs

h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Ar
rest%20by%20County 0.pdf
Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System

Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^mCourt Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: <u>Kids Count</u> <u>Nebraska Full-Book-Final-3-4 (2018).pdf</u>. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

° **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.

^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

Appendix: RED Descriptives

2015

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact		-	1		-			
Youth taken to temporary custody		1	1		1			
Youth issued citation/referral		1	1		1			
Youth referred to diversion		-	1		-			
Youth enrolled in diversion								
Successful completion diversion		1	1		1			
Youth with multiple charges								
Filed on in adult court								
RAI Override: More Severe								
RAI Override: Less Severe								
Probation intake								
Successful probation		-	1		-			
Revocation of probation								
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention		1	1		1			
Youth booked into detention		-	1		-			
Youth booked into detention more than once		-						

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody		1	1		1			
Youth issued citation/referral								
Youth referred to diversion		-	1		-			
Youth enrolled in diversion								
Successful completion diversion		-	-		-			
Youth with multiple charges								
Filed on in adult court	-							
RAI Override: More Severe								
RAI Override: Less Severe								
Probation intake								
Successful probation								
Revocation of probation		-						
Youth in OJS custody		1	-		-			
OJS custody: placed in detention		1	1		1			
Youth booked into detention		1	1		1			
Youth booked into detention more than once								

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody		I	l		I	l	l	
Youth issued citation/referral		-1			1			
Youth referred to diversion		1	1		1	1	1	
Youth enrolled in diversion								
Successful completion diversion		1	1		1	1	1	
Youth with multiple charges								
Filed on in adult court		1	1		1	1	1	
RAI Override: More Severe		-	1		-	1	1	
RAI Override: Less Severe								
Probation intake								
Successful probation								
Revocation of probation								
Youth in OJS custody		-			-			
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once		-			-	1	1	

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody	-	1			1			
Youth issued citation/referral		1			1			
Youth referred to diversion		-			-			
Youth enrolled in diversion								
Successful completion diversion		1			1			
Youth with multiple charges								
Filed on in adult court								
RAI Override: More Severe	i							
RAI Override: Less Severe								
Probation intake								
Successful probation								
Revocation of probation		-						
Youth in OJS custody		-						
OJS custody: placed in detention		-			-			
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact		1	1		1	1	1	
Youth taken to temporary custody		1	1		1	1	1	
Youth issued citation/referral		1	1		1	1	1	
Youth referred to diversion		-	-		1	1	1	
Youth enrolled in diversion								
Successful completion diversion		-						
Youth with multiple charges								
Filed on in adult court								
RAI Override: More Severe								
RAI Override: Less Severe								
Probation intake								
Successful probation								
Revocation of probation								
Youth in OJS custody		I	-		-	-	-	
OJS custody: placed in detention		1	1		1	1	1	-
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped		-	
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and			
Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total			

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and			
Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total			

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and			
Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total			

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped		-	
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and			
Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total		-	

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and			
Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total			

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING REGARDING JUVENILE SERVICES

Comprehensive Community Plan

The North Central Nebraska Juvenile Services is serving as the lead organization in the assessment and distribution of the Juvenile Services Community Based Grant funding from the Nebraska Crime Commission. It is the desire of the following counties: Holt County, Boyd County, and Brown County to continue this arrangement for the community plan requested for 2021-2025.

On January 26th, 2021, the original county/counties Holt County, Boyd County, and Brown County agreed that Holt County should be the lead county in this funding effort to work with juveniles from ages 12-18 who are already in the juvenile justice system. The present Comprehensive County Juvenile Services Plan has been updated with input from the Juvenile Justice Institute, as well as representatives from each of the three counties for 2021-2025. All three counties data are being used in updating the new plan.

Cathy Pavel will serve as the fiscal agent. As such, she will handle all financial transactions including income and expenses.

Trisha Ruzek, Project Director, will oversee the grant requests, finalizing reporting, and making contact with County Boards in each of the three counties, and Kelli Munoz will serve as Project Administrator, and representative of the backbone agency.

All quarterly reports are submitted to the Nebraska Crime Commission and reviewed Project Director. An audit of all reports is conducted annually.

This memorandum of understanding is to be signed by Holt County as the lead county. All other counties sign yearly as part of the application for funding process that is submitted to the CrimeCommission.

Welling Tielk	H61+	1/27/2021
County Board Chair	County	Date
Trisha Ruzek		1-27-2021
Witness	Date	

Community Plan Approval

Our Community Plan was sent out via email on January 24, 2021 to all eight of our team members. They were asked to read and review the finalized plan and respond via email if they approved the plan. By February 1st, 2021, all eight team members responded and approved the plan. I have asked Tara Price and Kelli Munoz to sign and date this document of approval.

Tara Price Date

Kell' Muria 1-29-2021
Kelli Munoz Date

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING REGARDING JUVENILE SERVICES

Comprehensive Community Plan

The North Central Nebraska Juvenile Services is serving as the lead organization in the assessment and distribution of the Juvenile Services Community Based Grant funding from the Nebraska Crime Commission. It is the desire of the following counties: Holt County, Boyd County, Brown County, and Wheeler to continue this arrangement for the community plan requested for 2021-2025.

On February3rd, 2022, the original county/counties Holt County, Boyd County, Brown, and Wheeler County agreed that Holt County should be the lead county in this funding effort to work with juveniles from ages 12-18 who are already in the juvenile justice system. The present Comprehensive County Juvenile Services Plan has been updated with input from the Juvenile Justice Institute, as well as representatives from each of the three counties for 2021-2025. All three counties data are being used in updating the new plan.

Cathy Pavel will serve as the fiscal agent. As such, she will handle all financial transactions including income and expenses.

Trisha Ruzek, Project Director, will oversee the grant requests, finalizing reporting, and making contact with County Boards in each of the three counties, and Kelli Munoz will serve as Project Administrator, and representative of the backbone agency.

All quarterly reports are submitted to the Nebraska Crime Commission and reviewed Project Director. An audit of all reports is conducted annually.

This memorandum of understanding is to be signed by Holt County as the lead county. All other counties sign yearly as part of the application for funding process that is submitted to the CrimeCommission.

ttella	LUHFFLER	2/3/22	
County Attorney	County	Date	
0. 00			
Diana & R	Jonaldson	2-3-22	

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING REGARDING JUVENILE SERVICES

Comprehensive Community Plan

The North Central Nebraska Juvenile Services is serving as the lead organization in the assessment and distribution of the Juvenile Services Community Based Grant funding from the Nebraska Crime Commission. It is the desire of the following counties: Holt County, Boyd County, and Brown County to continue this arrangement for the community plan requested for 2021-2025.

On January 26th, 2021, the original county/counties Holt County, Boyd County, and Brown County agreed that Holt County should be the lead county in this funding effort to work with juveniles from ages 12-18 who are already in the juvenile justice system. The present Comprehensive County Juvenile Services Plan has been updated with input from the Juvenile Justice Institute, as well as representatives from each of the three counties for 2021-2025. All three counties data are being used in updating the new plan.

Cathy Pavel will serve as the fiscal agent. As such, she will handle all financial transactions including income and expenses.

Trisha Ruzek, Project Director, will oversee the grant requests, finalizing reporting, and making contact with County Boards in each of the three counties, and Kelli Munoz will serve as Project Administrator, and representative of the backbone agency.

All quarterly reports are submitted to the Nebraska Crime Commission and reviewed Project Director. An audit of all reports is conducted annually.

This memorandum of understanding is to be signed by Holt County as the lead county. All other counties sign yearly as part of the application for funding process that is submitted to the Crime Commission.

Gonald & B. ernt	Boud	2-26-31	
County Board Chair	County /	Date	
Trista Rusch	2.3	16.21	
Witness	Date		

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING REGARDING JUVENILE SERVICES

Comprehensive Community Plan

The North Central Nebraska Juvenile Services is serving as the lead organization in the assessment and distribution of the Juvenile Services Community Based Grant funding from the Nebraska Crime Commission. It is the desire of the following counties: Holt County, Boyd County, and Brown County to continue this arrangement for the community plan requested for 2021-2025.

On January 26th, 2021, the original county/counties Holt County, Boyd County, and Brown County agreed that Holt County should be the lead county in this funding effort to work with juveniles from ages 12-18 who are already in the juvenile justice system. The present Comprehensive County Juvenile Services Plan has been updated with input from the Juvenile Justice Institute, as well as representatives from each of the three counties for 2021-2025. All three counties data are being used in updating the new plan.

Cathy Pavel will serve as the fiscal agent. As such, she will handle all financial transactions including income and expenses.

Trisha Ruzek, Project Director, will oversee the grant requests, finalizing reporting, and making contact with County Boards in each of the three counties, and Kelli Munoz will serve as Project Administrator, and representative of the backbone agency.

All quarterly reports are submitted to the Nebraska Crime Commission and reviewed Project Director. An audit of all reports is conducted annually.

This memorandum of understanding is to be signed by Holt County as the lead county. All other counties sign yearly as part of the application for funding process that is submitted to the Crime Commission.

	BARWN	2266 2021	
County Board Chair Anomed	County	Date	
Micery Mitchell	2	22- <i>2</i> 021	
Witness	Date	3	